

NATIONWIDE VALUATION AND MARKET FEASIBILITY EXPERTS

Promises Kept. Deadlines Met.



Need and Demand Analysis For Deerfield Apartments 1651 Maryland Avenue Crewe, Virginia 23930

Prepared For TM Associates Management, Inc. 1375 Piccard Drive #150 Rockville, Maryland 20850

> Effective Date February 19, 2020

Date of Report March 12, 2020 Deerfield Apartments 1651 Maryland Avenue Crewe, Virginia 23930

March 12, 2020



Mr. Adam Stockmaster TM Associates Management, Inc. 1375 Piccard Drive #150 Rockville, Maryland 20850

Dear Mr. Stockmaster:

Following is a market study which was completed for TM Associates Management, Inc., under the guidelines set forth by the Virginia Housing Development Authority. The subject is located at 1651 Maryland Avenue in Crewe, Virginia, and is an existing Rural Development and Low Income Housing Tax Credit elderly development. The subject consists of five one-story garden buildings containing 49 units, a meeting room, laundry facility, office and maintenance area. The buildings have siding exterior and asphalt shingle roofs. Unit amenities include a refrigerator, range/oven, carpet, vinyl, blinds, ceiling fans, coat closet, patio, pull cords and safety bars. Project amenities include a meeting room, picnic area, laundry facility, on-site management, on-site maintenance, gazebo and asphalt parking areas with approximately 42 parking spaces.

The purpose of the following market study is to determine if the community has a need for the subject units. To do so, the analyst, Jonathan Richmond, utilized data from the U.S. Census Bureau, ESRI Business Information Solutions and various other demographic resources. Community information and opinion was also utilized. This information was collected during a field survey conducted by Jonathan Richmond while visiting the site on February 19, 2020. An attempt was made to survey 100 percent of all housing in the area.

I certify that there is not now nor will there be an identity of interest between or among the applicant, contractor, architect, engineer, attorney, interim lender, subcontractors, material suppliers, equipment lessors or any of their members, directors, officers, stockholders, partners or beneficiaries without prior written identification to Virginia Housing Development Authority and written consent to such identity of interest by Virginia Housing Development Authority. All recommendations and conclusions are based solely on the professional opinion and best efforts of the analyst. This statement is given for the purpose of inducing the United States of America to make a loan as requested in the loan pre-application or application of which this statement is a part.

~ Vieland

Jonathan Richmond Market Analyst

Samuel J. Sill

Samuel T. Gill Market Analyst

in J. D.D.

Janice F. Gill, MAI Market Analyst



TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF CONTENTS	
CERTIFICATION	7
IDENTITY OF INTEREST	
NCHMA MEMBER CERTIFICATION	-
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	. 10
Executive Summary	
Project Description.	. 11
Income Averaging	
Housing Profile	. 11
Market Feasibility	. 12
Evaluation of Project	
Positive/Negative Attributes	. 13
Conclusion	. 13
INTRODUCTION AND SCOPE OF WORK	. 14
Introduction and Scope of Work	. 15
PROPERTY DESCRIPTION	. 18
Property Description	. 19
Project Design	
Unit Features	. 19
Common Amenities and Services	. 19
Parking	. 19
Utilities	. 20
Unit Mix, Size and Rent Structure	. 20
Tenant Services	.21
Scope of Rehabilitation	.21
Location/Site Characteristics	.21
SITE EVALUATION	. 22
Project Location	. 23
Location Map	. 24
Vicinity Map	. 25
Community and Site Information	. 26
Site Characteristics	. 26
Surrounding Land Uses	. 26
Subject Photos	. 27
Nearby Employment Opportunities	. 38
Regional and Area Data	. 38
Crime	. 38
Community Services Legend	. 39
Community Services Map	
Competing Properties Map	.42
Summary of Site Strengths and Weaknesses	
PRIMARY MARKET AREA	.44
Delineation of Market Area	.45
Primary Market Area Map	.47
MARKET AREA EMPLOYMENT TRENDS	
Employment and Economy	
Major Employers	
Place of Work Employment	
Future Employment Trends	
Wages	
Employment Outside the County	
Summary of Employment Trends in Market Area	. 52



MARKET AREA DEMOGRAPHIC DATA	. 53
Population and Households Trends and Analysis	. 54
Housing Market	
Tenure	
DEVELOPMENT-SPECIFIC DEMAND ANALYSIS	.61
Household Income Trends and Analysis	.62
Sources of Demand	
Required Unit Mix	.66
Eligible Households	.66
Penetration Rate	
Demand Analysis	.68
Demand Analysis Summary	
Capture Rate Analysis	
SUPPLY ANALYSIS	.72
Housing Profile	
Market Area Overview	
Housing Inventory	
Projects under Construction	
Planned Projects	
Unit Condition	
Unit Types	
Age of Rental Units	
Unit Size	
Rental Vacancy Rates	
Vacancy Analysis.	
Lease Terms and Concessions	
Turnover Rates	
Likely Impact of Existing Development on Rental Occupancy Rates	
Existing Comparables	
Comparable Profile Pages	
Existing Comparables Map	
Competing Properties Map Additional Developments	
Market Rent Analysis	
HUD Forms 92273-S8 and Explanations – As Complete	
Evaluation of the Development	
Project Design	
Project Amenities	
Parking	
Utilities	
Unit Amenities	
Tenant Services	
Impact of Existing/Planned Rental Housing	.96
Summary of Developments Strength and Weaknesses	.96
	.98
RECOMMENDATIONS AND CONCLUSIONS	
Recommendations and Conclusions	
Project Description	
Income Averaging	
Housing Profile	
Market Feasibility	
Evaluation of Project	
Positive/Negative Attributes	
Conclusion	101



MARKET STUDY ANALYST STATEMENT OF EXPERIENCE	103
Qualifications of the Analyst	
ADDENDUM A – DATA SOURCES	
ADDENDUM B – MARKET STUDY TERMINOLOGY	118
ADDENDUM C – NCHMA INDEX	128
ADDENDUM D – SUBJECT DATA	131
ADDENDUM E – DEMOGRAPHICS DATA	146
ADDENDUM F – FLOOD DATA	

Deerfield Apartments 1651 Maryland Avenue Crewe, Virginia 23930



CERTIFICATION

This is to certify that a field visit was made by the market analyst or one of his associates and information was obtained from publications of federal, state and local agencies. Interviews were held with apartment owners or managers, local officials and others as appropriate who may be knowledgeable of the housing market in Crewe.

In accordance with Virginia Housing Development Authority, I hereby certify that the information provided in this Market Study was written according to Virginia Housing Development Authority's market study requirements and is truthful and accurate to the best of my knowledge and belief. The estimates of demand for family housing made by this report are based on the assumption of a free market situation, unencumbered by local mores, affirmative fair housing marketing or prejudice toward the site location.

This is to affirm that I will receive no fees which are contingent upon approval of the project by Virginia Housing Development Authority, before or after the fact, and that I will have no interest in the housing project.

Jonathan Richmond Market Analyst Tax ID Number: 43-1352932

March 12, 2020

Samuel J. Sell

Samuel T. Gill Market Analyst

ice J. D.D.

Janice F. Gill, MAI Market Analyst



IDENTITY OF INTEREST

I understand and agree that Virginia Housing Development Authority will consider an identity of interest to exist between the loan applicant as the party of the first part and general contractors, architects, engineers, attorneys, interim lenders, subcontractors, material suppliers or equipment lessors as parties of the second part under any of the following conditions:

- 1. When there is any financial interest of the party of the first part in the party of the second part;
- 2. When one or more of the officers, directors, stockholders or partners of the party of the first part is also an officer, director, stockholder or partner of the party of the second part;
- 3. When any officer, director, stockholder or partner of the party of the first part has any financial interest whatsoever in the party of the second part;
- 4. When the party of the second part advances any funds to the party of the first part other than an interim lender advancing funds to enable the applicant to pay for construction and other authorized and legally eligible expenses during the construction period;
- 5. When the party of the second part provides and pays on behalf of the party of the first part the cost of any legal services, architectural services or interim financing other than those of the survey, general superintendent or engineer employed by a general contractor in connection with obligations under the construction contract;
- 6. When the party of the second part takes stock or any interest in the party of the first part as part of the consideration to be paid them; and
- 7. When there exists or comes into being any side deals, agreements, contracts or undertakings entered into thereby altering, amending or canceling any of the required closing documents or approval conditions as approved by Virginia Housing Development Authority.

I certify that there is not now nor will there be an identity of interest between or among the applicant, contractor, architect, interim lender, subcontractors, material suppliers, equipment lessors or any of their members, directors, officers, stockholders, partners or beneficiaries without prior written identification to Virginia Housing Development Authority and written consent to such identity of interest by Virginia Housing Development Authority. This statement is given for the purpose of inducing the United States of America to make a loan as requested in the loan pre-application or application of which this statement is a part.

There is no identity of interest between the loan applicant and the Market Analyst or Gill Group.

Jonathan Richmond Market Analyst

Samuel J. Sel

Samuel T. Gill Market Analyst

in 3. Dill

Janice F. Gill, MAI Market Analyst



NCHMA MEMBER CERTIFICATION



This market study has been prepared by Gill Group, Inc., a member in good standing of the National Council of Housing Market Analysts (NCHMA). This study has been prepared in conformance with the standards adopted by NCHMA for the market analysts' industry. These standards include the *Standard Definitions of Key Terms Used in Market Studies*, and *Model Content Standards for the Content of Market Studies*. These Standards are designed to enhance the quality of market studies and to make them easier to prepare, understand, and use by market analysts and by the end users. These Standards are voluntary only, and no legal responsibility regarding their use is assumed by the National Council of Housing Market Analysts.

Gill Group, Inc. is duly qualified and experienced in providing market analysis for Affordable Housing. The company's principals participate in the National Council of Housing Market Analysts (NCHMA) educational and information sharing programs to maintain the highest professional standards and state-of-the-art knowledge. Gill Group, Inc. is an independent market analyst. No principal or employee of Gill Group, Inc. has any financial interest whatsoever in the development for which this analysis has been undertaken.

Jonathan Richmond Market Analyst

Gill Group

March 12, 2020

Samuel J. Sil

Samuel T. Gill Market Analyst

in 3. Dill

Janice F. Gill, MAI Market Analyst

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY



Executive Summary

It is the opinion of the analyst that a market exists for the proposed rehabilitation of a 39-unit development designed for seniors ages 55 and older and that there is no need for alterations of any kind. This recommendation is made based on the information included in this report and assuming that the project is completed as detailed in this report. Any changes to the subject could alter the findings in this report.

Project Description

The subject, Deerfield Apartments, is an existing Rural Development and Low Income Housing Tax Credit multifamily development that contains 39 rental units. The property is applying for an allocation of Low-Income Housing Tax Credits which will set the income eligibility to individuals earning 40, 50 and 60 percent of the area median income, or below and \$29,100 considering subsidy and between \$13,620 and \$29,100 not considering any subsidy.

Deerfield Apartments is comprised of five one-story garden buildings containing 39 units and a meeting room, laundry facility, office and maintenance area. The buildings are of frame construction with siding exterior and asphalt shingle roofs.

The following chart lists the subject's current unit mix:

Unit Type	# of Units	Square Footage	Total Square Footage
1/1	39	577	22,503
	39		22,503

The following chart lists the subject's proposed unit distribution by unit type, size and rent structure.

	MAXIMUM LIHTC RENTS AND UTILITY ALLOWANCES						
Unit Type	# of Units	Avg. Square	% of Median	Maximum LIHTC	Gross Rent	Utility Allowance	Net Rent
		Feet	Income	Rent			
1/1	4	577	40%	\$454	\$745	\$121	\$624
1/1	16	577	50%	\$568	\$745	\$121	\$624
1/1	18	577	60%	\$681	\$745	\$121	\$624
1/1	1	577	60%	\$681	\$681	\$121	\$560

*The maximum rents exceed the maximum gross rent. However, of the subject's 39 units, 38 units contain Rental Assistance. Therefore, these tenants will not be required to pay more than 30 percent of their income or the maximum LIHTC rent.

Income Averaging

The developer is not electing to use the income-average minimum set-aside option.

Housing Profile

The rental housing stock in the market area is comprised of single-family homes and market-rate and subsidized/income-restricted apartment complexes. The current vacancy rate in surveyed subsidized/ income-restricted apartment complexes is 0.4 percent. The current vacancy rate in surveyed market-rate apartment complexes is 3.2 percent.

The development does not have an adverse impact on the market area. There were six income-restricted developments confirmed in the market area. Peele Manor and Magnolia Place Apartments are the most similar to the subject since they contain one-bedroom units, contains subsidy for its units and are elderly. In addition, the majority of the comparables contain a waiting list. Therefore, the market area is not saturated.

Gill Group | Promises Kept. Deadlines Met. Page | 11



Market Feasibility

The following tables contain the capture rates for the entire property as well as for the vacant units only at the property.

CAPTURE RATE BY INCOM				
Income Restrictions:	All Units @ 40% (\$0 - \$19,400)	1BR Units @ 50% (\$0 - \$24,250)	All Units @ 60% (\$0 - \$29,100)	Project Total (\$0 - \$29,100)
All Units at Subject	0.3%	0.9%	1.0%	2.1%
Vacant Units at Subject	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

CAPTURE RATE BY INCOME				
Income Restrictions:	All Units @ 40% (\$13,620 - \$19,400)	All Units @ 50% (\$17,040 - \$24,250)	All Units @ 60% (\$20,430 - \$29,100)	Project Total (\$13,620 - \$29,100)
All Units at Subject	0.3%	4.1%	6.1%	4.7%
Vacant Units at Subject	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Overall the market shows a net demand of 1,877 units for proposed units at or below 60 percent of the area median income when considering subsidy. The subject is an existing development that contains 39 units. The overall capture rate for all units at or below 60 percent is 2.1 percent. However, as the property is existing and the tenants are likely to remain after rehabilitation, the capture rate including only the vacant units is 0.0 percent.

Overall the market shows a net demand of 686 units for proposed units at or below 60 percent of the area median income when not considering subsidy. The subject is an existing development that contains 39 units. The overall capture rate for all units at or below 60 percent is 5.7 percent. However, as the property is existing and the tenants are likely to remain after rehabilitation, the capture rate including only the vacant units is 0.0 percent.

When only the vacant units are considered, the overall capture rate for the property, is 0.0 percent, which is considered good. Therefore, it is the opinion of the analyst that a market exists for the rehabilitation of a 39-unit development.

After researching the vacancy rates of the existing units in the area, it is firmly believed that the rehabilitated development will satisfy a portion of the demand for the units within the market. It is believed that the property will continue to meet the demand for affordable housing in the market area.

Evaluation of Project

The subject is located at 1651 Maryland Avenue. Therefore, the subject has average visibility and easy access. The subject's site plan and project design are similar to competing apartment developments. The subject's unit mix of one-bedroom units is suitable in the market. The subject's unit and project amenities are competitive with the surveyed comparables. The subject's rents will provide a good value to prospective tenants.



Positive/Negative Attributes

Strengths: The subject's location is comparable to other developments in the market area. Its in-unit and common area amenities are competitive with the other developments in the market area. When these factors are taken into consideration, the subject's proposed units provide a good value to prospective tenants.

Weaknesses: There are no apparent weaknesses.

Conclusion

The subject can retain its required share of the market area for the following reasons:

- The subject's design and amenities are competitive with other existing projects. Therefore, no modifications to the subject are necessary.
- Deerfield Apartments is an existing 39-unit Low Income Housing Tax Credit complex. The development is currently 100 percent occupied. An absorption period was established as if the development were a vacant Low Income Housing Tax Credit Development. After researching the vacancy rates of the existing units in the area, it is firmly believed that the proposed development will satisfy a portion of the continued demand for the units within the market. It is estimated that a 95+ percent occupancy level can be achieved in six to eight months. Our interviews with apartment managers substantiate the absorption rate. It is believed that the subject will absorb five to seven units per month; therefore, reaching a stable occupancy level within six to eight months. However, it has no vacant units. Therefore, the effective absorption rate is zero months.
- According to the past, present and future demographic and economic trends within the primary
 market area, it is the opinion of the analyst that the existing development is suitable for the
 market area. Given the current acceptable vacancy rates for affordable properties, the
 development will not have an adverse effect on the existing rental comparables and the
 anticipated pipeline additions to the rental base.

INTRODUCTION AND SCOPE OF WORK



Introduction and Scope of Work

The Scope of Work Rule requires us to gather and analyze information about those assignment elements that are necessary to properly identify the problem to be solved. According to the Standards Rule 1-2, identification of the problem to be solved requires us to identify the following assignment elements.

- Client and any other intended users: The client and developer for this market study assignment is TM Associates Management, Inc. The intended users of the report are TM Associates Management, Inc. and Virginia Housing Development Authority. No other users are intended.
- Intended use of the analyst's opinions and conclusions: The intended use of this market study is to apply for Low Income Housing Tax Credits through Virginia Housing Development Authority.
- Effective date of the analyst's opinions and conclusions: The effective date of the rental estimate is February 19, 2020.
- Subject of the assignment and its relevant characteristics: The subject property is an existing 39unit apartment complex to be known as Deerfield Apartments. It is located on Maryland Avenue, which is located west of Birch Island Road. Its physical address is 1651 Maryland Avenue.
- Assignment conditions: Assignment conditions include assumptions, extraordinary assumptions, hypothetical conditions, supplemental standards, jurisdictional exceptions, and other conditions that affect the scope of work. The following assumptions are used in this market study assignment:
- An environmental audit was not provided. I am not qualified to complete an environmental audit. The stated opinion of rental rates is predicated on the assumption that no hazardous substances or conditions materially affect the subject property.
- The Americans with Disabilities Act ADA) became effective January 26, 1992. No specific compliance survey or analysis of the identified property has been made to determine whether it is in conformity with the various detailed requirements of the ADA. It is possible that a compliance survey of the property together with a detailed analysis of the requirements of the ADA could reveal that the property will not comply with one or more of the requirements of the Act. If so, this fact could have an adverse impact upon the value of the property. Since there is no direct evidence relating to this issue, it is assumed that no substantial immediate outlays will be mandated by governing authorities to meet ADA requirements.
- The building improvements meet all governing codes, unless otherwise noted in this report.



An extraordinary assumption¹ is defined as:

An assignment-specific assumption as of the effective date regarding uncertain information used in an analysis, which, if found to be false, could alter the appraiser's opinions or conclusions. Uncertain information might include physical, legal or economic characteristics of the subject property; or conditions external to the property, such as market conditions or trends; or the integrity of data used in an analysis.

An extraordinary assumption may be used in an assignment only if:

- It is required to properly develop credible opinions and conclusions;
- The analyst has a reasonable basis for the extraordinary assumption;
- Use of the extraordinary assumption results in a credible analysis; and
- The analyst complies with the disclosure requirements set forth in USPAP for extraordinary assumptions.²

The following extraordinary assumptions are used in this market study consultation assignment: The market study was written under the extraordinary assumption that the property will be rehabilitated as indicated in the Scope of Work.

A hypothetical condition[°] is defined as:

A condition, directly related to a specific assignment, which is contrary to what is known by the appraiser to exist on the effective date of the assignment results but is used for the purpose of analysis. Hypothetical conditions are contrary to known facts about physical, legal, or economic characteristics of the subject property; or about conditions external to the property, such as market condition or trends; or about the integrity of data used in an analysis.

A hypothetical condition may be used in an assignment only if:

- Use of the hypothetical condition is clearly required for legal purposes, for purposes of reasonable analysis, or for purposes of comparison;
- Use of the hypothetical condition results in a credible analysis; and
- The analyst complies with the disclosure requirements set forth in USPAP for hypothetical conditions.⁴

The following hypothetical condition are used in this market study consultation assignment: The market rents in the need and demand analysis were determined under the hypothetical condition that the subject will be unrestricted or conventional and not subject to any rent restrictions.

¹Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice, 2020-2021 Edition (The Appraisal Foundation, 2020), pg. 4

² Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice, 2020-2021 Edition (The Appraisal Foundation, 2020), pg. 14

³ Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice, 2020-2021 Edition (The Appraisal Foundation, 2020), pg. 4

⁴ Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice, 2020-2021 Edition (The Appraisal Foundation, 2020), pg. 14



This market study was completed in accordance with the requirements set forth in Virginia Housing Development Authority's 2020 Market Study Guidelines.

Janice F. Gill, MAI, a State Certified General Real Estate Appraiser, oversaw and supervised all data collection and analysis and performed the research. The following actions were taken to complete this appraisal.

- On February 19, 2020, Jonathan Richmond, Market Analyst, conducted an interior and exterior inspection of the subject property to determine the property's physical and functional characteristics. Jonathan Richmond inspected common areas, mechanical rooms, exterior grounds and the following units: Unit 11 and 37. He measured the units and/or viewed the plans to verify unit sizes. He interviewed Michael Severe to determine the rental rates, services and amenities offered to the tenants of the subject property.
- The purpose of this market study is to determine if the community has a need for the subject units. To do so, the analysts utilize data from the U.S. Census Bureau, Nielsen Claritas and Ribbon Demographics and various other demographic resources. Community information and opinion was also utilized. The research retrieved data from several of the following: internet sites, local newspapers and rental publications, town records, owners and managers of local apartment properties, local real estate brokers, fellow analysts and the analyst's office files.
- During the week of February 19, 2020, Jonathan Richmond inspected the exterior of each comparable property used in the analysis. When available, Jonathan Richmond inspected the interiors of the comparable properties. Unit sizes were measured when an interior inspection was available, or from floor plans (excluding balconies/patios and mechanical areas) if available.
- During the verification process, Jonathan Richmond, or one of his associates, talked with the managers or leasing agents of the comparable properties, to confirm all data and to collect additional information about each comparable, including size, age, amenities, occupancy rates and general market information. Whenever possible, floor plans and brochures were obtained, which describe the comparable properties unit size, feature and amenities.

PROPERTY DESCRIPTION



Property Description

Project	Name:
Location	า:

Project Type:

Developer:

Construction Type:

Area Median Family Income:

Deerfield Apartments 1651 Maryland Avenue Crewe, Virginia Elderly 55+ Acquisition/Rehabilitation AS Squared Development, LLC \$58,500

The subject, Deerfield Apartments, is a proposed Rural Development and Low Income Housing Tax Credit multifamily development that contains 39 rental units. The property is applying for an allocation of Low-Income Housing Tax Credits which will set the income eligibility to individuals earning 40, 50 and 60 percent of the area median income, below \$29,100 considering subsidy or between \$13,620 and \$29,100 when not considering subsidy. The developer is not electing to use the income-average minimum set-aside option.

The subject is 100 percent Rural Development. The current tenant population is restricted to individual and families whose incomes do not exceed 115 percent of the area median income. In addition, restrictions of rents plus tenant paid utilities are in place which may not exceed 30 percent of the 115 percent of the area median income as well as the average rent for the project including utilities may not exceed 30 percent of the 100 percent of the area median income. Due to the fact the subject property is currently under such rent restrictions and will remain under these restrictions, it is believed the development will not exceed rent restrictions set forth by the RHS 538 program.

Project Design

Deerfield Apartments is comprised of five one-story garden buildings containing 39 units a meeting room, laundry facility, office and maintenance area. The buildings are of frame construction with siding exterior and asphalt shingle roofs. The property is not a scattered site development.

Unit Features

Each unit contains the following amenities: refrigerator, range/oven, carpet, vinyl, blinds, ceiling fans, coat closet, patio, pull cords and safety bars.

Common Amenities and Services

The property will provide the following project amenities: meeting room, picnic area, laundry facility, onsite management, on-site maintenance and gazebo.

Parking

The complex contains open asphalt parking areas with approximately 42 parking spaces. This parking ratio is slightly higher than one space per unit; however, it is an elderly development for 55 and older and the need for a vehicle is less than with a family development. Therefore, this does not appear to have a negative impact on the subject property.



Utilities

UTILITY SCHEDULE					
Utility	Туре	Who Pays			
Heat	PTAC	Tenant			
Air Conditioning	PTAC	Tenant			
Hot Water	Electric	Tenant			
Cooking	Electric	Tenant			
Other Electric	Electric	Tenant			
Cold Water/Sewer	N/A	Landlord			
Trash Collection	N/A	Landlord			
Cable/Satellite	N/A	Tenant			
Internet	N/A	Tenant			

The following table describes the project's proposed utility combination.

UTILITY SCHEDULE				
Utility	Туре	Who Pays		
Heat	Central Electric	Tenant		
Air Conditioning	Central Electric	Tenant		
Hot Water	Electric	Tenant		
Cooking	Electric	Tenant		
Other Electric	Electric	Tenant		
Cold Water/Sewer	N/A	Landlord		
Trash Collection	N/A	Landlord		
Cable/Satellite	N/A	Tenant		
Internet	In Unit and Common Area Wi-Fi	Landlord		

Unit Mix, Size and Rent Structure

The following chart lists the subject's existing unit distribution by unit type, size and rent structure.

Unit Type	# of Units	Square Footage	Total Square Footage
1/1	39	577	22,503
	39		22,503

The following chart lists the subject's proposed unit distribution by unit type, size and rent structure. Upon completion of its rehabilitation, the subject's unit mix will be slightly changed.

MAXIMUM LIHTC RENTS AND UTILITY ALLOWANCES									
Unit Type	# of Units	Avg.	% of	Maximum	Gross	Utility	Net Rent		
		Square	Median	LIHTC	Rent	Allowance			
		Feet	Income	Rent					
1/1	4	577	40%	\$454	\$745	\$121	\$624		
1/1	16	577	50%	\$568	\$745	\$121	\$624		
1/1	18	577	60%	\$681	\$745	\$121	\$624		
1/1	1	577	60%	\$681	\$681	\$121	\$560		

*The maximum rents at exceed the maximum gross rent. However, of the subject's 39 units, 38 units contain Rental Assistance. Therefore, these tenants will not be required to pay more than 30 percent of their income or the maximum LIHTC rent.



The subject property will attract residents with incomes below \$29,100, annually when considering subsidies and between \$13,620 and \$29,100 when considering subsidies.

LIHTC INCOME LIMITS								
Person in Households	40%	50%	60%					
1	\$16,960	\$21,200	\$25,440					
2	\$19,400	\$24,250	\$29,100					
3	\$21,800	\$27,250	\$32,700					
4	\$24,240	\$30,300	\$36,360					
5	\$26,160	\$32,700	\$39,240					
6	\$28,120	\$35,150	\$42,180					

Source: HUD

Tenant Services

The subject will not offer any tenant services.

Scope of Rehabilitation

The property will undergo a substantial rehabilitation and will be in good condition. The proposed scope of work is comprehensive and includes replacement of appliances, kitchen cabinets, bath vanities and medicine cabinets, windows, interior and exterior doors, hot water heaters, air conditioning units, toilets and baths, flooring and structural elements such as exterior brick and wall supports, roofing and regrading and striping of the parking lots. In addition, a dishwasher will be added to each unit, and common area Wi-Fi will be added to the accessory building. The total estimated cost of rehabilitation is \$1,899,180, or \$48,697 per unit. The rehabilitation is anticipated to begin in July 2021 and end in April 2022.

Location/Site Characteristics

The property site contains approximately 182,691 square feet, or 4.194 acres. According to the Town of Crewe, the subject is zoned C-1, Commercial District. The subject is a legal, non-conforming use. According to Brian, the subject has been grandfathered in. He stated that the owner would have to follow the zoning code if the property were destroyed. According to the code, if a nonconforming structure is destroyed or damaged to the extent that the cost of restoration to its condition before the occurrence shall exceed 50 percent of the cost of reconstruction the entire activity or structure, it shall be restored only if such uses complies with the requirement of the ordinance. The subject is located on one single site, and it is not a scattered site development. The subject is located in Census Tract #0003.00.

The neighborhood is comprised primarily of single-family residences. Vacant land is located north of the site. Vacant land is located south of the site. Vacant land and single-family residences are located east of the subject. Vacant land is located west of the subject. The neighborhood is 75 percent built up. Approximately 35 percent of the land use is made up of single-family residences. About 25 percent is comprised of vacant land. Another 20 percent of the land use is made up of multifamily dwellings. The remaining 20 percent is commercial properties. The area is mostly suburban. The subject is located along Maryland Avenue. Therefore, the subject has average visibility and easy access.

SITE EVALUATION



Project Location


The existing development contains 39 elderly units in Crewe, Virginia. Crewe is located in the southern portion of the State of Virginia. Nearby cities include the following: Burkeville, Blackstone, Ford, Petersburg and Richmond.

The primary market area consists of Nottoway, Prince Edward and Amelia Counties. The market area has the following boundaries: North – Appomattox, Buckingham and Cumberland Counties; South – Lunenburg and Brunswick Counties; East – Chesterfield and Dinwiddie Counties; and West – Charlotte County. This is the area from which the subject would normally draw its applicants.

Crewe is served by U.S. Highways 360 and 460 and State Highways 40, 46, 49, 153, 615 and 618. The subject is in the northwestern portion of the town. The subject is located along Maryland Avenue.


Deerfield Apartments 1651 Maryland Avenue Crewe, Virginia 23930





Deerfield Apartments 1651 Maryland Avenue Crewe, Virginia 23930





Gill Group | **Promises Kept. Deadlines Met.** Page | 25



Community and Site Information

Site Characteristics

The site is in a primarily residential neighborhood in the northwestern portion of Crewe. The subject is located along Maryland Avenue.

The property is easily accessed via Maryland Avenue which is located south of Maryland Avenue. Maryland Avenue connects to State Highway 49 a major thoroughfare throughout the town.

The property site contains approximately 182,691 square feet, or 4.194 acres. According to the Town of Crewe, the subject is zoned C-1, Commercial District. The subject is a legal, non-conforming use. According to Brian, the subject has been grandfathered in. He stated that the owner would have to follow the zoning code if the property were destroyed. According to the code, if a nonconforming structure is destroyed or damaged to the extent that the cost of restoration to its condition before the occurrence shall exceed 50 percent of the cost of reconstruction the entire activity or structure, it shall be restored only if such uses complies with the requirement of the ordinance. The subject is located in Census Tract #0003.00.

Surrounding Land Uses

The neighborhood is comprised primarily of single-family residences. Vacant land is located north of the site. Vacant land is located south of the site. Vacant land and single-family residences are located east of the subject. Vacant land is located west of the subject. The neighborhood is 75 percent built up. Approximately 35 percent of the land use is made up of single-family residences. About 25 percent is comprised of vacant land. Another 20 percent of the land use is made up of multifamily dwellings. The remaining 20 percent is commercial properties. The area is mostly suburban.





Subject Photos



View of Sign



View of Exterior

Gill Group | *Promises Kept. Deadlines Met.* Page | 27





View of Exterior



View of Exterior





View of Exterior



View of Meeting Room





View of Meeting Room



View of Meeting Room





View of Picnic Area



View of Gazebo





View of Laundry Facility



View of Maintenance Area





View of Typical Living Area



View of Typical Kitchen





View of Typical Bedroom



View of Typical Bath





View of Parking



View of Street





View to the North



View to the South





View to the East



View to the West



Nearby Employment Opportunities

Most market area tenants have blue collar occupations. According to the U.S. Census Bureau, approximately 73 percent of the market area works in the service and laborer industries.

Regional and Area Data

Parks and Recreational Opportunities

Recreational facilities and areas in Nottoway County include two country clubs, several lakes and hunting and fishing opportunities.

Government/Public Safety

The Town of Crewe operates under a Council-Mayor form of government; one mayor and a nine-member Town Council. The Town is served by the Crewe Police Department and the Crewe Fire Department.

Utilities

The Town of Crewe provides water and sewer services to the residents of the town. Electricity is provided by Southside Electric Cooperative. Natural gas is provided by Columbia Gas of Virginia. Basic telephone services are provided by Verizon and AT&T.

Health Services

Crewe Medical Center is a clinic located in Crewe that serves the residents of the town and surrounding areas. Hospitals in the area include Southside Community Hospital in Farmville and Lunenburg Medical Center in Victoria. There are several medical and healthcare facilities located in Richmond, 60 miles northeast of Crewe.

Transportation

Major highways in Nottoway County include U.S. Highways 360 and 460 and State Highways 40, 46, 49, 153, 615 and 618. The nearest major airport is Richmond International Airport in Richmond, Virginia, 58 miles north of Crewe. Interstate and intrastate bus services are provided by Greyhound, with a bus stop 35 miles south in South Hill. Amtrak provides commuter rail services to the area via a station in Richmond. Blackstone Area Bus System offers public transportation to the rural area near Crewe and Blackstone.

Crime

According to AreaVibes, approximately 372 per 100,000 residents are victims of a violent crime annually, and approximately 2,417 per 100,000 residents are victims of a property crime each year. There has been a total of 60 crimes in the town within the past year, 8 of which are violent crimes and 52 of which are property crimes. The crime rate for Crewe is 8.0 percent higher than for the nation. The total number of crimes in the town has increased 19.0 percent within the past year, according to AreaVibes. There is a 1 in 269 chance of being the victim of a violent crime and a 1 in 42 chance of being the victim of a property crime. The life cycle is generally in the stability stage. Therefore, there are no major adverse influences or hazards observed or known by the appraiser in the immediate surrounding area.




	BANKING SERVICES	
Legend	Service	Distance From Site
6	Benchmark Community Bank	0.34
19	First Citizens Bank	1.53
23	Citizens Bank & Trust Co.	1.59
	GROCERY SUPERMARKET AND BAKERY SERVIC	ES
Legend	Service	Distance From Site
3	Yoder's Market	0.24
10	Dollar General	0.66
24	A&J Produce	1.62
26	Perk's Corner Market	1.64
34	Family Dollar	2.15
	PHARMACY SERVICES	
Legend	Service	Distance From Site
20	Crittenden's Drug, Inc.	1.53
	RESTAURANT, MEAL DELIVERY AND TAKEAWAY SEF	RVICES
Legend	Service	Distance From Site
4	Tastee Freez	0.29
7	New China Chinese Restaurant	0.41
11	Luigi's Place	0.66
14	Bravo Italian Grill	0.71
27	Dine 'N' Crewe	1.66
	CLOTHING, SHOE, DEPARTMENT STORES AND MALL S	ERVICES
Legend	Service	Distance From Site
2	Super Dollar Store	0.23
9	Ike & Wendy Stuff N Things	0.57
_	SALON/BARBER, FLORIST AND JEWELRY STORE SEF	RVICES
Legend	Service	Distance From Site
28	Stylette Beauty Shop	1.66
31	Fusion Hair Salon	1.76
-	BUS/SUBWAY/TRAIN STATION, TAXI AND AIRPORT SE	RVICES
Legend	Service	Distance From Site
1	Blackstone Area Bus System: Crewe-Burkeville Route	0.14



Legend	Service	Distance From Site
5	U BC Multi-Purpose Gym Complex	0.32
15	Commonwealth Dentistry	1.12
33	First-Rate Medical Care	1.91
36	Crewe Medical Center	2.81
	LIBRARY, MUSEUM, ZOO AND AQUARIUM	SERVICES
Legend	Service	Distance From Site
18	Little Free Library	1.51
-	PARK AND AMUSEMENT PARKSERV	ICES
Legend	Service	Distance From Site
12	Railroader Park	0.66
	POST OFFICE SERVICES	
Legend	Service	Distance From Site
21	United States Postal Service	1.56
	CONVENIENCE STORE GAS STATIONSE	RVICES
Legend	Service	Distance From Site
8	Fas Mart	0.41
30	C Mart	1.73
	CHURCH, SYNAGOGUE, TEMPLE AND MOSQ	UE SERVICES
Legend	Service	Distance From Site
16	Crewe Church of the Nazarene	1.12
17	First Nottoway Baptist Church	1.45
22	Crewe Christian Church	1.58
25	Pryor Memorial Presbyterian	1.63
32	Crewe Baptist Church	1.86
	POLICE, CITY HALL AND COURTHOUSE	BERVICES
Legend	Service	Distance From Site
29	Crewe Police Department	1.66
	FIRE STATION SERVICES	
Legend	Service	Distance From Site
13	Crewe Volunteer Fire Department	0.66
	SCHOOL SERVICES	
Legend	Service	Distance From Site
35	Crewe Primary School K-12	2.68


Deerfield Apartments 1651 Maryland Avenue Crewe, Virginia 23930





Gill Group | *Promises Kept. Deadlines Met.* Page | 41 Deerfield Apartments 1651 Maryland Avenue Crewe, Virginia 23930





*There are two existing elderly properties in the market area that will compete with the subject.



Summary of Site Strengths and Weaknesses

Strengths – The site has average visibility and access. The site is close to employment centers, health care services, government and public services.

Weaknesses – The site has no apparent weaknesses.

PRIMARY MARKET AREA



Delineation of Market Area

Following is a list of considerations used when determining the market area:

- Population and Households Counts: The number of households in a market area is directly proportionate to its geographic size within an individual market. Total householders residing in a market area can greatly influence demand calculations.
- General Demographics: The socio-economic composition of a market area including income, household size and tenure can be largely influenced by the erroneous inclusion or exclusions of non-comparable areas.
- Demand: Too large of a market may result in overstating demand for a proposed development. Conversely, too conservative of a market area may discount the demand for new product, especially if a lack of quality housing currently exists.
- Supply Analysis: While a larger market area generally includes higher household totals, it likewise may include a higher number of directly comparable units. If using demand methodologies that net out recently constructed and comparable rental units from the demand estimate, the increase in the number of comparable units can outweigh the increase in demand.
- Competitive Stock: The existing rental stock surveyed for a market study is dependent on the number of rental properties in a given market and therefore the boundaries of a primary market area. If existing developments are going to be used as "comparables", they should be located in the primary market area, if possible.
- Attainable Rents: If the market area includes non-comparable areas, the analysis may use comparables projects that suggest that a project can achieve rents that area significantly higher or lower than the rents its micro location will support.
- Location of Competitive Properties: A primary consideration in defining a market area is identifying those locations that offer alternative opportunities to a potential renter for a subject site. The managers of existing comparable properties near the site are excellent sources in determining those competitive locations.
- Accessibility: Available transportation linkages including both traffic arteries and mass transit options can influence the size of the market. Mass transit can have a significant impact on projects addressing very low income households for which transportation options may be limited.
- Natural Boundaries: Natural boundaries including rivers and mountains can restrict the movership within a market due to a lack of accessibility.
- Housing Project Characteristics: The availability of a unique structure type such as a high rise may alter the typical draw of a potential project.
- Market Perceptions: Whether grounded in reality or not, market perceptions can be a significant determinant of market area boundaries. Social stigmas often exist within sub-markets, with residents from one side of a submarket may not move to a close by neighborhood, regardless of housing opportunities. The construction of a new, attractive rental community may not necessarily relieve this perception.
- Commuting Patterns: The time spent commuting and employment destination could often reveal distinct patterns. High percentages of workers with long commutes or working in neighboring counties are often indicators of a lack of affordable housing options near employment centers.
- Target Market: Proposed developments targeting a special needs population such as seniors generally draw from a larger geographic region. Given the smaller pool of qualified householders, the location and quantity of comparable stock is of additional importance. An acceptable market area for a family oriented rental community will often be too conservative for a rental community targeting senior rental householders.
- Jurisdictional Boundaries: Differences in the quality and services provided by school districts, municipalities, or counties often influence consumer location choices.
- Local Agency Service Boundaries: The geographic areas covered by local agencies, such as housing authorities, senior citizen centers, community-based organizations, or churches can be a strong indicator of market area boundaries, especially when the project has a community-based sponsor or co-sponsor.

Gill Group | Promises Kept. Deadlines Met.




• Non-Geographic Factors: Employees who might be expected to reside in a development as a result of planned or existing job opportunities and special needs households who are served by a multi-jurisdictional agency that covers communities that are clearly distinct market areas.

The determination of the market area is based on the boundaries between governmental units. In such a method, county, division or town boundaries become the boundaries of the market area. The primary market area for the subject consists of Nottoway, Prince Edward and Amelia Counties. The market area has the following boundaries: North – Appomattox, Buckingham and Cumberland Counties; South – Lunenburg and Brunswick Counties; East – Chesterfield and Dinwiddie Counties; and West – Charlotte County. This is the area from which the subject would normally draw its applicants.

Deerfield Apartments 1651 Maryland Avenue Crewe, Virginia 23930





Gill Group | Promises Kept. Deadlines Met. Page | 47 MARKET AREA EMPLOYMENT TRENDS



Employment and Economy

The economy of Crewe is based on construction; manufacturing; retail trade; transportation, communication and utilities; professional and related services; and educational, health and social services sectors. Each of these categories has experienced reasonable growth within the past few years.

Unemployment in the county reached a high of 8.0 percent in 2009 and was at its lowest in 2018 with 3.1 percent. The rate for Nottoway County in December 2019 was 2.5 percent. The number employed has increased 1.1 percent per year since 2005.

LABOR FORCE AND EMPLOYMENT TRENDS FOR NOTTOWAY COUNTY							
	CIVILIAN LABOR	EMPLOYN	EMPLOYMENT UNEMPLOYME		MENT		
ANNUALS	FORCE*	TOTAL	%	TOTAL	%		
2005	6,345	6,053	95.4%	292	4.6%		
2006	6,427	6,160	95.8%	267	4.2%		
2007	6,577	6,322	96.1%	255	3.9%		
2008	6,588	6,228	94.5%	360	5.5%		
2009	6,639	6,107	92.0%	532	8.0%		
2010	6,859	6,338	92.4%	521	7.6%		
2011	6,893	6,400	92.8%	493	7.2%		
2012	6,879	6,441	93.6%	438	6.4%		
2013	7,072	6,645	94.0%	427	6.0%		
2014	7,078	6,699	94.6%	379	5.4%		
2015	7,129	6,806	95.5%	323	4.5%		
2016	7,025	6,742	96.0%	283	4.0%		
2017	7,120	6,849	96.2%	271	3.8%		
2018	7,226	7,004	96.9%	222	3.1%		
2019**	7,412	7,225	97.5%	187	2.5%		

* Data based on place of residence.

**Preliminary - based on monthly data through December 2019 Source: U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics

The State of Virginia reached a high of 7.2 percent in 2010 and was at its lowest point in 2007 and 2018 with 3.0 percent. The rate for the State of Virginia in December 2019 was 2.5 percent. The number employed has increased 0.7 percent per year since 2005.



	LABOR FORCE AND EMPLOYMENT TRENDS FOR VIRGINIA						
	CIVILIAN LABOR	EMPLOYN	IENT	UNEMPLOYMENT			
ANNUALS	FORCE*	TOTAL	%	TOTAL	%		
2005	3,897,060	3,757,606	96.4%	139,454	3.6%		
2006	3,978,654	3,855,644	96.9%	123,010	3.1%		
2007	4,036,854	3,914,094	97.0%	122,760	3.0%		
2008	4,133,464	3,970,441	96.1%	163,023	3.9%		
2009	4,118,183	3,842,524	93.3%	275,659	6.7%		
2010	4,157,667	3,860,390	92.8%	297,277	7.2%		
2011	4,211,815	3,934,333	93.4%	277,482	6.6%		
2012	4,223,850	3,967,988	93.9%	255,862	6.1%		
2013	4,237,294	3,995,194	94.3%	242,100	5.7%		
2014	4,244,126	4,022,169	94.8%	221,957	5.2%		
2015	4,216,990	4,029,055	95.5%	187,935	4.5%		
2016	4,242,674	4,069,450	95.9%	173,224	4.1%		
2017	4,309,588	4,150,132	96.3%	159,456	3.7%		
2018	4,331,380	4,202,801	97.0%	128,579	3.0%		
2019**	4,447,462	4,338,219	97.5%	109,243	2.5%		

* Data based on place of residence.

**Preliminary - based on monthly data through December 2019

Source: U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics

According to the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics, unemployment trends for Nottoway County are slightly higher than the unemployment trends for the State of Virginia.

CHANGE IN TOTAL EMPLOYMENT FOR NOTTOWAY COUNTY						
	NUM	IBER	PERCENT			
PERIOD	TOTAL	ANNUAL	TOTAL	ANNUAL		
2005-2010	285	57	4.7%	0.9%		
2010-2015	468	94	7.4%	1.5%		

Source: U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics

The changes in employment since 2005 by time period are listed in the above table. The data shows that the number of persons employed in Nottoway County increased an average of 1.2 percent per year between 2005 and 2015.

	RECENT CHANGES IN EMPLOYMENT FOR NOTTOWAY COUNTY						
YEAR	NUMBER EMPLOYED	ANNUAL CHANGE	% OF LABOR FORCE UNEMPLOYED				
2010	6,338	231	7.6%				
2011	6,400	62	7.2%				
2012	6,441	41	6.4%				
2013	6,645	204	6.0%				
2014	6,699	54	5.4%				
2015	6,806	107	4.5%				
2016	6,742	(64)	4.0%				
2017	6,849	107	3.8%				
2018	7,004	155	3.1%				

Source: U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics

The previous tables show the changes in employment and percent unemployed for the past nine years. The unemployment rate for Nottoway County has fluctuated from 3.1 percent to 7.6 percent. The fluctuations for Nottoway County are slightly higher than the unemployment trends for State of Virginia.



Major Employers

Major employers for the county are shown in the following table:

Name	Product/Industry	# of Employees
Nottoway County Public School Board	Education	500-999
Virginia Center for Behavioral Health	Healthcare	500-999
Nottoway Correctional Center	Corrections	250-499
Piedmont Geriatric Hospital	Healthcare	250-499
U.S. Department of Defense	Military	250-499
Virginia Department of Military Affairs	Military	250-499
Heritage Hall	Healthcare	100-249
Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.	Retail	100-249
County of Nottoway	Government	100-249
Town of Blackstone	Government	50-99

Place of Work Employment

PLACE OF WORK EMPLOYMENT DATA							
	NOTTOWA	NOTTOWAY COUNTY MAR			CRE	WE	
INDUSTRY	TOTAL	%	TOTAL	%	TOTAL	%	
Agriculture, Forestry, Fisheries & Mining	298	5.8%	784	3.8%	27	3.4%	
Construction	579	11.3%	1,690	8.3%	106	13.2%	
Manufacturing	409	7.9%	1,498	7.4%	82	10.2%	
Wholesale Trade	67	1.3%	273	1.3%	19	2.4%	
Retail Trade	603	11.7%	2,442	12.0%	75	9.3%	
Transportation, Communication & Utilities	359	7.0%	1,059	5.2%	58	7.2%	
Information	103	2.0%	199	1.0%	15	1.9%	
Finance, Insurance & Real Estate	203	3.9%	992	4.9%	33	4.1%	
Professional & Related Services	279	5.4%	1,289	6.3%	30	3.7%	
Educational, Health & Social Services	1,238	24.1%	5,297	26.0%	198	24.6%	
Entertainment & Recreation Services	356	6.9%	2,189	10.7%	65	8.1%	
Other	243	4.7%	978	4.8%	42	5.2%	
Public Administration	409	7.9%	1,674	8.2%	54	6.7%	

Source: Nielsen Claritas and Ribbon Demographics

The above chart shows the number of people employed in different sectors of Nottoway County, primary market area and Crewe economy in 2020. According to interviews conducted by the analyst, employers in the area indicate that the area economy should remain stable in the next two to five years.

Future Employment Trends

According to the area newspaper there have been no major business openings or closings. Therefore, it is the analyst's opinion that the area will remain stable for the next three to five years.

Wages

The average annual wage of employees in Nottoway County was \$38,423 in 2018. Wages have been increasing 0.1 percent per year. Wages in the retail trade; education and health services; and other services sectors are within the proposed income limits for the subject's units.



AVERAGE A	NNUAL WAGE BY	SECTOR	
INDUSTRY	2017	2018	ANNUAL
Agriculture, Forestry, and Fisheries	N/A*	N/A*	N/A*
Mining	\$41,892	\$43,659	4.2%
Construction	\$37,966	\$41,411	9.1%
Manufacturing	\$42,076	\$40,820	-3.0%
Transportation and Warehousing	N/A*	N/A*	N/A*
Utilities	N/A*	N/A*	N/A*
Wholesale Trade	\$36,634	\$38,016	3.8%
Retail Trade	\$23,342	\$23,519	0.8%
Leisure and Hospitality	N/A*	N/A*	N/A*
Education and Health Services	\$23,822	\$24,087	1.1%
Professional and Business Services	\$68,062	\$75,210	10.5%
Financial Activities	\$41,342	\$32,104	-22.3%
Information	\$49,244	\$44,592	N/A*
Other Services	\$25,940	\$26,684	2.9%
Public Administration (Local Government)	\$31,925	\$32,548	2.0%

Source: U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics

*Data was not available.

Employment Outside the County

For residents employed in the primary market area in 2020, the travel time to work from the site is less than 44 minutes. For the majority of those employed in other parts of the county, the travel time would be within 59 minutes. According to the chart below, 32.2 percent have a travel time of less than 15 minutes; 29.4 percent have a travel time of 15 to 29 minutes; and 38.5 percent have a travel time of over 30 minutes. This relatively low travel time indicates that the subject site is in an area in close proximity to employment centers.

ESTIMATED TRAVEL TIME TO WORK FOR MARKET AREA RESIDENTS TRAVEL TIME IN MINUTES NUMBER OF COMMUTERS PERCENT						
NUMBER OF COMMUTERS	PERCENT					
6,171	32.2%					
5,637	29.4%					
2,714	14.1%					
2,184	11.4%					
2,487	13.0%					
19,193						
	NUMBER OF COMMUTERS 6,171 5,637 2,714 2,184 2,487					

Source: Nielsen Claritas, Ribbon Demographics

Summary of Employment Trends in Market Area

Households who have between one and two persons and annual incomes below \$29,100 are potential tenants for the units at the subject property when considering subsidies and between \$13,620 and \$29,100 are potential tenants for the units at the subject property when considering no subsidies. Major employers shown on Page 51 are included in the retail trade; education and health services; professional and business services; and public administration sectors. The available employment is well-suited to the targeted population of the existing development.

MARKET AREA DEMOGRAPHIC DATA



Population and Households Trends and Analysis

The housing market area for the proposed apartments is an area in which people could locate their residences and have fairly equal access to the same job market, community services and amenities and be in the socio-economic community they choose.

The primary market area consists of Nottoway, Prince Edward and Amelia Counties. The market area has the following boundaries: North – Appomattox, Buckingham and Cumberland Counties; South – Lunenburg and Brunswick Counties; East – Chesterfield and Dinwiddie Counties; and West – Charlotte County.

In 2000 this geographic primary market area contained an estimated population of 46,845. By 2010, population in this primary market area had increased by 5.7 percent to 49,512. In 2020, the population in this market area increased by 4.2 percent to 51,598. It is projected that between 2020 and 2025, population in the market area is expected to increase.

	CHANGE IN TOTAL POPULATION								
			TOTAL		ANNUA	1			
SUBJECT	YEAR	POPULATION	CHANGE	PERCENT	CHANGE	PERCENT			
NOTTOWAY COUNTY	2000	15,725							
	2010	15,853	128	0.8%	13	0.1%			
Estimated	2020	15,445	(408)	-2.6%	(41)	-0.3%			
Projected	2025	15,488	43	0.3%	9	0.1%			
MARKET AREA	2000	46,845							
	2010	49,512	2,667	5.7%	267	0.6%			
Estimated	2020	51,598	2,086	4.2%	209	0.4%			
Projected	2025	52,144	546	1.1%	109	0.2%			
CREWE	2025	2,378							
	2010	2,326	(52)	-2.2%	(5)	-0.2%			
Estimated	2020	2,162	(164)	-7.1%	(16)	-0.7%			
Projected	2025	2,160	(2)	-0.1%	0	0.0%			



0-4 2,653 2,681 1.1% 2,681 2,719 1.4% 5-9 2,842 2,580 -9.2% 2,580 2,688 4.2% 10-14 2,859 2,548 -10.9% 2,548 2,591 1.7% 15-17 1,779 1,715 -3.6% 1,715 1,661 -3.1% 18-20 2,592 3,785 46.0% 3,785 3,617 -4.4% 21-24 3,580 4,022 12.3% 4,022 3,731 -7.2% 25-34 5,657 6,474 14.4% 6,474 6,844 5.7% 35-44 5,977 5,277 -11.7% 5,277 5,541 5.0% 45-54 7,412 5,886 -20.6% 5,886 5,179 -12.0% 45-64 6,223 6,973 12.1% 6,973 6,735 -3.4% 65-74 4,327 5,556 28.4% 5,556 6,539 17.7% 75-84 2,514 2,8		CHA	NGE IN POPULA	TION BY AG	E GROUPS		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $				1		Γ	
5-9 903 795 -12.0% 795 794 -0.1% 10-14 906 811 -10.8% 811 785 -3.2% 15-17 559 508 -9.1% 508 512 0.8% 21-24 800 802 0.3% 802 811 3.6% 25-34 2.079 2.283 9.8% 2.283 2.255 -1.2% 35-44 2.013 1.838 -8.7% 1.838 1.876 2.1% 45-54 2.465 1.976 1.772 -10.3% 1.784 1.988 1.53% 75-84 892 925 3.7% 925 941 1.7% 85+ 366 333 4.6% 333 4.6 6.0% 75-84 892 925 3.7% 925 941 1.7% 86+ 386 4.6% 333 4.6 6.0% 3.2% 9.8% 33.2% 9.4.54 1.1% 10tal P							
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$							
15-17 559 508 -9.1% 508 512 0.8% 18-20 559 508 -9.1% 508 512 0.8% 21-24 800 802 0.3% 802 831 3.6% 25-34 2.079 2.283 9.8% 2.283 2.255 1.2% 35-44 2.013 1.838 -8.7% 1.838 1.876 1.772 -10.3% 45-54 2.465 1.976 -19.8% 1.976 1.772 -10.3% 65-74 1.445 1.744 19.8% 383 406 6.0% 75-84 892 925 3.7% 925 941 1.7% 85+ 366 333 2.6% 3.2% 33.2% 34.5% 1.3% Elderly % Population 15.853 15.445 2.681 2.719 1.4% 6.7 2.682 2.681 1.1% 2.681 2.719 1.4% 7.9 2.842 2.500							
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$							
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $							
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $							
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $							
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $							
55-64 1,971 2,090 6,0% 2,090 2,004 4,1% 65-74 1,445 1,724 19,3% 1,724 1,988 15,3% 75-84 892 925 3,7% 925 941 1,7% 85+ 366 383 4.6% 383 406 6.0% Total Population 15,853 15,445 -2,6% 15,445 15,488 0.3% Elderly % Population 15,853 15,445 -2,6% 15,445 15,488 0.3% 60-4 2,653 2,681 1.1% 2,681 2,719 1,4% 5-9 2,842 2,580 -9,2% 2,580 2,688 4,2% 10-14 2,859 2,544 -10,9% 2,548 2,591 1.7% 15-17 1,779 1,715 -3,6% 1,715 1,661 3,1% 18-20 2,592 3,785 46,0% 3,785 3,617 4,4% 25-34 5,657 <							
65.74 1,445 1,724 19.3% 1,724 1,988 15.3% 75-84 892 925 3.7% 925 941 1.7% 85+ 366 383 4.6% 383 406 6.0% Total Population 15.853 15.445 2.6% 15.445 15.488 0.3% Elderly % Population 29.5% 33.2% 9.8% 33.2% 34.5% 1.3% MARKET AREA							
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$							
85+ 366 383 4.6% 383 406 6.0% Total Population 15,883 15,445 -2.6% 15,445 15,488 0.3% Elderly % Population 29.5% 33.2% 9.8% 33.2% 33.2% 34.5% 1.3% MARKET AREA Market AREA 2020 CHANGE 2020 2025 CHANGE 0.4 2,653 2,681 1.1% 2,681 2.719 1.4% 5.9 2,842 2,580 -9.2% 2,580 2.688 4.2% 10.14 2,659 2,548 -10.9% 2,548 2,591 1.7% 15.17 1,779 1,715 -3.6% 1,715 1,661 -3.1% 18-20 2,592 3,785 46.0% 3,785 3.617 4.4% 21-24 3,580 4.022 12.3% 4,022 3,731 -7.2% 25-34 5,657 6,474 14.4% 6,474 6,844 5.7% 35-							
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$				3.7%			1.7%
Elderly % Population 29.5% 33.2% 9.8% 33.2% 34.5% 1.3% MARKET AREA AGE 2010 2020 CHANGE 2020 2025 CHANGE 0.4 2,653 2,681 1.1% 2,681 2,719 1.4% 5-9 2,842 2,580 9.2% 2,580 2,688 4.2% 10-14 2,859 2,548 -10.9% 2,548 2,591 1.7% 15-17 1,779 1,715 3.6% 1,715 1.661 -3.1% 18-20 2,592 3,785 46.0% 3,785 3,617 4.4% 21-24 3,580 4,022 12.3% 4,022 3,731 -7.2% 25-34 5,657 6,474 14.4% 6,474 5,844 5.7% 35-44 5,977 5,277 -11.7% 5,277 5,541 5.0% 45-54 7,412 5,886 -20.6% 5,866 5,179 +12.0%							
MARKET AREA AGE 2010 2020 CHANGE 2020 2025 CHANGE 0-4 2,653 2,681 1.1% 2,681 2,719 1,4% 5-9 2,842 2,580 -9.2% 2,580 2,688 4.2% 10-14 2,859 2,548 -10.9% 2,548 2,591 1.7% 15-17 1,779 1,715 -3.6% 1,715 1.661 -3.1% 18-20 2,592 3,785 46.0% 3,785 3,617 4.4% 21-24 3,580 4,022 12.3% 4,022 3,731 -7.2% 25-34 5,657 6,474 14.4% 6,474 6,844 5.7% 3544 5,977 5,277 -11.7% 5,277 5,541 5.0% 45-54 7,412 5,866 20.6% 5,866 5,179 12.0% 55-64 6,223 6,973 12.9% 2,839 2,958 4.2% 85+		15,853	15,445	-2.6%	15,445	15,488	0.3%
AGE 2010 2020 CHANGE 2020 2025 CHANGE 0-4 2,653 2,681 1.1% 2,681 2,719 1.4% 5-9 2,842 2,580 9.2% 2,580 2,688 4.2% 10-14 2,859 2,548 -10.9% 2,548 2,591 1.7% 15-17 1,779 1,715 -3.6% 1,715 1,661 -3.1% 18-20 2,592 3,785 46.0% 3,785 3,617 -4.4% 21-24 3,580 4,022 12.3% 4,022 3,731 -7.2% 25-34 5,657 6,474 14.4% 6,474 6,844 5.7% 35-44 5,977 5,277 -11.7% 5,277 5,541 5.0% 45-54 7,412 5,868 20.6% 5,886 5,179 -12.0% 65-74 4,327 5,556 28.4% 5,556 6,539 17.7% 75-84 2,514 2,839 <td>Elderly % Population</td> <td>29.5%</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>33.2%</td> <td>34.5%</td> <td>1.3%</td>	Elderly % Population	29.5%			33.2%	34.5%	1.3%
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			MARK	ET AREA		-	
5-9 $2,842$ $2,580$ $-9.2%$ $2,580$ $2,688$ $4.2%$ $10-14$ $2,859$ $2,548$ $-10.9%$ $2,548$ $2,591$ $1.7%$ $15-17$ $1,779$ $1,715$ $-3.6%$ $1,715$ $1,661$ $-3.1%$ $18-20$ $2,592$ $3,785$ $46.0%$ $3,785$ $3,617$ $-4.4%$ $21-24$ $3,580$ $4,022$ $12.3%$ $4,022$ $3,731$ $-7.2%$ 25.34 $5,657$ $6,474$ $14.4%$ $6,474$ $6,844$ $5.7%$ 35.44 $5,977$ $5,277$ $-11.7%$ $5,277$ $5,541$ $5.0%$ 45.54 $7,412$ $5,886$ $-20.6%$ $5,886$ $5,179$ $-12.0%$ 55.64 $6,223$ $6,973$ $12.1%$ $6,973$ $6,735$ $-3.4%$ 65.74 $4,327$ $5,556$ $28.4%$ $5,556$ $6,539$ $17.7%$ 75.84 $2,514$ $2,839$ $12.9%$ $2,839$ 2.958 $4.2%$ $85+$ 1.097 $1,262$ $15.0%$ $1,262$ $1,341$ $6.3%$ Total Population $49,512$ $51,598$ $42.2%$ $33.7%$ $1.5%$ CREVUEAGE20102020CHANGE20202025CHANGE $0-4$ 169 156 $-7.7%$ 156 155 $0.6%$ $5-9$ 184 152 $-17.4%$ 152 152 $0.0%$ $16-17$ 107 96 $-3.2%$ 247 2.55 $3.7%$	AGE	2010	2020	CHANGE	2020	2025	CHANGE
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	0-4	2,653	2,681	1.1%	2,681	2,719	1.4%
15-171,7791,715 -3.6% 1,7151,661 -3.1% 18-202,5923,78546.0%3,7853,617 -4.4% 21-243,5804,02212.3%4,0223,731 -7.2% 25-345,6576,47414.4%6,4746,8445,7%35-445,9775,277 -11.7% 5,2775,5415.0%45-547,4125,886 -20.6% 5,8865,179 -12.0% 65-744,3275,55628.4%5,5566,53917.7%65-744,3275,55628.4%5,5566,53917.7%75-842,5142,83912.9%2,8392,9584.2%85+1,0971,26215.0%1,2621,3416.3%Total Population49,51251,5984.2%51,59852,1441.1%Elderly % Population28.6%32.2%9.2%32.2%33.7%1.5%CREWEAGE20102020CHANGE20202025CHANGE0-4169156 -7.7% 156155 -0.6% 5-9184152 -17.4% 1521520.0%10-14157104 -9.6% 10412318.3%25-342732885.5%288268 -6.9% 35-44259246 -5.0% 246255 3.7% 45-54335247 -26.3% 247	5-9	2,842	2,580	-9.2%	2,580	2,688	4.2%
15-171,7791,715 -3.6% 1,7151,661 -3.1% 18-202,5923,78546.0%3,7853,617 -4.4% 21-243,5804,02212.3%4,0223,731 -7.2% 25.345,6576,47414.4%6,4746,8445,7%35-445,9775,277 -11.7% 5,2775,5415.0%45.547,4125,886 -20.6% 5,8865,179 -12.0% 55-646,2236,97312.1%6,9736,735 -3.4% 65-744,3275,55628.4%5,5566,53917.7%675-842,5142,83912.9%2,8392,9684.2%85+1,0971,26215.0%1,2621,3416.3%Total Population49,51251,5984.2%51,59852,1441.1%Elderly % Population28.6%32.2%9.2%32.2%33.7%1.5%CREWEAGE20102020CHANGE20202025CHANGE0-4169156 -7.7% 156155 -0.6% 5-9184152 -17.4% 1521520.0%10-14157104 -9.6% 10412318.3%18-2010683 -21.7% 83830.0%21-24115104 -9.6% 10412318.3%25-342732885.5%284265<	10-14	2,859	2,548	-10.9%	2,548	2,591	1.7%
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $				-3.6%			-3.1%
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	18-20	2,592	3,785	46.0%	3,785	3,617	-4.4%
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	21-24	3,580	4,022	12.3%	4,022	3,731	-7.2%
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	25-34	5,657	6,474	14.4%	6,474	6,844	5.7%
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	35-44	5,977	5,277	-11.7%	5,277	5,541	5.0%
55-64 $6,223$ $6,973$ $12.1%$ $6,973$ $6,735$ $-3.4%$ $65-74$ $4,327$ $5,556$ $28.4%$ $5,556$ $6,539$ $17.7%$ $75-84$ $2,514$ $2,839$ $12.9%$ $2,839$ $2,958$ $4.2%$ $85+$ $1,097$ $1,262$ $15.0%$ $1,262$ $1,341$ $6.3%$ Total Population $49,512$ $51,598$ $4.2%$ $51,598$ $52,144$ $1.1%$ Elderly % Population $28.6%$ $32.2%$ $9.2%$ $32.2%$ $33.7%$ $1.5%$ CREWEAGE20102020CHANGE20202025CHANGE $0-4$ 169 156 $-7.7%$ 156 155 $-0.6%$ $5-9$ 184 152 $-17.4%$ 152 152 $0.0%$ $10-14$ 157 146 $-7.0%$ 146 147 $0.7%$ $15-17$ 107 96 $-10.3%$ 96 89 $-7.3%$ $18-20$ 106 83 $-21.7%$ 83 83 $0.0%$ $21-24$ 115 104 $-9.6%$ 104 123 $18.3%$ $25-34$ 273 288 $5.5%$ 288 268 $6.9%$ $35-44$ 259 246 $-5.0%$ 246 255 $3.7%$ $45-54$ 335 247 $-26.3%$ 247 232 $-6.1%$ $55-64$ 263 284 $8.0%$ 284 255 $-10.2%$ $65-74$ 181 <td< td=""><td>45-54</td><td>7,412</td><td>5,886</td><td>-20.6%</td><td>5,886</td><td>5,179</td><td>-12.0%</td></td<>	45-54	7,412	5,886	-20.6%	5,886	5,179	-12.0%
75-84 2,514 2,839 12.9% 2,839 2,958 4.2% 85+ 1,097 1,262 15.0% 1,262 1,341 6.3% Total Population 49,512 51,598 4.2% 51,598 52,144 1.1% Elderly % Population 28.6% 32.2% 9.2% 32.2% 33.7% 1.5% CREWE AGE 2010 2020 CHANGE 2020 2025 CHANGE 0-4 169 156 -7.7% 156 155 -0.6% 5-9 184 152 -17.4% 152 152 0.0% 10-14 157 146 -7.0% 146 147 0.7% 15-17 107 96 -10.3% 96 89 -7.3% 18-20 106 83 -21.7% 83 83 0.0% 25-34 273 288 55.% 288 268 -6.9% 35-44 259 <td>55-64</td> <td>6,223</td> <td>6,973</td> <td>12.1%</td> <td>6,973</td> <td>6,735</td> <td>-3.4%</td>	55-64	6,223	6,973	12.1%	6,973	6,735	-3.4%
85+1,0971,26215.0%1,2621,3416.3%Total Population49,51251,5984.2%51,59852,1441.1%Elderly % Population28.6%32.2%9.2%32.2%33.7%1.5%CREWEAGE20102020CHANGE20202025CHANGE0-4169156-7.7%156155-0.6%5-9184152-17.4%1521520.0%10-14157146-7.0%1461470.7%15-1710796-10.3%9689-7.3%18-2010683-21.7%83830.0%21-24115104-9.6%10412318.3%25-342732885.5%288268-6.9%35-44259246-5.0%2462553.7%45-54335247-26.3%247232-6.1%55-642632848.0%284255-10.2%65-7418120513.3%20524720.5%75-84130110-15.4%1101121.8%85+4745-4.3%4542-6.7%	65-74	4,327	5,556	28.4%	5,556	6,539	17.7%
85+1,0971,26215.0%1,2621,3416.3%Total Population49,51251,5984.2%51,59852,1441.1%Elderly % Population28.6%32.2%9.2%32.2%33.7%1.5%CREWEAGE20102020CHANGE20202025CHANGE0-4169156-7.7%156155-0.6%5-9184152-17.4%1521520.0%10-14157146-7.0%1461470.7%15-1710796-10.3%9689-7.3%18-2010683-21.7%83830.0%21-24115104-9.6%10412318.3%25-342732885.5%288268-6.9%35-44259246-5.0%2462553.7%45-54335247-26.3%247232-6.1%55-642632848.0%284255-10.2%65-7418120513.3%20524720.5%75-84130110-15.4%1101121.8%85+4745-4.3%4542-6.7%	75-84	2,514	2,839	12.9%	2,839	2,958	4.2%
Elderly % Population28.6%32.2%9.2%32.2%33.7%1.5%AGE20102020CHANGE20202025CHANGE0-4169156-7.7%156155-0.6%5-9184152-17.4%1521520.0%10-14157146-7.0%1461470.7%15-1710796-10.3%9689-7.3%18-2010683-21.7%83830.0%21-24115104-9.6%10412318.3%25-342732885.5%288268-6.9%35-44259246-5.0%2462553.7%45-54335247-26.3%247232-6.1%55-642632848.0%284255-10.2%65-7418120513.3%20524720.5%75-84130110-15.4%1101121.8%85+47454.3%4542-6.7%Total Population2,3262,162-7.1%2,1622,160-0.1%	85+	1,097	1,262	15.0%			6.3%
Elderly % Population28.6%32.2%9.2%32.2%33.7%1.5%AGE20102020CHANGE20202025CHANGE0-4169156-7.7%156155-0.6%5-9184152-17.4%1521520.0%10-14157146-7.0%1461470.7%15-1710796-10.3%9689-7.3%18-2010683-21.7%83830.0%21-24115104-9.6%10412318.3%25-342732885.5%288268-6.9%35-44259246-5.0%2462553.7%45-54335247-26.3%247232-6.1%55-642632848.0%284255-10.2%65-7418120513.3%20524720.5%75-84130110-15.4%1101121.8%85+47454.3%4542-6.7%Total Population2,3262,162-7.1%2,1622,160-0.1%	Total Population	49,512	51,598	4.2%	51,598	52,144	1.1%
AGE 2010 2020 CHANGE 2020 2025 CHANGE 0-4 169 156 -7.7% 156 155 -0.6% 5-9 184 152 -17.4% 152 152 0.0% 10-14 157 146 -7.0% 146 147 0.7% 15-17 107 96 -10.3% 96 89 -7.3% 18-20 106 83 -21.7% 83 83 0.0% 21-24 115 104 -9.6% 104 123 18.3% 25-34 273 288 5.5% 288 268 -6.9% 35-44 259 246 -5.0% 246 255 3.7% 45-54 335 247 -26.3% 247 232 -6.1% 55-64 263 284 8.0% 284 255 -10.2% 65-74 181 205 13.3% 205 247 20.5% <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>							
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			CR	REWE			
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	AGE	2010	2020	CHANGE	2020	2025	CHANGE
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		169	156		156		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	5-9	184		-17.4%			
15-1710796-10.3%9689-7.3%18-2010683-21.7%83830.0%21-24115104-9.6%10412318.3%25-342732885.5%288268-6.9%35-44259246-5.0%2462553.7%45-54335247-26.3%247232-6.1%55-642632848.0%284255-10.2%65-7418120513.3%20524720.5%75-84130110-15.4%1101121.8%85+4745-4.3%4542-6.7%Total Population2,3262,162-7.1%2,1622,160-0.1%							
18-2010683-21.7%83830.0%21-24115104-9.6%10412318.3%25-342732885.5%288268-6.9%35-44259246-5.0%2462553.7%45-54335247-26.3%247232-6.1%55-642632848.0%284255-10.2%65-7418120513.3%20524720.5%75-84130110-15.4%1101121.8%85+4745-4.3%4542-6.7%Total Population2,3262,162-7.1%2,1622,160-0.1%	15-17	107	96	-10.3%	96	89	
21-24115104-9.6%10412318.3%25-342732885.5%288268-6.9%35-44259246-5.0%2462553.7%45-54335247-26.3%247232-6.1%55-642632848.0%284255-10.2%65-7418120513.3%20524720.5%75-84130110-15.4%1101121.8%85+4745-4.3%4542-6.7%Total Population2,3262,162-7.1%2,1622,160-0.1%							
25-342732885.5%288268-6.9%35-44259246-5.0%2462553.7%45-54335247-26.3%247232-6.1%55-642632848.0%284255-10.2%65-7418120513.3%20524720.5%75-84130110-15.4%1101121.8%85+4745-4.3%4542-6.7%Total Population2,3262,162-7.1%2,1622,160-0.1%							
35-44 259 246 -5.0% 246 255 3.7% 45-54 335 247 -26.3% 247 232 -6.1% 55-64 263 284 8.0% 284 255 -10.2% 65-74 181 205 13.3% 205 247 20.5% 75-84 130 110 -15.4% 110 112 1.8% 85+ 47 45 -4.3% 45 42 -6.7% Total Population 2,326 2,162 -7.1% 2,162 2,160 -0.1%							
45-54335247-26.3%247232-6.1%55-642632848.0%284255-10.2%65-7418120513.3%20524720.5%75-84130110-15.4%1101121.8%85+4745-4.3%4542-6.7%Total Population2,3262,162-7.1%2,1622,160-0.1%							
55-642632848.0%284255-10.2%65-7418120513.3%20524720.5%75-84130110-15.4%1101121.8%85+4745-4.3%4542-6.7%Total Population2,3262,162-7.1%2,1622,160-0.1%							
65-7418120513.3%20524720.5%75-84130110-15.4%1101121.8%85+4745-4.3%4542-6.7%Total Population2,3262,162-7.1%2,1622,160-0.1%							
75-84 130 110 -15.4% 110 112 1.8% 85+ 47 45 -4.3% 45 42 -6.7% Total Population 2,326 2,162 -7.1% 2,162 2,160 -0.1%							
85+ 47 45 -4.3% 45 42 -6.7% Total Population 2,326 2,162 -7.1% 2,162 2,160 -0.1%							
Total Population 2,326 2,162 -7.1% 2,162 2,160 -0.1%							



Housing Market

The demand for additional housing in a market area is a function of population growth, household formations and, also, a replacement of units lost through demolition and extreme obsolescence. Also, within the overall demand are segments of the market asking for more or less expense (related to income) and for ownership or rental.

Some of the demand has been, or will be, satisfied by units which have been built, or will be built, by the time the project is renting. The difference between demand and supply, the residual, is the total market of which the project's market will be a share.

The "tenure" of existing housing will be examined first as a guide to the future proportion of ownership and rentals; then characteristics of the housing stock will be noted.

The most important analysis is that of demand, supply and residual demand which follows. Its product is the number of type rental units which will be demanded.

Tenure

The percentage of renters in Nottoway County in 2020 was 33.8 percent and is expected to slightly increase to 33.9 in 2025. For the primary market area, the percentage for 2020 was 32.1 percent and expected decrease slightly to 31.9 percent in 2025. For the Town of Crewe, the percentage for 2020 was 37.9 percent and is expected increase slightly to 38.3 percent in 2025.

HOUSEHOLDS BY TENURE							
		TOTAL	OW	NER	REN	ΓER	
SUBJECT	YEAR	HOUSEHOLD	NO.	%	NO.	%	
NOTTOWAY COUNTY	2000	5,670	4,117	72.6%	1,553	27.4%	
	2010	5,706	3,781	66.3%	1,925	33.7%	
Estimated	2020	5,407	3,577	66.2%	1,830	33.8%	
Projected	2025	5,438	3,597	66.1%	1,841	33.9%	
MARKET AREA	2000	16,474	12,174	73.9%	4,300	26.1%	
	2010	18,410	12,518	68.0%	5,892	32.0%	
Estimated	2020	17,702	12,018	67.9%	5,684	32.1%	
Projected	2025	18,419	12,540	68.1%	5,879	31.9%	
CREWE	2000	982	625	63.6%	357	36.4%	
	2010	913	546	59.8%	367	40.2%	
Estimated	2020	863	536	62.1%	327	37.9%	
Projected	2025	867	535	61.7%	332	38.3%	



	TENUR	E BY AGE		
SUBJECT	AGE	OWNER	RENTER	TOTAL
NOTTOWAY COUNTY	25-34	247	378	625
	35-44	506	350	856
	45-54	829	384	1,213
	55-64	853	285	1,138
	65-74	668	196	864
	75+	638	195	833
MARKET AREA	25-34	920	1,152	2,072
	35-44	1,740	1,071	2,811
	45-54	2,825	1,002	3,827
	55-64	2,882	770	3,652
	65-74	2,177	473	2,650
	75+	1,833	533	2,366
CREWE	25-34	48	81	129
	35-44	73	75	148
	45-54	117	78	195
	55-64	107	46	153
	65-74	80	29	109
	75+	115	23	138

Source: U.S. Census Bureau

The breakdown of family households according to size and type in 2020 is shown in the first table below. Renter household size for the market area is shown on the following page.

HOUSEHOLDS BY SIZE AND TYPE							
OWNER-OCCUPIED	PIED NOTTOWAY COUNTY MARKET AREA CREWE						
1 person	884	2,856	114				
2 persons	1,463	4,921	236				
3 persons	573	2,179	85				
4 persons	402	1,622	63				
5 persons	255 262		38				
RENTER-OCCUPIED							
1 person	755	2,294	130				
2 persons	308	1,358	53				
3 persons	272	998	47				
4 persons	245	624	41				
5 persons	250	605	56				

Source: Nielsen Claritas; Ribbon Demographics



According to the U.S. Census Bureau, in 2020 there were 2.86 persons per household in Nottoway County and 2.36 in Crewe. The primary market area indicated 2.69 persons per household in 2020. The subject's units are most suitable for households with one and two persons, who account for 62.1 percent of the primary market area family renter households.

RENTER HOUSEHOLD SIZE IN THE MARKET AREA							
RENTER-OCCUPIED	NUMBER	PERCENT					
1 person	2,294	39.0%					
2 persons	1,358	23.1%					
3 persons	998	17.0%					
4 persons	624	10.6%					
5 persons	605	10.3%					
TOTAL	5,879	100.0%					

Source: Nielsen Claritas; Ribbon Demographics



The following table shows significant characteristics of the market area housing stock in 2010. In 2010 there were 12,837 single-family housing units, 1,718 multifamily housing units and 3,258 mobile homes in the market area. Of the 3,258 mobile homes in the market area, 1,084 were rental mobile homes. The data in the following table also shows that there were 92 rental units lacking complete plumbing and 161 rental units that are overcrowded. There were 697 units built prior to 1939. According to the U.S. Census Bureau, there were 747 rental units built since 2000.

CHARACTERISTICS OF THE MARKET AREA HOUSING STOCK							
	NOTTOWAY COUNT	MARKET AREA	CREWE				
TOTAL HOUSING UNITS	6,650	21,119	1,078				
OCCUPANCY AND TENURE							
Occupied Housing Units	5,706	18,410	913				
Owner-Occupied	3,781	12,518	546				
Percent Owner-Occupied	66.3%	68.0%	59.8%				
Renter-Occupied	1,925	5,892	367				
VACANT HOUSING UNITS							
For seasonal, recreational, etc.	118	486	6				
Persons per owner-occupied unit	2.46	1.23	2.36				
Persons per renter-occupied unit	2.44	1.38	2.82				
TENURE BY YEAR STRUCTURE BUILT							
RENTER-OCCUPIED							
2005 or later	71	100	0				
2000-2004	130	647	0				
1990-1999	42	724	7				
1980-1989	265	642	7				
1970-1979	276	775	86				
1960-1969	355	1,016	26				
1950-1959	344	542	78				
1940-1949	107	353	27				
1939 or earlier	409	697	152				
PERSONS PER ROOM: RENTER							
0.50 or less	1,213	3,527	166				
0.51-1.00	689	1,808	190				
1.01-1.50	89	153	27				
1.51-2.00	0	0	0				
2.01 or more	8	8	0				
PLUMBING FACILITES -							
PERSON/ROOM: RENTER-OCCUPIED							
Lacking Complete Plumbing Facilities:							
1.00 or less	14	77	0				
1.01-1.50	0	15	0				
1.51 or more	0	0	0				

Source: U.S. Census Bureau



ADDITIONAL HOUSING STOCK CHARACTERISTICS						
HOUSING UNITS IN STRUCTURE	OWNER-	OCCUPIED	RENTER-	OCCUPIED		
NOTTOWAY COUNTY	NUMBER	PERCENT	NUMBER	PERCENT		
1, Detached	2,918	80.9%	1,391	69.6%		
1, Attached	31	0.9%	8	0.4%		
2	0	0.0%	33	1.7%		
3 to 4	0	0.0%	213	10.7%		
5 to 9	0	0.0%	35	1.8%		
10 to 19	0	0.0%	3	0.2%		
20 to 49	0	0.0%	14	0.7%		
50 or more	9	0.2%	38	1.9%		
Mobile Home, Trailer, Other	650	18.0%	264	13.2%		
TOTAL	3,608	100.0%	1,999	100.0%		
MARKET AREA						
1, Detached	9,857	80.0%	2,673	48.6%		
1, Attached	223	1.8%	84	1.5%		
2	43	0.3%	172	3.1%		
3 to 4	11	0.1%	617	11.2%		
5 to 9	0	0.0%	180	3.3%		
10 to 19	0	0.0%	465	8.5%		
20 to 49	0	0.0%	171	3.1%		
50 or more	9	0.1%	50	0.9%		
Mobile Home, Trailer, Other	2,174	17.7%	1,084	19.7%		
TOTAL	12,317	100.0%	5,496	100.0%		
CREWE						
1, Detached	494	96.7%	287	74.9%		
1, Attached	0	0.0%	0	0.0%		
2	0	0.0%	4	1.0%		
3 to 4	0	0.0%	75	19.6%		
5 to 9	0	0.0%	0	0.0%		
10 to 19	0	0.0%	3	0.8%		
20 to 49	0	0.0%	14	3.7%		
50 or more	9	1.8%	0	0.0%		
Mobile Home, Trailer, Other	8	1.6%	0	0.0%		
TOTAL	511	100.0%	383	100.0%		

Source: U.S. Census Bureau

DEVELOPMENT-SPECIFIC DEMAND ANALYSIS



Household Income Trends and Analysis

Income is a key characteristic in analyzing housing markets. The table on Page 63 shows household incomes for the market area for elderly 55+ households. However, we are primarily concerned with incomes of renters within the target incomes below \$29,100, or 53.3 percent, of income eligible households and for the units receiving Rental Assistance. For the units without Rental Assistance. we are primarily concerned with incomes of renters between \$15,566 and \$29,100 of income eligible households. There is a total of 1,263 renters, or 53.3 percent, within the target income range when considering subsidies and a total of 626 renters, or 26.4 percent, within the target income range when not considering subsidies. Target incomes for the different unit types are derived by the analyst. For the low end of the range, the maximum allowable LIHTC rent for an income limit (i.e. 60% AMI) for each bedroom type is divided by 40 percent as required by VHDA for elderly units. The resulting number is then multiplied by 12 to derive an annual income (\$454 / 40% = \$1,135 x 12 = \$13,620). This process is based on the premise that a tenant should not pay more than 40 percent of his annual income on rent. The units receiving subsidies, no minimum income is required. For the high end of the range, the analyst consults the income limits set by the state housing authority. For example, if the largest unit in an income limit (i.e. 60% AMI) is a two-bedroom unit, the analyst multiplies this number by 1.5 (this is due to the LIHTC program being based on the premise that 1.5 persons per bedroom will occupy rental units) to find how many people could reside in that unit. The analyst then consults the state income limits to set the high end of the range (2 bedroom x 1.5 = 3 people/unit: therefore, the 60% 3-person maximum income would be used). However, as the subject is designated for the elderly a two-person maximum is used

INCOME-ELIGIBLE RENTER HOUSEHOLDS - WITH RENTAL ASSISTANCE								
				% Income	Income-Eligible			
	Gross Rent	Lower Range	Upper Range	Qualified	Households			
All Unit Types (All)	\$681	\$0	\$29,100	53.3%	1,263			
All Unit Types (40%)	\$745	\$0	\$19,400	37.8%	896			
All Unit Types (50%)	\$745	\$0	\$24,250	45.9%	1,089			
All Unit Types (60%)	\$681	\$0	\$29,100	53.3%	1,263			

i, as the st	ibject is de	signaled for	the eldeny,	a two-p	num is useu.
					ACCICTANCE

INCOME-ELIGIBLE RENTER HOUSEHOLDS - WITHOUT RENTAL ASSISTANCE								
				% Income	Income-Eligible			
	Gross Rent	Lower Range	Upper Range	Qualified	Households			
All Unit Types (All)	\$454	\$13,620	\$29,100	31.9%	757			
All Unit Types (40%)	\$454	\$13,620	\$19,400	43.2%	1,023			
All Unit Types (50%)	\$568	\$17,040	\$24,250	14.8%	352			
All Unit Types (60%)	\$681	\$20,430	\$29,100	13.1%	311			



		Renter	Househol	ds		
		Aged	55+ Years			
		Year 20	20 Estimate	S		
	1-Person Household	2-Person Household	3-Person Household	4-Person Household	5+-Person Household	Total
\$0-10,000	198	52	7	3	2	262
\$10,000-20,000	539	72	50	4	9	674
\$20,000-30,000	265	59	11	14	10	359
\$30,000-40,000	109	16	4	14	10	153
\$40,000-50,000	133	31	13	39	6	222
\$50,000-60,000	35	43	5	3	21	107
\$60,000-75,000	27	88	30	5	5	155
\$75,000-100,000	72	31	19	6	2	130
\$100,000-125,000	42	33	6	5	17	103
\$125,000-150,000	32	8	13	4	5	62
\$150,000-200,000	43	21	4	2	2	72
\$200,000+	<u>39</u>	<u>19</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>72</u>
Total	1,534	473	168	105	91	2,371

		Owner	Househol	ds		
Aged 55+ Years Year 2020 Estimates						
	1-Person Household	2-Person Household	3-Person Household	4-Person Household	5+-Person Household	Total
\$0-10,000	187	107	28	28	2	352
\$10,000-20,000	425	184	64	33	18	724
\$20,000-30,000	341	360	165	35	2	903
\$30,000-40,000	323	283	55	53	22	736
\$40,000-50,000	225	447	109	47	34	862
\$50,000-60,000	98	366	92	31	10	597
\$60,000-75,000	221	544	93	50	41	949
\$75,000-100,000	111	647	109	57	9	933
\$100,000-125,000	39	445	67	53	10	614
\$125,000-150,000	113	205	47	52	2	419
\$150,000-200,000	53	135	30	42	2	262
\$200,000+	<u>49</u>	<u>104</u>	<u>31</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>4</u>	201
Total	2,185	3,827	890	494	156	7,552



HOUSE	HOLD INCOME BY GROSS	RENT AS A PERCEN	NTAGE
INCOME	NOTTOWAY COUNTY	MARKET AREA	CREWE
Less than \$10,000			
Less than 20%	0	0	0
20-24%	0	11	0
25-29%	0	6	0
30-34%	0	0	0
35%+	247	747	40
Not Computed	172	261	6
\$10,000 - \$19,999			
Less than 20%	0	0	0
20-24%	7	65	0
25-29%	7	27	7
30-34%	23	100	5
35%+	244	732	79
Not Computed	32	121	0
\$20,000 - \$34,999			
Less than 20%	9	122	0
20-24%	54	142	37
25-29%	93	303	8
30-34%	46	189	22
35%+	284	474	18
Not Computed	59	130	5
\$35,000 - \$49,999			
Less than 20%	77	287	4
20-24%	136	387	50
25-29%	6	98	0
30-34%	37	66	3
35%+	3	3	0
Not Computed	47	81	6
\$50,000 - \$74,999			
Less than 20%	158	347	62
20-24%	35	161	21
25-29%	53	90	0
30-34%	0	18	0
35%+	0	0	0
Not Computed	5	37	0
\$75,000 or more			
Less than 20%	165	435	10
20-24%	0	0	0
25-29%	0	0	0
30-34%	0	0	0
35%+	0	0	0
Not Computed	0	56	0
TOTAL	1,999	5,496	383

Source: U.S. Census Bureau

Deerfield Apartments 1651 Maryland Avenue Crewe, Virginia 23930



The importance of analyzing the annual income of households is that this data indicates the economic welfare of the primary market area. As a rule of thumb, households with similar incomes will also have similar assets.

					IE GROUP BY A	GE			
		2010			2020			2025	
INCOME	25-44	45-64	65+	25-44	45-64	65+	25-44	45-64	65+
NOTTOWAY COUNTY									
Less than \$15,000	126	358	493	171	334	303	160	296	311
\$15,000 - \$24,999	209	138	345	193	142	437	172	118	446
\$25,000 - \$34,999	412	228	191	169	243	177	167	219	188
\$35,000 - \$49,999	289	274	228	194	445	337	179	388	352
\$50,000 - \$74,999	456	359	290	220	370	256	238	350	311
\$75,000 - \$99,999	162	288	61	123	200	129	131	196	155
\$100,000 - \$149,999	85	216	41	247	246	115	256	241	137
\$150,000 - \$199,999	39	74	17	25	43	38	36	55	60
\$200,000+	12	65	7	4	57	29	7	62	42
TOTAL		5,463			5,247			5,273	
MARKET AREA									
Less than \$15,000	484	984	1,144	556	704	767	523	588	763
\$15,000 - \$24,999	526	578	838	294	637	1,087	275	527	1,118
\$25,000 - \$34,999	831	1,070	661	397	705	596	396	615	642
\$35,000 - \$49,999	827	912	844	761	1,011	922	734	852	955
\$50,000 - \$74,999	1,305	1,226	734	792	1,455	988	843	1,315	1,141
\$75,000 - \$99,999	671	985	218	558	848	592	603	807	704
\$100,000 - \$149,999	344	700	219	929	964	689	1,036	937	863
\$150,000 - \$199,999	85	280	84	287	318	168	374	357	250
\$200,000+	88	185	52	81	262	132	129	383	204
TOTAL		16,875			17,500			17,934	
CREWE									
Less than \$15,000	72	35	50	22	69	47	22	60	47
\$15,000 - \$24,999	74	30	64	70	29	57	62	23	63
\$25,000 - \$34,999	59	25	37	34	30	24	37	31	27
\$35,000 - \$49,999	37	65	34	5	86	35	7	79	31
\$50,000 - \$74,999	104	82	31	52	29	39	52	29	47
\$75,000 - \$99,999	33	12	4	19	37	13	17	38	16
\$100,000 - \$149,999	5	26	0	50	33	23	51	29	29
\$150,000 - \$199,999	0	9	0	2	8	4	3	11	8
\$200,000+	0	6	0	0	8	6	2	5	8
TOTAL		894			831			834	



Sources of Demand

The potential tenants for the development include households who now live within the market area. It will appeal to potential tenants who have adequate incomes to pay the proposed rents and find the development more attractive in terms of price, unit features and project amenities than other available rental units. It also will attract households that the market area gains between now and when the development is ready for occupancy.

Required Unit Mix

The LIHTC program is based on the premise that 1.5 persons per bedroom will occupy rental units. We expect that five percent (5%) of one-person households will occupy efficiency units. Forty-five percent (45%) of one-person households and 25 percent of two-person households will occupy one-bedroom units. We expect that 50 percent of one-person households, 75 percent of two-person household and 50 percent of three-person households will occupy two-bedroom units. We expect that 50 percent of four person households and 90 percent of five person households will occupy three-bedroom units. We expect that 10 percent of four person households and 10 percent of five or more person households will occupy four- bedroom units.

The following table illustrates the ratio of units required by each household size. These occupancy patterns suggest that efficiency units should account for 2.0 percent of the renter housing demand; one-bedroom units should account for 42.8 percent; two-bedroom units should account for 25.8 percent; three-bedroom units should account for 27.3 percent, and units with four or more bedrooms should account for 2.1 percent of the renter housing demand in the market area.

RENTAL HOUSING DEMAND BY NUMBER OF BEDROOMS						
HOUSEHOLD SIZE	0 BR	1 BR	2 BR	3 BR	4 BR	TOTAL
1 Person	115	2,179	0	0	0	2,294
2 Persons	0	340	1,019	0	0	1,358
3 Persons	0	0	499	499	0	998
4 Persons	0	0	0	562	62	624
5 or More Persons	0	0	0	545	61	605
TOTAL	115	2,519	1,518	1,605	123	5,879
PERCENT	2.0%	42.8%	25.8%	27.3%	2.1%	100.0%

Eligible Households

Landlords evaluate a potential tenant's income and credit to decide if applicant can pay the required rents. Commercial underwriters and owners of conventional market rate developments generally require that the monthly contract rent should not exceed one-third of a tenant's income. This is increased to 35 percent for multifamily tenants in the LIHTC program.

The following table uses the tax credit income limits to determine the upper range of eligibility for each LIHTC unit.

INCOME-ELIGIBLE RENTER HOUSEHOLDS - WITH RENTAL ASSISTANCE						
				% Income	Income-Eligible	
	Gross Rent	Lower Range	Upper Range	Qualified	Households	
All Unit Types (All)	\$681	\$0	\$29,100	53.3%	1,263	
All Unit Types (40%)	\$745	\$0	\$19,400	37.8%	896	
All Unit Types (50%)	\$745	\$0	\$24,250	45.9%	1,089	
All Unit Types (60%)	\$681	\$0	\$29,100	53.3%	1,263	



INCOME-ELIGIBLE RENTER HOUSEHOLDS - WITHOUT RENTAL ASSISTANCE						
				% Income	Income-Eligible	
	Gross Rent	Lower Range	Upper Range	Qualified	Households	
All Unit Types (All)	\$454	\$13,620	\$29,100	31.9%	757	
All Unit Types (40%)	\$454	\$13,620	\$19,400	43.2%	1,023	
All Unit Types (50%)	\$568	\$17,040	\$24,250	14.8%	352	
All Unit Types (60%)	\$681	\$20,430	\$29,100	13.1%	311	

Penetration Rate

There are no planned or under construction developments that will directly compete with the subject. There was one vacant LIHTC unit in the market area. The subject is an existing Rural Development and Low Income Housing Tax Credit property that contains 39 units; none of which are vacant. Therefore, the total affordable inventory would be one unit. The chart below indicates a penetration rate of 0.1 percent for the market area, when considering the subject's subsidies.

REQUIRED PENETRATION RATE - WITH SUBSIDY				
Income Eligible Renter Households	1,263			
Existing Vacant LIHTC Units	1			
LIHTC Units Planned	0			
Vacant Units in Subject	0			
Total Inventory	1			
Penetration Rate	0.1%			

The chart below indicates a penetration rate of 0.1 percent for the market area, without considering the subject's subsidies.

REQUIRED PENETRATION RATE - WITHOUT SUBSIDY				
Income Eligible Renter Households	757			
Existing Vacant LIHTC Units	1			
LIHTC Units Planned	0			
Vacant Units in Subject	0			
Total Inventory	1			
Penetration Rate	0.1%			



Demand Analysis

The following table contains the summary demand estimates for the units receiving subsidies.

REQUIRED NET DEM/	AND - WITH RENTAL	ASSISTANCE		
Income Restrictions:	All Units @ 40% (\$0 - \$19,400)	1BR Units @ 50% (\$0 - \$24,250)	All Units @ 60% (\$0 - \$29,100)	Project Total (\$0 - \$29,100)
Demand from New Household Growth				
New Rental Households	4	5	6	6
PLUS				
Existing Households - Rent Overburdened	1,435	1,613	1,767	1,767
PLUS				
Existing Households - Substandard Housing	47	57	66	66
PLUS				
Existing Qualifying Tenants Likely to Remain After Renovation	4	16	19	39
EQUALS				
Total Demand	1,490	1,691	1,857	1,877
MINUS				
Supply (Includes Directly Comparable Vacant Units Completed or in Pipleine in the PMA)	0	0	3	C
EQUALS				
NET DEMAND	1,490	1,691	1,854	1,877
ABSORPTION PERIOD	1 Month	3-4 Months	3-4 Months	6-8 Months

*See Page 70 for absorption period explanation.



The following table contains the summary demand estimates for the units not receiving subsidies.					
REQUIRED NET DEMA	ND - WITHOUT RENT	AL ASSISTANCE			
Income Restrictions:	All Units @ 40% (\$13 620 - \$19 400)	All Units @ 50% (\$17,040 - \$24,250)	All Units @ 60% (\$20,430 - \$29,100)	Project Total (\$13,620 - \$29,100)	
Demand from New Household Growth	(\$10,020 \$10,400)	(\$11,040 \$24,200)	(\$20,400 - \$20,100)	(\$10,020 \$ \$20,100)	
New Rental Households	5	2	1	3	
PLUS					
Existing Households - Rent Overburdened	1,111	351	274	755	
PLUS Existing Households - Substandard Housing	53	18	16	40	
PLUS					
Existing Qualifying Tenants Likely to Remain After Renovation	4	16	19	39	
EQUALS					
Total Demand	1,174	387	311	837	
MINUS		-	-	-	
Supply (Includes Directly Comparable Vacant Units Completed or in Pipleine in the PMA)	0	0	1	1	
EQUALS					
NET DEMAND	1,174	387	310	836	
ABSORPTION PERIOD *See Page 70 for absorption period ex	1 Month	3-4 Months	3-4 Months	6-8 Months	

*See Page 70 for absorption period explanation.



Demand Analysis Summary

Overall the market shows a net demand of 1,877 units for proposed units at 60 percent of the area median income. The subject is an existing development that contains 39 units. The overall capture rate for all units at or below 60 percent is 2.1 percent. However, as the property is existing and the tenants are likely to remain after rehabilitation, the capture rate including only the vacant units is 0.0 percent.

New Rental Households: The demand from new elderly 55+ household growth is calculated by taking the new elderly rental households projected between 2020 and 2025 divided by five years as the subject is an existing property. This resulted in a new household growth total of 34. The new household growth number of 34 was then multiplied by the percent of income qualified tenants in the market area and percent plan to rent ($34 \times 53.3 \times 32.1\% = 6$). The subject will attract tenants with incomes below \$29,100. The percent of income qualified can be seen on Page 62. The demand from new household growth is 6 for all units at or below 60 percent of the area median income.

Existing Households – Rent Overburdened: The total number of rent overburdened elderly households is 1,767 for units at or below 60 percent. The appropriate household size percentage was applied this number to derive the existing households – rent overburdened shown on the demand chart on the previous page.

Existing Households – Substandard Housing: The total number of substandard elderly 55+ households in the market area was 124. The appropriate household size percentage was applied and the resulting number of substandard households as shown on the demand chart on the previous page.

Existing Qualifying Tenants Likely to Remain After Renovation: The property is currently a rural development and tax credit property at 60 percent of the area median income. The property will remain a rural development property as well as be tax credit property at 40, 50 and 60 percent of the area median income. As a result, the tenants should remain income qualified and could remain after renovations. As the subject currently contains no vacant units, a total of 39 was added as shown on the demand chart on the previous page.

The elderly households likely to convert to rental housing was also considered. However, as there are no quantifiable numbers to account for this selection, this was not included.

Supply: There is one vacant unit in the comparable properties in the primary market area. In addition, there are no planned or recently completed comparable properties in the primary market area.

Total Net Demand: Overall the market shows a net demand of 1,877 units for units at or below 60 percent of the area median income. The subject is an existing development that contains 39 units and is currently 100 percent occupied. The overall capture rate for all units at or below 60 percent is 2.1 percent. As the property is existing and the tenants are likely to remain after rehabilitation, the capture rate including only the vacant units is 0.0 percent. Based on the occupancy rates of apartment complexes in the market area, the existing waiting lists, the projected population and household growth and the economic factors, it is believed that property will continue to be viable within the market area.

Absorption Period: Deerfield Apartments is an existing 39-unit elderly complex. The development is currently 100 percent occupied. An absorption period was established as if the development were a vacant Low Income Housing Tax Credit Development. After researching the vacancy rates of the existing units in the area, it is firmly believed that the proposed development will satisfy a portion of the continued demand for the units within the market. It is estimated that a 95+ percent occupancy level can be achieved in six to eight months. Our interviews with apartment managers substantiate the absorption rate. It is believed that the subject will absorb five to seven units per month; therefore, reaching a stable occupancy level within six to eight months. However, it has no vacant units. Therefore, the effective absorption rate is zero months.

Gill Group | Promises Kept. Deadlines Met. Page | 70



Capture Rate Analysis

The following tables contain the capture rates for the entire property as well as for the vacant units only at the property.

CAPTURE RATE BY INCOM				
Income Restrictions:	All Units @ 40% (\$0 - \$19,400)	1BR Units @ 50% (\$0 - \$24,250)	All Units @ 60% (\$0 - \$29,100)	Project Total (\$0 - \$29,100)
All Units at Subject	0.3%	0.9%	1.0%	2.1%
Vacant Units at Subject	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

CAPTURE RATE BY INCOME				
Income Restrictions:	All Units @ 40%	All Units @ 50%	All Units @ 60%	Project Total (\$13,620 - \$29,100)
		(\$17,040 - \$24,250)		
All Units at Subject	0.3%	4.1%	6.1%	4.7%
Vacant Units at Subject	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

CAPTURE RATE BY INCOME LIMITS - WITH RENTAL ASSISTANCE			
	Project Total		
Income Restrictions	(\$0 - \$29,100)		
Project Wide Capture Rate - LIHTC Units	2.1%		
Project Wide Capture Rate - Market Units			
Project Wide Capture Rate - All Units	2.1%		
Project Wide Absorption Period (Months)	6-8 Months		

CAPTURE RATE BY INCOME LIMITS - WITHOUT RENTAL ASSISTANCE			
Income Restrictions	Project Total (\$13,620 - \$29,100)		
Project Wide Capture Rate - LIHTC Units	4.7%		
Project Wide Capture Rate - Market Units			
Project Wide Capture Rate - All Units	4.7%		
Project Wide Absorption Period (Months)	6-8 Months		

SUPPLY ANALYSIS



Housing Profile

Market Area Overview

The rental housing stock in the market area is comprised of single-family homes as well as market-rate and restricted apartment complexes. The majority of the housing stock was built in the 1990s.

Housing Inventory

From 2005 through December 2019, permit issuing jurisdictions in Nottoway County estimated 660 single-family and multifamily dwelling permits. Multifamily units were estimated at 11.5 percent of the planned construction activity.

BUILDING PERMITS ISSUED						
YEAR	SINGLE-FAMILY	MULTIFAMILY	TOTAL			
2005	74	0	74			
2006	63	29	92			
2007	51	7	58			
2008	81	0	81			
2009	45	0	45			
2010	45	12	57			
2011	22	0	22			
2012	27	2	29			
2013	26	8	34			
2014	27	0	27			
2015	33	0	33			
2016	26	2	28			
2017	30	0	30			
2018	34	16	50			
2019*	0	0	0			
TOTAL	584	76	660			

*Preliminary Numbers through December 2019 Source: SOCDS

Projects under Construction

According to Nottoway County and the Virginia Housing Development Authority, there are no units under construction located within the subject's primary market area.

Planned Projects

According to Nottoway County and the Virginia Housing Development Authority, there are no units in the planning stages located within the subject's primary market area.

Unit Condition

The market area's rental housing stock is in varying condition. Overall the developments are well maintained.



Unit Types

Single-family homes comprise 50.2 percent of the rental units, while multifamily units comprise 30.1 percent of the rental units.

RENTER HOUSING UNITS BY UNIT TYPE						
TYPE	NUMBER	PERCENT				
Single-Family	2,757	50.2%				
Multifamily	1,655	30.1%				
Mobile Homes & Other	1,084	19.7%				
TOTAL	5,496	100.0%				

Source: U.S. Census Bureau

Age of Rental Units

Rental housing construction in the market area increased in the 1960s and then began declining again in the 2005.

AGE OF RENTAL UNITS						
YEAR BUILT	NUMBER	PERCENT				
2005 or later	100	1.8%				
2000-2004	647	11.8%				
1990-1999	724	13.2%				
1980-1989	642	11.7%				
1970-1979	775	14.1%				
1960-1969	1,016	18.5%				
1950-1959	542	9.9%				
1940-1949	353	6.4%				
1939 or earlier	697	12.7%				
TOTAL	5,496	100.0%				

Source: U.S. Census Bureau

Unit Size

The average size of the units in the surveyed developments is 662 square feet for one-bedroom units. The subject's unit sizes are smaller than the average unit size; however, the property has no units vacant, with a historical occupancy rate ranging from 97 to 100 percent since 2016. Therefore, it is believed the smaller unit sizes do not have a negative impact on the subject's marketability.

AVERAGE APARTMENT SIZE OF COMPARABLE APARTMENTS						
	COMPARABLES					
Unit Type	Minimum (SF)	Maximum (SF)	Average (SF)	Subject (SF)	Subject's Advantage	
1 BR	550	800	662	577	-12.8%	

Source: Gill Group Field Survey



Rental Vacancy Rates

According to the U.S. Census Bureau, the national vacancy rates in the fourth quarter 2019 were 6.4 percent for rental housing and 1.4 percent for homeowner housing. The rental vacancy rate of 6.4 percent was not statistically different from the rate in the fourth quarter 2018 (6.6 percent), but 0.4 percentage points lower than the rate in the third quarter 2019 (6.8 percent). The homeowner vacancy rate of 1.4 percent was not statistically different from the rate in the fourth quarter 2018 (1.5 percent) and virtually unchanged from the rate in the third quarter 2019.

The fourth quarter 2019 rental vacancy outside Metropolitan Statistical Areas (7.4 percent) was higher than the rate in the suburbs (5.9 percent), but not statistically different from the rate in principal cities (6.7 percent). The rental vacancy rates in principal cities, in the suburbs, and outside MSAs were not statistically different from the fourth quarter 2018 rates.

The fourth quarter 2019 rental vacancy rate was highest in the South (8.2 percent) followed by the Midwest (6.8 percent), the Northeast (5.2 percent), and the West (4.4 percent). The rental vacancy rates in each region were not statistically different from the fourth quarter 2018 rates.

RESIDENTIAL VACANCY RATES						
QUARTER	4th Quarter	4th Quarter	% of 2019 Rate	% of		
	2019	2018		Difference		
United States	6.4%	6.6%	0.2%	0.3%		
Inside MSAs	6.3%	6.4%	0.3%	0.4%		
Outside MSAs	7.4%	8.2%	0.8%	1.0%		
In Principal Cities	6.7%	6.9%	0.4%	0.5%		
Not In Principal Cities	5.9%	5.9%	0.4%	0.5%		
4th QUARTER 2019 VACANCY RATES BY REGION						
NORTHEAST	MIDWEST	SOUTH	WEST			
5.2%	6.8%	8.2%	4.4%			

Source: U.S. Census Bureau

Vacancy Analysis

The vacancy rate for affordable housing units in the market area is 0.4 percent. The following table shows the vacancy rates for the affordable housing verified in the market area:

AFFORDABLE HOUSING VACANCIES						
	# of					
		Vacant	Vacancy			
Name of Property	# of Units	Units	Rate			
Deerfield Apartments (Subject)	39	0	0.0%			
Peele Manor	24	0	0.0%			
Candlewood Apartments	34	0	0.0%			
Meadows Apartments	40	0	0.0%			
Country Estates	24	0	0.0%			
Nottoway Manor	28	0	0.0%			
Magnolia Place Apartments	56	1	2.0%			
Totals	245	1	0.4%			



The current vacancy rate in surveyed market-rate apartment complexes is 3.2 percent. The following table shows the vacancy rates for each property verified in the area.

MARKET VACANCIES							
Name of Property	# of Units	# of Vacant Units	Vacancy Rate				
Churchilll Apartments	25	0	0.0%				
Poplar Forest Apartments	138	7	5.0%				
Blackstone Lofts	25	3	12.0%				
Medallion Manor Apartments	14	0	0.0%				
Lofts at Worsham School	20	0	0.0%				
90 Hatton Lane	4	0	0.0%				
The Greens at Sunchase	83	0	0.0%				
800 Virginia Street	4	0	0.0%				
Totals	313	10	3.2%				

Lease Terms and Concessions

The typical lease is twelve months. At the time of the writing of this report, no property was offering rent concessions that effect the rental rates.

Turnover Rates

The comparables indicated a range of 8.0 percent to 21.0 percent, with an average of 19.7 percent. Apartment managers in the market area reported that the turnover rates were stable throughout the year. Therefore, the analyst selected the annual turnover rate of 15.3 percent.

TURNOVER R/	ATES
Property Name	Avg. Annual Turnover Rate
Peele Manor	17.0%
Country Estates	8.0%
Nottoway Manor	21.0%
Magnolia Place Apartments	15.0%
Average Annual Turnover	15.3%

Likely Impact of Existing Development on Rental Occupancy Rates

The existing development will not have an adverse impact on the market area. Its one-bedroom units are suitable in the market. Additionally, all facilities in the market area have stabilized occupancy rates. Therefore, it is believed the existing development does not have an adverse impact on the market area.



Existing Comparables

The following table summarizes the existing affordable housing complexes within the primary market area and the immediate vicinity. There were two elderly properties in the market area. Therefore, will directly compete with the subject. Additional information for the all restricted properties can be found on Page 78.

Deerfield Apartments	Туре	Existing/ Planned	Population Served	Number of Units of Each Type	Unit Size/Rent/ Number Vacant	Amenities
Peele Manor 507 Peele Manor Road Blackstone, Virginia 434-298-3981	Sec. 8	Existing	Elderly	1/1 – 24	675 SF - \$575 – 0	Refrigerator, Range/Oven, Garbage Disposal, Carpet, Vinyl, Blinds, Walk-In Closet, Patio, Pull Cords, Picnic Area, Transportation, Laundry Facility
Magnolia Place Apartments 701 Church Street Blackstone, Virginia 434-292-5989	RD/ LIHTC	Existing	Elderly	1/1 – 56	534 SF - \$491 – 1	Refrigerator, Range/Oven, Carpet, Vinyl, Blinds, Coat Closet, Patio, Pull Cords, Safety Bars, Meeting Room, Picnic Area, Laundry Facility, On-Site Management, On-Site Maintenance, Gazebo



Comparable Profile Pages COMPARABLE APARTMENT RENTAL NO. 1



Property Type:

Property Name:

Distance From Subject

Section 8/ Garden

Peele Manor 507 Peele Manor Road Blackstone, VA 23824 Paula, 434-298-3981 February 19, 2020, By Telephone 11.8 Miles

Year Opening/Significant Renovation Amenities

1997 Refrigerator, Range/Oven, Garbage Disposal, Carpet, Vinyl, Blinds, Walk-In Closet, Patio, Pull Cords, Picnic Area, Transportation, Laundry Facility

Utilities (Type/Responsibility)

Heat - Electric - Tenant Air Conditioning - Electric - Tenant Cooking - Electric - Tenant Hot Water - Electric - Tenant Cold Water - Landlord Sewer - Landlord Trash - Landlord

Unit <u>Type</u> 1/1	No. of <u>Units</u> 24	No. <u>Vacant</u> 0	<u>Uni</u> Size <u>SF</u> 675	<u>t Mix</u> Collected <u>Rent/Mo.</u> \$575	Utility <u>Allowance</u> \$55	<u>Gross</u> <u>Rent</u> \$630
Occupancy Total Units No. of Buildings Construction Type HVAC Stories Waiting List Turnover Rate Absorption Rate			100% 24 6 Brick Central 1 5 Applic 17% N/A	Elec/Central Elec	;	

Remarks

There are five applicants on the waiting list. The annual turnover rate is approximately 17 percent. The utility allowance for the units are \$55.





Property Type:

Property Name: Address:

Verification

Distance From Subject

RD/Garden

Candlewood Apartments 1107 West Osborn Road Farmville, VA 23901 Jene, 434-315-0356 February 19, 2020, By Telephone 19.1 Miles

Year Opening/Significant Renovation Amenities

1997

Refrigerator, Range/Oven, Dishwasher, Washer/Dryer Hook-Ups, Carpet, Vinyl, Blinds, Walk-In Closet, Patio, On-Site Management

Utilities (Type/Responsibility)

Heat - Gas - Tenant Air Conditioning - Electric - Tenant Cooking - Electric - Tenant Hot Water - Gas - Tenant Cold Water - Tenant Sewer - Tenant Trash - Tenant

Unit Mix

Unit	No. of	No.	Size	Collected	Utility	<u>Gross</u>
<u>Type</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Vacant</u>	<u>SF</u>	<u>Rent/Mo.</u>	<u>Allowance</u>	<u>Rent</u>
1/1	Unknown	0	750	\$595	BOI	Unknown
2/1	Unknown	0	95	\$695	BOI	Unknown
Occupancy Total Units No. of Buildings Construction Typ HVAC Stories Waiting List Turnover Rate Absorption Rate	e		100% 34 7 Siding Central 1 40 Appl Undiscle N/A		•	

Remarks

The property maintains a waiting list of 40 applicants. The annual turnover rate was not disclosed. All of the revenue units contain Rental Assistance.





Property Type:

Property Name: Address:

Verification

Distance From Subject

LIHTC//Walk-Up

Meadows Apartments 508 Hylawn Avenue Farmville, VA 23901 Tammy, 434-392-5180 February 19, 2020, By Telephone 17.6 Miles

Year Opening/Significant Renovation Amenities 19888/2009 Refrigerator, Range/Oven, Garbage Disposal, Dishwasher, Carpet, Vinyl, Blinds, Walk-In Closet, Playground, Laundry Facility, On-Site Management, On-Site Maintenance

Utilities (Type/Responsibility)

Heat - Electric - Tenant Air Conditioning - Electric - Tenant Cooking - Electric - Tenant Hot Water - Gas - Tenant Cold Water - Landlord Sewer - Landlord Trash - Landlord

<u>Unit Mix</u>

Unit	No. of	No.	Size	Collected	Utility	<u>Gross</u>
<u>Type</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Vacant</u>	<u>SF</u>	<u>Rent/Mo.</u>	<u>Allowance</u>	<u>Rent</u>
1/1	16	0	596	\$459	Unknown	Unknown
2//1	24	0	778	\$551	Unknown	Unknown
Occupancy Total Units No. of Buildings Construction Type HVAC Stories Waiting List Turnover Rate Absorption Rate			100% 40 Siding Forced A 2 1 Year Unknow N/A	Air Elec/Central E n	Elec	

<u>Remarks</u>

The property has a waiting list with a one-year wait. The rents are set at 50 percent of the area median income. The contact would not disclose the utility allowance or annual turnover rate.





LIHTC/Walk-Up

Country Estates 402 Cedar Street Farmville, VA 23901 Cassandra, 434-392-3408 February 19, 2020, By Telephone 17.7 Miles

Year Opening/Significant Renovation Amenities

1978/2017 Refrigerator

Refrigerator, Range/Oven, Garbage Disposal, Dishwasher, Carpet, Vinyl, Blinds, Walk-In Closet, Balcony, Patio, Picnic Area, Laundry Facility, On-Site Management

Utilities (Type/Responsibility)

Heat - Electric - Tenant Air Conditioning - Electric - Tenant Cooking - Electric - Tenant Hot Water - Electric - Tenant Cold Water - Landlord Sewer - Landlord Trash - Landlord

Unit Mix

Unit	No. of	No.	Size	Collected	Utility	<u>Gross</u>
<u>Type</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Vacant</u>	<u>SF</u>	<u>Rent/Mo.</u>	<u>Allowance</u>	<u>Rent</u>
1/1	12	0	550	\$575	In Rent	\$575
2/1	12	0	684	\$675	In Rent	\$675
Occupancy Total Units No. of Buildings Construction Type HVAC Stories Waiting List Turnover Rate Absorption Rate			100% 24 3 Brick/Si Central 2 4-6 App 8% N/A	Elec/Central Elec	2	

Remarks

The property maintains an active waiting list with four to six applicants. The property's LIHTC units target families at 50 percent of the area median income. The annual turnover rate is approximately 8 percent. The utility allowance for the units are included in the rent.





Property Type:

Property Name: ddress:

erification

istance From Subject

RD/LIHTC/Walk-Up

Nottoway Manor 509 Nottoway Avenue Blackstone, VA 23824 Ink, 434-374-9320 February 19, 2020, By Telephone 11.8 Miles

Year Opening/Significant Renovation Amenities

Utilities (Type/Responsibility)

1999

Refrigerator, Range/Oven, Carpet, Vinyl, Blinds, Walk-In Closet, Coat Closet, Laundry Facility, On-Site Management

Heat - Electric - Tenant Air Conditioning - Electric - Tenant Cooking - Electric - Tenant Hot Water - Electric - Tenant Cold Water - Landlord Sewer - Landlord Trash - Landlord

Unit Mix

Unit	No. of	No.	Size	Collected	Utility	<u>Gross</u>
<u>Type</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Vacant</u>	<u>SF</u>	<u>Rent/Mo.</u>	<u>Allowance</u>	<u>Rent</u>
1/1	14	0	550	\$515	\$48	\$563
2/1	14	0	650	\$615	\$68	\$683
Occupancy Total Units No. of Buildings Construction Type HVAC Stories Waiting List Turnover Rate Absorption Rate			100% 28 3 Brick/Si Central 2 25 Appl 21% N/A	Elec/Central Elec	5	

Remarks

The property maintains a waiting list with 25 applicants. The rents are set at 50 percent of the area median income. The annual turnover rate is approximately 21 percent. The utility allowance for the units are as follows: one bedroom - \$48 and two bedroom - \$68.





Property Type:

Property Name: Address:

Verification

Distance From Subject

RD/LIHTC/Garden

Magnolia Place Apartments 701 Church Street Blackstone, VA 23824 Audrey Brown, 434-292-5989 February 19, 2020, By Telephone 11.4 Miles

Year Opening/Significant Renovation Amenities

1981/2001 Refrigerator, Range/Oven, Carpet, Vinyl, Blinds, Coat Closet, Patio, Pull Cords, Safety Bars, Meeting Room, Picnic Area, Laundry Facility, On-Site Management, On-Site Maintenance, Gazebo

Utilities (Type/Responsibility)

Heat - Electric - Tenant Air Conditioning - Electric - Tenant Cooking - Electric - Tenant Hot Water - Electric - Tenant Cold Water - Landlord Sewer - Landlord Trash - Landlord

<u>Unit Mix</u>


Unit	No. of	No.	Size	Collected	Utility	<u>Gross</u>
<u>Түре</u>	<u>Units</u>	<u>Vacant</u>	<u>SF</u>	<u>Rent/Mo.</u>	<u>Allowance</u>	<u>Rent</u>
1/1	56	1	534	\$491	\$50	\$541
Occupancy Total Units No. of Buildings Construction Type HVAC Stories Waiting List Turnover Rate Absorption Rate			98% 56 14 Siding Basebo 1 Unknow 15% N/A	ard Elec/PTAC /n		

Remarks

The annual turnover rate is approximately 15 percent. The property targets elderly at 60 percent, and 55 units receive Rental Assistance. The utility allowance for the units are \$50 per month.


Deerfield Apartments 1651 Maryland Avenue Crewe, Virginia 23930





Deerfield Apartments 1651 Maryland Avenue Crewe, Virginia 23930





*There are two existing elderly properties in the market area that will compete with the subject.



Additional Developments

The market area also includes additional developments that were excluded from the analysis due to noncompetitiveness or inability to verify information. The developments located in the market area that were excluded from the survey are noted as follows: Briarcliff Apartments - Crewe, VA - Unconfirmed Crewe Village Apartments (40 Units) – Crewe, VA – Family Parkview Gardens (80 Units) – Farmville, VA – Family Giles (16 Units) – Amelia, VA – Unconfirmed Milnwood Village (40 Units) – Farmville, VA – Unconfirmed Parc Crest at Poplar Forest (44 Units) – Farmville, VA – Unconfirmed Cole Harbour (36 Units) – Blackstone, VA – Unconfirmed Goodson Manor (23 Units) – Farmville, VA - Unconfirmed

Market-Rate Properties (Not Comparable in Restrictions or Tenant Base)

The Greens at Sunchase - Farmville, VA 90 Hatton Lane – Meherrin, VA 8800 Virginia Street – Amelia Court House, VA



Market Rent Analysis

The market analyst researched rental housing in the market area and identified several apartment communities in and around the market area of the subject. The analyst identified the market-rate communities in the area that would directly compete with the subject. These comparables were then compared to the subject and adjusted for differences in amenities. These differences include, but are not limited to, location, structure, condition, unit size, number of bedrooms, number of baths, unit amenities (range/oven, refrigerator, microwave, dishwasher, etc.), project amenities (parking, storage, clubhouse, meeting room, pool, recreation area, etc.) and utilities provided. The adjustments made were based on the experience of the analyst, interviews with local officials, apartment managers and residents and information received from third-party sources (rent-to-own facilities, utility providers and housing authorities.) Additional adjustments were also determined using paired rental analysis. The paired rental analysis ranges are determined by comparing comparables with different numbers of bedrooms and factoring out any other differences (amenities, utilities provided, etc.) The resulting difference is assumed to be attributable to the differing number of bedrooms. The results are grouped together in a range. The adjustment is selected based on where the majority of the results fall within the range. If there is no majority, a conservative adjustment at the low end of the range is selected.



HUD Forms 92273 and Explanations – As Complete

One-Bedroom Units (577 SF) – As Complete Estimates of Market Rent by Comparison - As Complete

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development Office of Housing

Federal Housing Commissioner

OMB Approval No. 2502-0029 (exp. 04/30/2020)

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. This information is required by the Housing Appropriation Act of 9/28/1994. The information isneeded to analyze the reasonablenees of the Annual Adjust ment Factor formula, and will be used where rent levels for a specific unit type, in a Substantial Rehabilitation or New Construction Contract, exceed the existing FMR rent. The information isneeded to analyze the reasonablenees of the Annual Adjust ment Factor formula, and will be used where rent levels for a specific unit type, in a Substantial Rehabilitation or New Construction Contract, exceed the existing FMR rent. The information isneeded to analyze the reasonable near on and you are not required to complete this form, unlessit displays a currently valid OMB control number.

1. Unit Type	2. Subject Property (Add		A. Comparable Prope		ess)	B. Comparable Prope			C. Comparable Prope		ess)	D. Comparable Prope			E. Comparable Pr		
	Deerfield Apartment		Churchill Apart				Poplar Forest Apartments		Blackstone Lofts		M edallion M anor A partments			Lofts at Worsham School			
One-Bedroom	1651 Maryland Avenu		416 Winston St					325 2nd Street		306 East 2nd Street			8832 Abilene Road				
	Crewe, Nottoway, VA		Farmville, Prine			Farmville, Prin		·	Blackstone, N			Farmville, Prin		<u></u>	Farmville, Prince Edward, VA		
Characterist		Data	Data	Adjust	tments	Data	Adjust	ments	Data	Adjust	ments	Data	Adjust	ments	Data	Adjust	stments
3. Effective Da		02/2020	02/2020			02/2020			02/2020			02/2020			02/2020		
4. Type of Pro		G/1	WU/2		\$10	G/1, WU/3			WU/2		\$ 10			\$ 10	WU/2		\$
5. Floor of Uni		First	Varies			Varies			Varies			Varies			Varies		
6. Project Occ	·····	100%	100%			95%			88%			100%			100%		1
7. Concession	ns	N	N			N			N			N			N		
8. Year Built		1992/2022	1967/2008		\$245	2000		\$ 50	1930/2011		\$ 50	1965/2006		\$245	2010		\$
9. Sq. Ft. Area	۱ <u>ا</u>	577	800	(\$55)		795	(\$55)		575			600			725	(\$35)	4
10. Number of E		1	1			1			1			1			1		1
11. Number of B	Baths	1.0	1.0			1.0			1.0			1.0			10		
12. Number of F		3	3			3			3			3			3		
13. Balc/Terrac		Y	N		\$5	Y			N		\$5	N		\$5	N		\$
14. Garage or C	arport	L/0	L/0			L/0			L/0			L/0			L/0		
15. Equipment	a. A/C	С	С			С			С			С			С		
	b. Range/Refrigerator	RF	RF			RF			RF			RF			RF		-
	c. Disposal	N	Y			Y	1		N			N			Y		1
	d. Microwave/Dishwasher	D	N		\$ 10	D	1		MD	(\$5)		N		\$ 10	D		T
	e. Washer/Dryer	L	L			WD	(\$30)		WD	(\$30)		L			WD	(\$30)	,
	f. Carpet	С	W			С	1		WC			WC			С		1
	g. Drapes	В	В			В			В			В			В		1
	h. Pool/Rec. Area	R	N		\$ 10	PER	(\$30)		N		\$ 10	N		\$ 10	N		\$
16. Services	a. Heat/Type	N/E	N/E			N/E			N/E			N/E			Y/E	(\$26)	/
	b. Cooling	N/E	N/E			N/E	1		N/E			N/E			Y/E	(\$7)	,
	c. Cook/Type	N/E	N/E			N/E	1		N/E			N/E			Y/E	(\$4)	,
	d. Electricity	N	N			N	1		N			N			Y	(\$ 15)	,
	e. Hot Water	N/E	N/E			N/E			N/E			N/E			Y/E	(\$ 13)	,
	f. Cold Water/Sewer	N	Y	(\$49)		N	1 1		Y	(\$49)		Y	(\$49)		Y	(\$49)	,1
	g. Trash	Y	Y			Y	1		Y			Y			Y		1
17. Storage		N	N			Y/0	(\$5)		N			N			N		1
18. Project Loc	ation	Average	Superior	(\$35)		Superior	(\$40)		Superior	(\$ 15)		Superior	(\$25)		Superior	(\$50)	,
19. Security		N	N			N	1		Y	(\$15)		N	1		N		1
20. Clubhouse/I	Meeting Room	MR	N		\$5	С	1		N	1	\$5	N		\$5	N		9
21 Special Feat	ures	AECI	СТІ			I	11	\$25	СТ		\$35	N		\$35	GCI	(\$25)	, 1
22. Business Co	enter / Nbhd Netwk	N	N			N			N			N			N		1
23. Unit Rent P	er Month		\$650			\$785			\$825			\$525			\$995		1
24. Total Adjus	stment			\$ 146			(\$85)			\$1			\$246			(\$ 174)	
25. Indicated Re			\$796			\$700	1		\$826			\$771			\$821		1
26. Correlated	Subject Rent	\$775	If there are a	ny Remark	s, check	here and add the r	emarks to	the back	of page.	t	L				·····		*
	1	high rent	\$826	low		\$700		range	\$725 to	\$801	·····						
Noto: In the artic	tments column, enter dollar	0		1		Appraiser's Signatur	0			mm/dd/yy)	Pev	iewer'sSignature			1	Date (mm/dd/y	VVVV)
	ject is better, enter a "Plus"		ject is inferior to the c			rippraiser sorgnatar		2	Cieland Date (02/19/20		lewer borghatare				Date (mini da y	,,,,



Explanation of Adjustments and Market Rent Conclusions – As Complete Deerfield Apartments Primary Unit Types – One-Bedroom Units (577 SF)

Please note: Minor adjustments in the \$5 to \$10 range are based on the appraiser's evaluation of the overall market as well as typical responses indicated by existing tenants. In addition, this is standard industry practice when there is insufficient market data present to support adjustments. It is also considered an acceptable practice by HUD as indicated in the Section 8 Renewal Guide Chapter 9-12 (C) (3) which states: "For minor adjustments (generally in the \$5 to \$10 range), the appraiser may state his/her subjective evaluation of why the observed differences would affect rent."

Rent comparability grids were prepared for the primary unit types with 577 square feet. Comparable apartments used include the following: Churchill Apartments (Comparable 1), Poplar Forest Apartments (Comparable 2), Blackstone Lofts (Comparable 3), Medallion Manor Apartments (Comparable 4) and Lofts at Worsham School (Comparable 5).

Structure/Stories – The subject is located in one-story garden-style buildings. Comparable 2 is similar. All remaining comparables are located in walk-up two-story buildings. All units at the subject are easily accessible without having to utilize stairs. For senior tenants such as those that occupy the subject property, it can be difficult to navigate stairs due to limited mobility issues. Therefore, units on the ground floor are preferred to units on the second or third floor in walk-up buildings. It is the appraiser's opinion that all units on the ground floor would rent for a premium when compared to units not on the first floor. However, there is no market data available for specific floor level pricing. Due to the lack of specific market data for structure differences, only a nominal adjustment of \$10 was selected for comparables located in walk-up structures.

Project Occupancy – The subject is currently 100 percent occupied. The occupancy rates of the comparables range from 88 to100 percent. No adjustment was needed.

Concessions – The subject is not currently offering concessions. None of the comparables are currently offering concessions. No adjustment was needed.

Year Built/Year Renovated – The subject was constructed in 1992. It will undergo a substantial renovation and will be in good condition. Comparable 1 was built in 1967 and renovated in 2008, and Comparable 2 was constructed in 2000. Comparable 3 was built in 1930 and renovated in 2011. Comparable 4 was constructed in 1965 and renovated in 2006. Comparable 5 was constructed in 2010. Once rehabilitation is complete, the subject will be superior to all comparables. As a result, a \$50 adjustment was added to each comparable to account for the rehabilitation.

SF Area – The subject and the comparables vary in square footage. Typically, all other variables being equal, a larger unit is more desirable than a smaller unit. However, the value of the additional square footage is mitigated to some degree by the similarity in perceived unit function. There is a diminishing return of value for additional square footage as each additional square foot does not necessarily equal additional functionality. Additionally, the units at the subject are measured as part of the scope of this assignment. However, the contacts at the comparables are often unwilling to allow interior inspections of the units. Therefore, it is necessary to rely on published unit sizes or verbal confirmation of unit sizes from the property contacts. As such, it is impossible to verify the accuracy of this data. In addition, the subject unit sizes are paint-to-paint measurements, while the contacts often report the "marketing" unit size which is sometimes the gross exterior square footage. Therefore, the unit sizes at the comparables are not always a direct comparison to the unit sizes at the subject. For the purpose of this report, a range of comparable rents per square foot was derived. To determine this adjustment, each comparable's dollar



per square foot rental rate was determined for each bedroom type. From these results, a median dollar per square foot rental rate is determined. The median dollar per square foot was then multiplied by 25 percent for each comparable to derive an adjusted dollar per square foot rental rate. The 25 percent was used to account for the diminished return of the larger unit sizes and the potential differences in reported unit sizes of the comparables versus the subject. Next, the difference in square footage between the subject and each comparable is determined. The difference is multiplied by the determined adjusted dollar per square foot rate to arrive at the adjustment for each comparable. The selected dollar per square foot for the one-bedroom comparison is \$0.25. The result was rounded to the nearest \$5. No adjustments were made to comparables within 25 square feet of the subject because there is no difference in perceived unit function with 25 square feet. The adjustments are reflected on the HUD-Form 92273-S8, which is attached.

of Bedrooms – The subject contains one-bedroom units. All comparables are similar. No adjustments were needed.

of Baths – The subject contains one bath in all unit types. All comparables are similar. No adjustments were needed.

Balcony/Patio – The subject and Comparable 2 contain balconies and/or patios. The remaining comparables do not contain any of these features and were adjusted upward \$5 per month. Although there is little market data available concerning units with these features versus those without these features, the added amenity is an enhancement to the unit. Therefore, the nominal adjustment was deemed reasonable.

Parking – The subject and all comparables contain parking lots with no additional fee. No adjustment is needed.

AC: Central/Wall – The subject contains central air conditioning as do all comparables. No adjustments were needed.

Range/Refrigerator – The subject and all comparables contain both amenities. No adjustments were needed.

Garbage Disposal – The subject does not contain a garbage disposal in the units. All of the comparables except Comparables 3 and 4 contain garbage disposals. Since there is no market data concerning units with this feature, no adjustment was given.

Microwave/Dishwasher – The subject will contain dishwashers. Comparables 1 and 4 do not contain either of these features. Comparables 2, 3 and 5 contain dishwashers. Comparable 3 also contains microwaves within the units. Microwaves are a relatively inexpensive item and are unlikely to be a deciding factor when a tenant decides which unit to lease. However, because the included amenity is considered an enhancement to the unit, it was considered appropriate to adjust a nominal \$5 per month for microwaves. Dishwashers are more expensive and are more likely to factor into a tenant's decision on which unit to lease. Therefore, it was considered appropriate to adjust \$10 per month for dishwashers.

Washer/Dryer – The subject contains a laundry facility. Comparables 1 and 4 are similar to the subject. Comparables 2, 3 and 5 contain washers and dryers. Units with these amenities provided are preferred over units without these features as these appliances are expensive purchases for a prospective tenant. Although there is little market data available concerning units with these features versus those without these features, the amenities are an enhancement to the unit. In addition, local appliance rental companies that cater to apartment complexes where contacted. Appliance Warehouse serves the City of



Colonial Heights and offers washers and dryers for a starting price of \$32.95 per month. After considering all factors, washers and dryers were valued at \$35 per month. Laundry facilities on-site are valued at \$5 per month. Therefore, units with washers and dryers will rent for \$30 more per month than units in properties with laundry facilities (\$35 for washer/dryer - \$5 for laundry facility = \$30).

Carpet – The subject and all comparables contain carpet or wood floor coverings. Therefore, no adjustments were needed.

Drapes – The subject and all comparables contain window coverings. No adjustment was needed.

Pool/Recreation Areas – The subject contains a picnic area and gazebo. Comparables 1, 3, 4 and 5 do not contain these features. Although there is little market data available concerning units with these features, the added amenities are an enhancement. Swimming pools are typically considered a more desirable feature than other recreation features. Therefore, a \$10 adjustment was determined for swimming pool, and a \$5 adjustment per feature was determined for each additional recreation area. Comparables 1, 3, 4 and 5 were adjusted upward \$10 per month (\$5 for subject's picnic area + \$5 for subject's gazebo). Comparable 2 was adjusted downward \$30 per month (\$10 for swimming pool + \$5 for exercise room + \$5 for picnic area + \$5 for playground + \$5 for basketball court + \$5 for fishing pond + \$5 for internet café - \$5 for subject's picnic area - \$5 for subject's gazebo = \$30).

Heat – The subject does not have this utility provided. None of the comparables, except Comparable 5 have this utility provided. Therefore, Comparable 5 was adjusted downward \$26 based on the Allowances for Tenant-Furnished Utilities and Other Services provided by Virginia Housing Development Authority. The amount was substantiated through local utility providers.

Cooling – The subject does not have this utility provided. None of the comparables, except Comparable 5 have this utility provided. Therefore, Comparable 5 was adjusted downward \$7 based on the Allowances for Tenant-Furnished Utilities and Other Services provided by Virginia Housing Development Authority. The amount was substantiated through local utility providers.

Cooking – The subject does not have this utility provided. None of the comparables, except Comparable 5 have this utility provided. Therefore, Comparable 5 was adjusted downward \$4 based on the Allowances for Tenant-Furnished Utilities and Other Services provided by Virginia Housing Development Authority. The amount was substantiated through local utility providers.

Electricity – The subject does not have this utility provided. None of the comparables, except Comparable 5 have this utility provided. Therefore, Comparable 5 was adjusted downward \$15 based on the Allowances for Tenant-Furnished Utilities and Other Services provided by Virginia Housing Development Authority. The amount was substantiated through local utility providers.

Hot Water – The subject does not have this utility provided. None of the comparables, except Comparable 5 have this utility provided. Therefore, Comparable 5 was adjusted downward \$13 based on the Allowances for Tenant-Furnished Utilities and Other Services provided by Virginia Housing Development Authority. The amount was substantiated through local utility providers.

Cold Water/Sewer – The subject will not provide cold water and sewer. Comparable 2 is similar to the subject. Comparables 1, 3, 4 and 5 provide these utilities and were adjusted downward \$49 based on the Allowances for Tenant-Furnished Utilities and Other Services provided by Virginia Housing Development Authority. The amount was substantiated through local utility providers.

Trash – The subject provides this utility. All comparables are similar. No adjustment was needed.



Extra Storage – The subject does not contain extra storage. None of the comparables, except Comparable 2 contain storage. Although there is little market data available concerning units with these features versus those without these features, the added amenity is an enhancement to the unit. Therefore, extra storage was given a nominal \$5 adjustment per month.

Location – The subject's neighborhood is rated average, with easy access to all services available within the village limits. In order to determine if adjustments were needed for differences in location between the subject and the comparables, eleven factors were compared. Those factors include livability, amenities available, cost of living, crime factors, employment factors, housing factors, schools in the area, walkscore, population counts, median rent levels and median income levels. The comparison between the subject and comparables is shown in the following table:

	Location Characteristics								
	Crewe	Farmville	Blackstone						
Livability	67	73	72						
Amenities	D	A	В						
Cost of Living	В	В	В						
Crime	С	В	F						
Employment	F	F	D						
Housing	F	F	С						
Schools	В	В	В						
Walkscore	51	62	46						
Population	2,629	8,562	3,517						
Median Rent	\$704	\$764	\$797						
Median Income	\$40,566	\$35,084	\$38,350						

The data shown in the table was verified through <u>www.areavibes.com</u> and <u>www.walkscore.com</u>. Each category was given a rating of 1 to 5, with 1 being the worst and 5 being the best. The ratings for each category were added together for each comparable, and the total sum was compared to the combined sum for the subject and a percent difference from the subject was determined. The results are shown in the following table:

Loc	ation Weigh	ting Table	
	Crewe	Farmville	Blackstone
Livability	4	4	4
Amenities	2	5	4
Cost of Living	4	4	4
Crime	3	4	1
Employment	1	1	2
Housing	1	1	3
Schools	4	4	4
Walkscore	3	3	2
Population	1	1	1
Median Rent	3	3	3
Median Income	3	2	2
Total	30	33	31
% Different		-10.0%	-3.3%
50% Difference		-5.0%	-1.7%

Despite adjusting for the differences between the comparables, it is not always possible to fully account for the differences in rent between comparables as some is due to renter perception or management practices and is not due solely to differences in location. Therefore, it is not appropriate to adjust the full



percentage amount determined in the previous analysis, and an adjustment factor of 50 percent was applied to the percentages determined in this analysis. The result is shown in the previous table. The percentage was applied to the unadjusted rent level of the comparables. The result was applied to the comparables for differences in location. The following table shows the calculation for each comparable requiring an adjustment:

Comparable 1									
Bedroom Type	Unadjusted Rent	% Difference	Adjustment						
1 BR	\$650	-5.0%	-\$33						
	Comparabl	e 2							
Bedroom Type	Unadjusted Rent	% Difference	Adjustment						
1 BR	\$785	-5.0%	-\$39						
	Comparable 3								
Bedroom Type	Unadjusted Rent	% Difference	Adjustment						
1 BR	\$825	-1.7%	-\$14						
	Comparabl	e 4							
Bedroom Type	Unadjusted Rent	% Difference	Adjustment						
1 BR	\$525	-5.0%	-\$26						
	Comparabl	e 5							
Bedroom Type	Unadjusted Rent	% Difference	Adjustment						
1 BR	\$995	-5.0%	-\$50						

The comparables were adjusted on each rent grid as indicated in the previous table (rounded to the nearest \$5).

Security – The subject does not contain security features. None of the comparables, except Comparable 3 contain security features. Comparable 3 contains intercom/electronic entry and video surveillance. No complex in the market area shows a rent differential based on security features. However, security features are an enhancement to an apartment complex, particularly security that limits access to the building or grounds. All security features will provide added protection for residents at the properties. Intercom/electronic entry limits access to the buildings. Therefore, properties with intercom/electronic entry are considered superior to properties with video surveillance which do not limit access. Consequently, intercom/electronic entry are considered \$5 superior to video surveillance. After considering all factors, Comparable 3 was adjusted downward \$15 (\$10 for intercom/electronic entry + \$5 for video surveillance = \$15).

Clubhouse/Meeting Room – The subject contains a meeting room. Comparables 1, 3, 4 and 5 do not contain these features. Comparable 2 contains a clubhouse. No complex in the market area shows a rent differential based on these particular items; however, the added amenities are an enhancement. Apartments with these features can command a higher rent in the market area. Therefore, properties with these features were adjusted \$5 per feature compared to properties without any of these features.

Special Features – The subject contains pull cords and safety bars and will contain in-unit internet. Comparable 1 contains ceramic tile and in-unit internet. Comparable 2 contains common area Wi-Fi. Comparable 3 contains ceramic tile. Comparable 4 does not contain any of these features. Comparable 5 contains granite countertops and in-unit internet. The market did not indicate a rent differential based on safety bars or ceramic tile. In addition, safety bars are an amenity that properties are generally willing to provide if needed. Therefore, no adjustments were needed for these features. Special features such granite countertops will typically command a higher rent in the market. Tenants are typically willing to pay a higher premium for these features. Typically, when these features are included in the units, they are considered luxury items, and units are assessed an up-charge from the rent that would be charged if unit did not contain these features. After considering all factors, a \$25 adjustment was applied for granite



countertops. Common area wi-fi is a convenience to the property. This feature is valuable to residents as it allows access to the Internet without having to deplete data from personal accounts which are typically accompanied by data caps and limits. There is no data for these features that could be extracted from the market area. However, an adjustment was needed for the convenience of the on-site amenity. Therefore, an adjustment of \$10 was selected. In order to determine an appropriate adjustment for in-unit internet, internet fees for local internet provides were researched. The following table shows the internet providers contacted and the fees for each provider.

Provider	Fee/Month
CenturyLink	\$45.00
Viasat	\$49.99
HughesNet	\$49.99
EarthLink	\$39.95

The costs for internet range from \$39.95 to \$49.99 per month. The adjustment is selected based on where the majority of the results fall within the range. If there is no majority, a conservative adjustment at the mid-point of the range is selected. As there was no majority for this analysis, an adjustment of \$45 per month was selected.

Business Center/Neighborhood Network – The subject does not contain this feature. None of the comparables contain this feature. No adjustments were needed.

Conclusion of Market Rents – As Complete

The adjusted rents range from \$700 to \$826 for the one-bedroom comparison. All comparables were given consideration. The appraiser concluded the market rent for the units at the subject as follows:

• 577 SF One-Bedroom Units - \$775

The developer is proposing affordable rents as follows: \$624. In addition, the maximum net tax credit rents are \$333, \$447 and \$560, respectively. These rents are at or below the achievable rents determined on the rent grids and above the maximum net tax credit rents. However, as all the units contain Rental Assistance, tenants will never be asked to pay more than 30 percent of their gross annual income for rent. Therefore, the proposed rents were utilized in the restricted analysis.

• 577 SF One-Bedroom Units - \$624



The developer is proposing the affordable LIHTC rents as follows: MAXIMUM LIHTC RENTS AND UTILITY ALLOWANCES Unit Type # of Units Maximum Utility Net Rent Avg. % of Gross LIHTC Square Median Rent Allowance Feet Income Rent 1/1 4 577 40% \$454 \$745 \$121 \$624 1/1 16 577 50% \$745 \$121 \$624 \$568 1/1 18 577 60% \$681 \$745 \$121 \$624 1/1 1 577 60% \$681 \$681 \$121 \$560

Evaluation of the Development

Project Design

Deerfield Apartments is comprised of five one-story garden buildings containing 39 units a meeting room, picnic area, laundry facility, office and maintenance area. The buildings have siding exterior and asphalt shingle roofs.

Project Amenities

The property will provide the following project amenities: meeting room, playground, laundry facility, onsite management, on-site maintenance and gazebo. The subject's project amenities are competitive with the comparables in the market area.

Parking

The complex contains open asphalt parking areas with approximately 42 parking spaces. This parking ratio is slightly higher than one space per unit; however, it is an elderly development for 55 and older and the need for a vehicle is less than with a family development. Therefore, this does not appear to have a negative impact on the subject property.

Unit Mix

The subject's unit mix of one-bedroom units is suitable in the market area.

Utilities

The subject will contain central electric heating and cooling. Cooking and hot water are electric. Trash collection services will be provided by the landlord. All remaining utilities are paid by the tenant. This arrangement is inferior to the comparables in the market area.

Unit Amenities

Each unit will contain the following amenities: refrigerator, range/oven, dishwasher, carpet, vinyl, blinds, ceiling fans, coat closet, patio, pull cords, safety bars and in-unit Wi-Fi. The unit amenities are competitive with the comparables in the market area.

Tenant Services

The subject will not offer a service coordinator. The surveyed comparables did not offer these services. Therefore, the subject will be competitive with the comparables in the market area.



Impact of Existing/Planned Rental Housing

The market area exhibits sufficient demand for the existing property. Based on the occupancy level of the subject and the apartment complexes in the market area, the existing waiting lists, the projected population and household growth and the economic factors, it is believed that when the rehabilitation is completed, the property will have no adverse impact on the existing and/or planned market-rate rental housing properties in the market area.

Summary of Developments Strength and Weaknesses

Strengths

- The subject's site plan and design are competitive with the surveyed comparables.
- The development will be superior to most of the comparable developments in condition upon completion of its rehabilitation.
- The subject's unit and project amenities are competitive with the comparables in the market area.
- The subject's proposed LIHTC rent will provide a good value to prospective tenants.

Weaknesses

• There are no apparent weaknesses.

LOCAL PERSPECTIVE AND INTERVIEWS



Local Interviews

Zoning Department Brian Thrower, Town Manager of Crewe, the subject is zoned C-1, Commercial District. The subject is a legal, non-conforming use. The subject has been grandfathered in. He stated that the owner would have to follow the zoning code if the property were destroyed. According to the code, if a nonconforming structure is destroyed or damaged to the extent that the cost of restoration to its condition before the occurrence shall exceed 50 percent of the cost of reconstruction the entire activity or structure, it shall be restored only if such uses complies with the requirement of the ordinance. The telephone number for the Towne of Crewe is 434-645-9453.

RECOMMENDATIONS AND CONCLUSIONS



Recommendations and Conclusions

Project Description

The subject, Deerfield Apartments, is an existing Rural Development and Low Income Housing Tax Credit multifamily development that contains 39 rental units. The property is applying for an allocation of Low-Income Housing Tax Credits which will set the income eligibility to individuals earning 40, 50 and 60 percent of the area median income, or below and \$29,100 considering subsidy and between \$13,620 and \$29,100 not considering any subsidy.

Deerfield Apartments is comprised of five one-story garden buildings containing 39 units and a meeting room, laundry facility, office and maintenance area. The buildings are of frame construction with siding exterior and asphalt shingle roofs.

The following chart lists the subject's current unit mix:

Unit Type	# of Units	Square Footage	Total Square Footage
1/1	39	577	22,503
	39		22,503

The following chart lists the subject's proposed unit distribution by unit type, size and rent structure.

	MAXIMUM LIHTC RENTS AND UTILITY ALLOWANCES										
Unit Type	# of Units	Avg.	% of	Maximum	Gross	Utility	Net Rent				
		Square	Median	LIHTC	Rent	Allowance					
		Feet	Income	Rent							
1/1	4	577	40%	\$454	\$745	\$121	\$624				
1/1	16	577	50%	\$568	\$745	\$121	\$624				
1/1	18	577	60%	\$681	\$745	\$121	\$624				
1/1	1	577	60%	\$681	\$681	\$121	\$560				

*The maximum rents exceed the maximum gross rent. However, of the subject's 39 units, 38 units contain Rental Assistance. Therefore, these tenants will not be required to pay more than 30 percent of their income or the maximum LIHTC rent.

Income Averaging

The developer is not electing to use the income-average minimum set-aside option.

Housing Profile

The rental housing stock in the market area is comprised of single-family homes and market-rate and subsidized/income-restricted apartment complexes. The current vacancy rate in surveyed subsidized/ income-restricted apartment complexes is 0.4 percent. The current vacancy rate in surveyed market-rate apartment complexes is 3.2 percent.

The development does not have an adverse impact on the market area. There were six income-restricted developments confirmed in the market area. Peele Manor and Magnolia Place Apartments are the most similar to the subject since they contain one-bedroom units, contains subsidy for its units and are elderly. In addition, the majority of the comparables contain a waiting list. Therefore, the market area is not saturated.



Market Feasibility

The following tables contain the capture rates for the entire property as well as for the vacant units only at the property.

CAPTURE RATE BY INCO				
Income Restrictions:	All Units @ 40% (\$0 - \$19,400)	1BR Units @ 50% (\$0 - \$24,250)	All Units @ 60% (\$0 - \$29,100)	Project Total (\$0 - \$29,100)
All Units at Subject	0.3%	0.9%	1.0%	2.1%
Vacant Units at Subject	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

CAPTURE RATE BY INCOME				
Income Restrictions:	Project Total (\$13,620 - \$29,100)			
All Units at Subject	0.3%	4.1%	6.1%	4.7%
Vacant Units at Subject	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Overall the market shows a net demand of 1,877 units for proposed units at or below 60 percent of the area median income when considering subsidy. The subject is an existing development that contains 39 units. The overall capture rate for all units at or below 60 percent is 2.1 percent. However, as the property is existing and the tenants are likely to remain after rehabilitation, the capture rate including only the vacant units is 0.0 percent.

Overall the market shows a net demand of 686 units for proposed units at or below 60 percent of the area median income when not considering subsidy. The subject is an existing development that contains 39 units. The overall capture rate for all units at or below 60 percent is 5.7 percent. However, as the property is existing and the tenants are likely to remain after rehabilitation, the capture rate including only the vacant units is 0.0 percent.

Evaluation of Project

The subject is located at 1651 Maryland Avenue. Therefore, the subject has average visibility and easy access. The subject's site plan and project design are similar to competing apartment developments. The subject's unit mix of one-bedroom units is suitable in the market. The subject's unit and project amenities are competitive with the surveyed comparables. The subject's rents will provide a good value to prospective tenants.

Positive/Negative Attributes

Strengths: The subject's location is comparable to other developments in the market area. Its in-unit and common area amenities are competitive with the other developments in the market area. When these factors are taken into consideration, the subject's proposed units provide a good value to prospective tenants.

Weaknesses: There are no apparent weaknesses.

Conclusion

The subject can retain its required share of the market area for the following reasons:

• The subject's design and amenities are competitive with other existing projects. Therefore, no modifications to the subject are necessary.



- Deerfield Apartments is an existing 39-unit Low Income Housing Tax Credit complex. The development is currently 100 percent occupied. An absorption period was established as if the development were a vacant Low Income Housing Tax Credit Development. After researching the vacancy rates of the existing units in the area, it is firmly believed that the proposed development will satisfy a portion of the continued demand for the units within the market. It is estimated that a 95+ percent occupancy level can be achieved in six to eight months. Our interviews with apartment managers substantiate the absorption rate. It is believed that the subject will absorb five to seven units per month; therefore, reaching a stable occupancy level within six to eight months. However, it has no vacant units. Therefore, the effective absorption rate is zero months.
- According to the past, present and future demographic and economic trends within the primary
 market area, it is the opinion of the analyst that the existing development is suitable for the
 market area. Given the current acceptable vacancy rates for affordable properties, the
 development will not have an adverse effect on the existing rental comparables and the
 anticipated pipeline additions to the rental base.

MARKET STUDY ANALYST STATEMENT OF EXPERIENCE



Qualifications of the Analyst

Gill Group is a nationwide marketing and real estate consulting firm specializing in market studies and real estate appraisals for low-income housing developments. For more than 30 years, Gill Group has been performing market research and appraisals on all types of properties. Primary data from field inspectors, analysts and appraisers is used. Our inspectors, analysts and appraisers personally inspect and examine every property included in the reports. Additional data is obtained from the U.S. Census Bureau, local public officials and reliable market data research companies such as ESRI Business Information Solutions. Gill Group's market studies have been used by clients in the Low Income Housing Tax Credit program and in obtaining and renewing state and federal subsidies for low-income housing developments, senior housing and multifamily rental housing. Jonathan Richmond and Janice F. Gill, MAI, have been active in the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development's Multifamily Accelerated Processing (M.A.P.) and Mark-to-Market programs.



	Jonathan Richmond 512 North One Mile Road P.O. Box 784	
	Dexter, Missouri 63841 573-624-6614 (phone) 573-624-2942 (fax) jon.richmond@gillgroup.com	
OVERVIEW	Multifamily and commercial experience specializing in work for private contractors, the Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) as well as lenders and developers through the Low-Income Housing Tax Credit (LIHTC) program. Has completed over 100 market studies in the past five years.	
ACCREDITATIONS	State Certified Real Estate Appraiser Missouri State License Number 2014040824	
	Housing Credit Certified Professional (HCCP) National Council of Affordable Housing Market Analysts (NCAHMA)	
EMPLOYMENT	Hanley Wood Specialized in Market Research Coordina residential construction information for re development and new home construction	esidential real estate
	Gill Group Specializes in multi-family market studie inspections.	2005 – Present s, appraisals, and physical
EDUCATION	Bachelor of Science in Business Administration/Management The University of Phoenix Advanced Microsoft Excel Training New Horizons Microsoft Excel 2003-Level 2 State Registered Appraiser of Real Estate Steve W. Vehmeier Appruisal School General Education Classes Manatee Community College	
EXPERIENCE (2008 TO PRESENT)	Provider of appraisals for HUD, Public H Property Management Companies, Non-I Entities, Commercial Property Chains, Ba everywhere.	Profit Entities, For-Profit
	Inspector for Gill Group	
	Provides Property Condition Assessment types: • Multi-Family • Office Provided Inspections for the following pr	

and the second se

Deerfield Apartments 1651 Maryland Avenue Crewe, Virginia 23930



- Single-Family
- Multi-Family
 - o Conventional
 - o Section 8 o Section 42 w/File Audits
 - o Section 202
 - o Section 221(d)(3)
 - o Section 221(d)(4)
 - o Section 236
- Hotels
- Motels
- Department Stores
- Retail Centers
- Warehouse
- Large, Multi-Unit Mini-Storage Facilities

RECENT PROJECTS

Proposed 52 Unit Family Complex - Guthrie, OK 43 Unit Multifamily Property - Waggaman, LA 32 Unit Multifamily Property - Waggaman, LA 24 Unit Family Complex - Republic, MO 112 Unit Multifamily Apartment Complex - Santa Clara, CA 28 Senior Unit Property - Ozark, AR 19 New Construction Single Family Homes - Butler, MO 44 Unit Multifamily Property - Nevada, MO 52 Senior Unit Complex - Savannah, MO 36 Unit Multifamily Property - Corbin, KY



Samuel T. Gill 512 North One Mile Road P.O. Box 784 Dexter, Missouri 63841 573-624-6614 (phone) 573-624-2942 (fax) todd.gill@gillgroup.com

OVERVIEW	Extensive multifamily experience over the past 25 years specializing in work for the Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD), United States Department of Agriculture/Rural Development (USDA/RD) as well as lenders and developers through the Low-Income Housing Tax Credit (LIHTC) program including but not limited to, Section 8, Section 202, Section 236, Section 515 and Section 538 Programs. Additionally, extensive experience since inception of the Multifamily Accelerated Processing (MAP) Program of Sections 202/223(f), 232/223(f), 221(d)3, 221(d)4 and 223(f). Also, more than 20 years of experience with mursing homes, hotels and complicated commercial appraisal assignments.
ACCREDITATIONS	State Certified General Real Estate Appraiser Alabama State License Number: G00548 Arizona State License Number: 31453 Colorado State License Number: CG40024048 Connecticut State License Number: CG4001276 District of Columbia License Number: GA11630 Georgia State License Number: CGA1096 Hawaii State License Number: CGA3101 Illinois State License Number: CG40200270 Iowa State License Number: CG40200270 Iowa State License Number: CG10200270 Iowa State License Number: CG126 Maine State License Number: 201068069 Mirnesota State License Number: 1201068069 Mirnesota State License Number: CG260046R New York State License Number: CA-624 Missionn State License Number: CA-624 Missionn State License Number: CA-624 Missionn State License Number: CG200046R New York State License Number: CG200046R New York State License Number: CG-2601 Ohio State License Number: CG-2601 Ohio State License Number: CG-2601 Ohio State License Number: 12524CGA Oregon State License Num

Also received temporary licenses in the following states: Arkansas, California, Delaware, Florida, Kentucky, Massachusetts, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, Puerto Rico, Rhode Island, South Dakota, the U.S. Virgin Islands and Vermont.



EXPERIENCE (1991 TO PRESENT) Primary provider of HUD Mark-to-Market Full Appraisals for mortgage restructuring and Mark-to-Market Lites for rent restructuring and has worked with HUD in this capacity since inception. Completed approximately 350 appraisals assignments under this program.

Provider of HUD MAP and TAP appraisals and market studies for multiple lenders since its inception. Completed approximately 350 appraisal assignments under this program.

Contract MAP quality control reviewer and field inspector for CohnReznick and HUD. Have completed approximately 350 reviews under this program. Have completed approximately 100 field inspections under this program.

Currently approved state reviewer for HUD Rent Comparability Studies for Section 8 Renewals in Alabama, California, Connecticut, Florida, Hawaii, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Louisiana, Minnesota, Nebraska, New Mexico, North Carolina, Oregon, Utah, Virgin Islands, Virginia, Washington, West Virginia and Wisconsin. Completed approximately 500 reviews under this program.

Provider of HUD Rent Comparability Studies for contract renewal purposes nationwide. Completed approximately 400 rent comparability studies.

Provider of tax credit financing analysis and value of financing analysis. Completed approximately 300 appraisal assignments and market studies under this program.

Provider of multifamily appraisals under the RD 515 and 538 programs. Completed approximately 200 appraisal assignments under these programs.

Partial list of clients include: Colorado Housing Finance Agency, CreditVest, Inc., Foley & Judell, LLP, Kentucky Housing Corporation, Kitsap County Consolidated Housing Authority, Louisiana Housing Finance Agency, Missouri Housing Development Agency, New Mexico Mortgage Finance Authority, Ontra, Inc., Quadel Consulting Corporation, CohnReznick, L.L.P., Group, Siegel Group, Signet Partners and Wachovia Securities.

DEVELOPMENT/OWNERSHIP/ MANAGEMENT EXPERIENCE (2006 TO PRESENT)

For the past 10 years, he has owned three separate companies that develop, own and manage commercial, multifamily, residential, agricultural and vacant land properties.

In his portfolio are over 100,000 square feet of commercial space, over 1,000 units of multifamily, 200 acres of farmland, and 10 parcels of developable commercial and multifamily lots, all in the Midwest.

EDUCATION

Bachelor of Arts Degree Southeast Missouri State University Associate of Arts Degree Three Rivers Community College Deerfield Apartments 1651 Maryland Avenue Crewe, Virginia 23930



HUD/FHA Appraiser Training Arkansas State Office Multifamily Accelerated Processing Valuation (MAP) U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development 2nd Annual Multifamily Accelerated Processing Basic and Advanced Valuation (MAP) U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development **FHA Appraising Today** McKissock, Inc. Texas USDA Rural Development Multifamily Housing Appraiser Training Texas Rural Development Kentucky USDA Rural Development Multifamily Housing Appraiser Training Kentucky Rural Development **Financial Analysis of Income Properties** National Association of Independent Fee Appraisers **Income Capitalization** McKissock, Inc. Introduction to Income Property Appraising National Association of Independent Fee Appraisers Concepts, Terminology & Techniques National Association of Independent Fee Appraisers Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice Central Missouri State University Appraisal of Scenic, Recreational and Forest Properties University of Missouri-Columbia Appraiser Liability McKissock, Inc. **Appraisal Trends** McKissock, Inc. Sales Comparison Approach Hondros College Even Odder: More Oddball Appraisals McKissock, Inc. Mortgage Fraud: A Dangerous Business Hondros College **Private Appraisal Assignments** McKissock, Inc. **Construction Details & Trends** McKissock, Inc. **Condemnation Appraising: Principles & Applications** Appraisal Institute Michigan Law McKissock, Inc. Pennsylvania State Mandated Law McKissock, Inc.



Valuing Real Estate in a Changing Market National Association of Independent Fee Appraisers Principles of Residential Real Estate Appraising National Association of Independent Fee Appraisers **Real Estate Appraisal Methods** Southeast Missouri State University Lead Inspector Training The University of Kansas Lead Inspector Refresher Safety Support Services, Incorporated. Home Inspections: Common Defects in Homes National Association of Independent Fee Appraisers Heating and Air Conditioning Review National Association of Independent Fee Appraisers **Professional Standards of Practice** National Association of Independent Fee Appraisers Developing & Growing an Appraisal Practice - Virtual Classroom McKissock, Inc. The Appraiser as Expert Witness McKissock, Inc. **Current Issues in Appraising** McKissock, Inc. 2011 ValExpo: Keynote-Valuation Visionaries Van Education Center/Real Estate **Residential Report Writing** McKissock, Inc. The Dirty Dozen McKissock, Inc. Risky Business: Ways to Minimize Your Liability McKissock, Inc. Introduction to Legal Descriptions McKissock, Inc. Introduction to the Uniform Appraisal Dataset McKissock, Inc. Mold Pollution and the Appraiser McKissock, Inc. **Appraising Apartments: The Basics** McKissock, Inc. Foundations in Sustainability: Greening the Real Estate and **Appraisal Industries** McKissock, Inc. Mortgage Fraud McKissock, Inc. The Nuts and Bolts of Green Building for Appraisers McKissock, Inc. The Cost Approach



Pennsylvania State Mandated Law for Appraisers McKissock, Inc. Michigan Appraisal Law McKissock, Inc. Modern Green Building Concepts McKissock, Inc. **Residential Appraisal Review** McKissock, Inc. **Residential Report Writing: More Than Forms** McKissock, Inc. 2-4 Family Finesse McKissock, Inc. Appraisal Applications of Regression Analysis McKissock, Inc. **Appraisal of Self-Storage Facilities** McKissock, Inc. Supervisor-Trainee Course for Missouri McKissock, Inc. The Thermal Shell McKissock, Inc. Even Odder - More Oddball Appraisals McKissock, Inc. **Online Data Verification Methods** Appraisal Institute **Online Comparative Analysis** Appraisal Institute Advanced Hotel Appraising - Full Service Hotels McKissock, Inc. **Appraisal of Fast Food Facilities** McKissock, Inc. Appraisal Review for Commercial Appraisers McKissock, Inc. **Exploring Appraiser Liability** McKissock, Inc.



Janice F. Gill, MAI 512 North One Mile Road P.O. Box 784 Dexter, Missouri 63841 573-624-6614 (phone) 573-624-2942 (fax)

OVERVIEW	Extensive multifamily experience specializing in work for the Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) as well as lenders and developers through the Low-Income Housing Tax Credit (LIHTC) program. Also, many years of experience with nursing homes and typical commercial appraisals.
ACCREDITATIONS	MAI Designated Member of the Appraisal Institute
	State Certified General Real Estate Appraiser
	Missouri State License Number: 2002024410 Virginia State License Number: 4001016982 Maryland State License Number: 32198
	Also regularly receives temporary licenses in the following states: Colorado, Florida, Hawaii, Minnesota, Oklahoma and Texas.
EDUCATION	Bachelor of Science Degree
	Southeast Missouri State University
	Associate of Arts Degree
	Three Rivers Community College
	Professional Standards of Practice
	National Association of Independent Fee Appraisers
	Introductions to Income Properties
	National Association of Independent Fee Appraisers
	Concepts, Terminology & Techniques
	National Association of Independent Fee Appraisers
	Financial Analysis of Income Properties
	National Association of Independent Fee Appraisers
	1.4B Report Writing-Non Residential Real Estate Appraising
	National Association of Independent Fee Appraisers
	Principles of Residential Real Estate Appraising
	National Association of Independent Fee Appraisers
	1.4A Report Writing-Residential Real Estate Appraising
	National Association of Independent Fee Appraisers
	Economics 1
	Three Rivers Community College
	1.4A Report Writing Non-Residential Real Estate Appraising
	National Association of Independent Fee Appraisers
	Advanced Highest & Best Use and Market Analysis
	Appraisal Institute
	Advanced Sales Comparison & Cost Approaches
	Appraisal Institute
	Advanced Income Capitalization
	Appraisal Institute
	The second se

Deerfield Apartments 1651 Maryland Avenue Crewe, Virginia 23930



Report Writing & Valuation Analysis Appraisal Institute National USPA Update McKissock

EXPERIENCE (1991 TO PRESENT) Primary provider of HUD Mark-to-Market Full Appraisals for mortgage restructuring and Mark-to-Market Lites for rent restructuring. Have worked with HUD in this capacity for several years.

Contract appraiser for Kentucky Housing Corporation for the eastern half of the State of Kentucky.

Provider of nursing home appraisals and hotel appraisals as well as typical commercial appraisals nationwide.

Provider of HUD Rent Comparability Studies for contract renewal purposes nationwide.

Partial list of clients include: Boston Capital, Colorado Housing Finance Agency, CreditVest, Inc., Foley & Judell, LLP, Kentucky Housing Corporation, Kitsap County Consolidated Housing Authority, Louisiana Housing Finance Agency, Missouri Housing Development Agency, New Mexico Mortgage Finance Authority, Ontra, Inc., Quadel Consulting Corporation, Reznick Group, Siegel Group, Signet Partners and Wachovia Securities.





Gill Group | *Promises Kept. Deadlines Met.* Page | 114 Deerfield Apartments 1651 Maryland Avenue Crewe, Virginia 23930



I affirm that I have made a physical inspection of the site and market area. I also affirm that the appropriate information has been used in the comprehensive evaluation of the need and demand for the proposed rental units. To the best of my knowledge the market can support the demand shown in this study. I understand that any misrepresentations in this statement may result in the denial of participation in the Low Income Housing Tax Credit Program in Virginia as administered by the Virginia Housing Development Authority. I also affirm that neither I nor anyone at my firm has any interest in the existing development or relationship with the ownership entity. In addition, I affirm that neither I nor anyone at my firm nor anyone acting on behalf of my firm in connection with the preparation of this report has communication to others that my firm is representing VHDA or in any way acting for, at the request of, or on behalf of VHDA. Finally, I affirm that compensation for my services is not contingent upon this development receiving a reservation or allocation of tax credits.

Vieland

Market Analyst

March 12, 2020

Date

ADDENDUM A – DATA SOURCES

2000 U.S Census
2010 U.S. Census
American Community Survey
Nielsen Claritas (A nationally recognized demographics forecaster)
Ribbon Demographics (A nationally recognized demographics forecaster)
U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics
Income Expense Analysis: Conventional Apartments and Income/Expense Analysis: Federally Assisted Apartments published by Institute of Real Estate Management

Information used in the market study was obtained from various sources including: the U.S. Census Bureau, Nielsen Claritas, Ribbon Demographics, U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics, interviews with local area and government officials and interview with local property owners or managers.

ADDENDUM B – MARKET STUDY TERMINOLOGY

Absorption Period

The period of time necessary for a newly constructed or renovated property to achieve the Stabilized Level of Occupancy. The Absorption Period begins when the first temporary or permanent certificate of occupancy is issued and ends when the last unit to reach the Stabilized Level of Occupancy has a signed lease. Assumes a typical pre-marketing period, prior to the issuance of the certificate of occupancy, of about three to six months. The month that leasing is expected to begin should accompany all absorption estimates.

Absorption Rate

The average number of units rented each month during the Absorption Period.

Acceptable Rent Burden

The rent-to-income ratio used to qualify tenants for both income restricted and non-income restricted units. The Acceptable Rent Burden varies depending on the requirements of funding sources, government funding sources, target markets, and local conditions.

Affordable Housing

Housing where the tenant household pays no more than 30 percent of its annual income on Gross Rent.

Amenity

Tangible or intangible benefits offered to a tenant at no fee (with the exception of day care), typically onsite recreational facilities or planned programs, services and activities.

Annual Demand

The total estimated demand present in the market in any one year for the type of units proposed. Annual demand estimates factor in tenure, tenant age, income restrictions, family size and turnover.

Area Median Income (AMI)

One-hundred percent of the gross median household income for a specific metropolitan statistical area, county or non-metropolitan area established annually by HUD.

Assisted Housing

Housing where the monthly costs to the tenants are subsidized by federal, state or other programs.

Attached Housing

Two or more dwelling units connected with party walls (e.g., townhouses or flats).

Basic Rent

The minimum monthly rent that tenants who do not have rental assistance pay to lease units developed through the USDA-RD Section 515 Program, the HUD Section 236 Program and HUD Section 223 (d)(3) Below Market Interest Rate Program. The Basic Rent is calculated on the rent required to operate the property, maintain debt service on a subsidized mortgage with a below-market interest rate, and provide a return on equity to the developer in accordance with the regulatory documents governing the property.

Below Market Interest Rate Program (BMIR)

Program targeted to renters with income not exceeding 80 percent of area median income by limiting rents based on HUD's BMIR Program requirements and through the provision of an interest reduction contract to subsidize the market interest rate to a below-market rate. Interest rates are typically subsidized to effective rates of one percent or three percent.

Capture Rate

The percentage of age, size, and income qualified renter households in the Primary Market Area that the property must capture to achieve the Stabilized Level of Occupancy. Funding agencies may require restrictions to the qualified households used in the calculation including age, income, living in substandard housing, mover-ship and other comparable factors. The Capture Rate is calculated by dividing the total number of units at the property by the total number of age, size and income qualified renter households in the Primary Market Area. See Penetration Rate for rate for entire market area.

Census Tract

A small, relatively permanent statistical subdivision delineated by a local committee of census data users for the purpose of presenting data. Census tract boundaries normally follow visible features but may follow governmental unit boundaries and other non-visible features; they always nest within counties. They are designed to be relatively homogeneous units with respect to population characteristics, economic status, and living conditions at the time of establishment. Census tracts average about 4,000 inhabitants.

Central Business District (CBD)

The center of commercial activity within a town or city; usually the largest and oldest concentration of such activity.

Community Development Corporation (CDC)

Entrepreneurial institution combining public and private resources to aid in the development of socioeconomically disadvantaged areas.

Comparable Property

A market-rate or Affordable Housing property that is representative of the rental housing choices of the subject's Primary Market Area and that is similar in construction, size, amenities, or age. These Comparables and Competitives are generally used to derive market rent.

Competitive Property

A property that is comparable to the subject and that competes at nearly the same rent levels and tenant profile, such as age, family or income.

Concession

Discount given to a prospective tenant to induce the tenant to sign a lease. Concessions typically are in the form of reduced rent or free rent for a specified lease term or for free amenities which are normally charged separately (i.e., washer/dryer, parking).

Condominium

A form of joint ownership and control of property in which specified volumes of space (for example, apartments) are owned individually while the common elements of the property (for example, outside walls) are owned jointly.

Contract Rent

- 1. The actual monthly rent payable by the tenant, including any rent subsidy paid on behalf of the tenants, to the owner, inclusive of all terms of the lease (HUD & RD).
- 2. The monthly rent agreed to between a tenant and a landlord (Census).

Demand

An estimate of the total number of market households that have both the desire and the ability to obtain the product and/or services offered. These households must be of the appropriate age, income, tenure and size for a specific proposed development. Components of demand vary and can include household growth; turnover, those living in substandard conditions, rent over-burdened households, and demolished housing units. Demand is project specific.

Difficult Development Area (DDA)

An area designated by HUD as an area that has high construction, land, and utility costs relative to the Area Median Gross Income. A project located in a DDA and utilizing the Low Income Housing Tax Credit may qualify for up to 130 percent of eligible basis for the purpose of calculating the Tax Credit allocation.

Detached Housing

A freestanding dwelling unit, typically single-family, situated on its own lot.

Effective Rents

Market Rents less concessions.

Elderly or Senior Housing

Housing where (1) all the units in the property are restricted for occupancy by persons 62 years of age or older or (2) at least 80 percent of the units in each building are restricted for occupancy by households where at least one household member is 55 years of age or older and the housing is designed with amenities and facilities designed to meet the needs of senior citizens.

Extremely Low Income

Person or household with income below 30 percent of Area Median Income adjusted for household size.

Fair Market Rent (FMR)

The estimates established by HUD of the Gross Rents (Contract Rent plus Tenant Paid Utilities) needed to obtain modest rental units in acceptable condition in a specific county or metropolitan statistical area. HUD generally sets FMR so that 40 percent of the rental units have rents below the FMR. In rental markets with a shortage of lower-priced rental units, HUD may approve the use of Fair Market Rents that are as high as the 50th percentile of rents.

Family

A family consists of a householder (i.e., one who occupies or owns a house/head of a household) and one or more other persons living in the same household who are related to the householder by birth, marriage or adoption. Not all households contain families since a household may comprise a group of unrelated persons or one person living alone. (Source: U.S. Census)

Garden Apartments

Apartments in low-rise buildings (typically two to four stories) that feature low density, ample open-space around the buildings, and on-site parking.

Gross Rent

The monthly housing cost to a tenant which equals the Contract Rent provided for in the lease plus the estimated cost of all Tenant Paid Utilities.

High-rise

A residential building having more than ten stories.

Household

All the persons who occupy a housing unit as their usual place of residence. The occupants may be a single family, one person living alone, two or more families living together, or any other group of related or unrelated persons who share living arrangements. (Source: U.S. Census)

Household Trends

Changes in the number of households for a particular area over a specific period of time, which is a function of new household formations (e.g., at marriage or separation) and decreasing average household size.

Housing Unit

House, apartment, mobile home, or group of rooms used as a separate living quarters by a single household.

Housing Choice Voucher (Section 8 Program)

Federal rent subsidy program under Section 8 of the U.S. Housing Act which issues rent vouchers to eligible households to use in the housing of their choice. The voucher payment subsidizes the difference between the Gross Rent and the tenant's contribution of 30 percent of adjusted income (or 10 percent of gross income, whichever is greater). In cases where 30 percent of the tenants' income is less than the utility allowance, the tenant will receive an assistance payment. In other cases, the tenant is responsible for paying his share of the rent each month.

Housing Finance Agency (HFA)

State or local agencies responsible for financing housing and administering Assisted Housing programs.

HUD Section 8 Program

Federal program that provides project based rental assistance. Under the program HUD contracts directly with the owner for the payment of the difference between the Contract Rent and a specified percentage of tenant's adjusted income.

HUD Section 202 Program

Federal program which provides direct capital assistance (i.e., grant) and operating or rental assistance to finance housing designed for occupancy by elderly households who have income not exceeding 50 percent of Area Median Income. The program is limited to housing owned by 501(c)(3) nonprofit organizations or by limited partnerships where the sole general partner is a 501(c)(3) nonprofit organization. Units receive HUD project based rental assistance that enables tenants to occupy units at rents based on 30 percent of tenant income.

HUD Section 811 Program

Federal program which provides direct capital assistance and operating or rental assistance to finance housing designed for occupancy by persons with disabilities who have income not exceeding 50 percent of Area Median Income. The program is limited to housing owned by 501(c)(3) nonprofit organizations or by limited partnerships where the sole general partner is a 501(c)(3) nonprofit organization.

HUD Section 236 Program

Federal program which provides interest reduction payments for loans which finance housing targeted to households with income not exceeding 80 percent of area median income who pay rent equal to the greater of Basic Rent of 30 percent of their adjusted income. All rents are capped at a HUD approved market rent.

Income Band

The range of incomes of households that can pay a specific rent but do not have more income than is allowed by the Income Limits of a particular housing program. The minimum household income typically is based on a defined Acceptable Rent Burden percentage and the maximum typically is pre-defined by specific programmatic requirements or by general market parameters.

Income Limits

Maximum household income by county or Metropolitan Statistical Area, adjusted for household size and expressed as a percentage of the Area Median Income for the purpose of establishing an upper limit for eligibility for a specific housing program. Income Limits for federal, state and local rental housing programs typically are established at 30, 50, 60 or 80 percent of AMI. HUD publishes Income Limits each year for 30 percent median, Very Low Income (50%), and Low-Income (80%), for households with one through eight people.

Infrastructure

Services and facilities including roads, highways, water, sewerage, emergency services, parks and recreation, etc. Infrastructure includes both public and private facilities.

Low Income

Person or household with gross household income below 80 percent of Area Median Income adjusted for household size.

Low Income Housing Tax Credit

A program to generate equity for investment in affordable rental housing authorized pursuant to Section 42 of the Internal Revenue Code, as amended. The program requires that a certain percentage of units built be restricted for occupancy to households earning 60 percent or less of Area Median Income, and that the rents on these units be restricted accordingly.

Low Rise Building

A building with one to three stories.

Market Advantage

The difference, expressed as a percentage, between the estimated market rent for an apartment property without income restrictions and the lesser of (a) the owner's proposed rents or (b) the maximum rents permitted by the financing program for the same apartment property.

Market Analysis

A study of real estate market conditions for a specific type of property.

Market Area

A geographic area from which a property is expected to draw the majority of its residents.

Market Area, Primary (PMA)

The most likely geographic area from which a property would draw its support.

Market Area, Secondary (SMA)

The portion of a market area that supplies additional support to an apartment property beyond that provided by the primary market area.

Market Demand

The number of units required in a defined market area to accommodate demand (i.e., households that desire to improve the quality of their housing without significantly increasing their economic burden). Market demand is not project specific and refers to the universe of tenure appropriate households, independent of income. The components of market demand are similar to those used in determining demand.

Market Feasibility Analysis

An analysis that determines whether a proposed development can attain its target rents, taking into account the development's characteristics (location, size, unit mix, design and amenities), the depth of its target market, and the strength of its appeal in comparison to other existing and planned options available to potential consumers.

Market Rent

The rent that an apartment, without rent or income restrictions or rent subsidies, would command in the open market considering its location, features, amenities. Market rent should be adjusted for concessions and owner paid utilities included in the rent.

Market Study

A comprehensive review of the housing market in a defined market area. A market study can be used to determine the demand for specific proposed development or to examine the overall condition of an area's housing market. Project specific market studies are often used by developers, syndicators, and government entities to determine the appropriateness of a proposed development, whereas market specific market studies are used to determine what housing needs, if any, exist within a specific geography. At a minimum, market studies include a review of location, economic conditions, demographics, and existing and proposed housing stock.

Marketability

The manner in which the subject fits into the market; the relative desirability of a property (for sale or lease) in comparison with similar or competing properties in the area.

Market Vacancy Rate – Physical

Average number of apartment units in any market which are unoccupied divided by the total number of apartment units in the same Market Area, excluding units in properties which are in the lease-up stage.

Market Vacancy Rate – Economic

Percentage of rent loss due to concessions and vacancies.

Metropolitan Statistical Area (MSA)

A geographic entity defined by the federal Office of Management and Budget, for use by federal statistical agencies, based on the concept of a core area with a large population nucleus, plus adjacent communities having a high degree of economic and social integration with that core. Qualification of an MSA requires the presence of a city with 50,000 or more inhabitants, or the presence of an Urbanized Area (UA) and a total population of at least 100,000 (75,000 in New England). The county or counties containing the largest city and surrounding densely settled territory are central counties of the MSA. Additional outlying counties qualify to be included in the MSA by meeting certain other criteria of metropolitan character, such as a specified minimum population density or percentage of the population that is urban.

Mid-rise

A building with four to ten stories.

Mixed Income Property

An apartment property containing (1) both income restricted and unrestricted units or (2) units restricted at two or more Income Limits (i.e., Low Income Tax Credit property with income limits of 30, 50 and 60 percent).

Mobility

The ease with which people move from one location to another.

Moderate Income

Person or household with gross household income between 80 and 120 percent of Area Median Income adjusted for household size.

Move-Up Demand

An estimate of how many consumers are able and willing to relocate to more expensive or desirable units, such as tenants who move up from Class C properties to Class B; and Class B tenants that move up to Class A properties; and tenants that move from Class C and B properties to a new superior Low Income Tax Credit property. For purposes of demonstrating move-up demand both changes in Class Construction Type and changes in Quality Classification of five or more may be considered (Reference the Virginia State Board of Equalization Assessor's Handbook Section 531, January 2003, pages 4-5 for definitions of Class and Quality Classifications.)

Multifamily

Structures that contain two or more housing units.

Neighborhood

An area of a city or town with common demographic and economic features that distinguish it from adjoining areas.

Net Rent (also referred to as Contract or Lease Rent)

Gross Rent less Tenant Paid Utilities.

Penetration Rate

The percentage of age and income qualified renter households in the Primary Market Area that live in all existing and proposed properties, to be completed within six months of the subject, and which are competitively priced to the subject that must be captured to achieve the Stabilized Level of Occupancy. Funding agencies may require restrictions to the qualified households used in the calculation including age, income, living in substandard housing, mover-ship and other comparable factors. See Capture Rate for property specific rate.

Pent-up Demand

A market in which there is a scarcity of supply and vacancy rates are very low.

Population Trends

Changes in population levels for a particular area over a specific period of time – which is a function of the levels of births, deaths, and net migration.

Primary Market Area

See Market Area

Programmatic Rents

The proposed rents for a Tax Credit or other income restricted property relative to comparable market rate properties and rents being achieved at another Low Income Housing Tax Credit or other income restricted properties in the market. Can be no greater than maximum rents permitted by the Low Income Housing Tax Credit or other program regulations.

Project Based Rent Assistance

Rental assistance from a federal, state or local program that is allocated to the property or a specific number of units in the property and is available to each income eligible tenant of the property or an assisted unit.

Public Housing or Low Income Conventional Public Housing

HUD program administered by local (or regional) Housing Authorities which serves Low- and Very-Low Income Households with rent based on the same formula used for HUD Section 8 assistance.

Qualified Census Tract (QCT)

Any census tract (or equivalent geographic area defined by the Bureau of the Census) in which at least 50 percent of households have an income less than 60 percent of Area Median Income or where the poverty rate is at least 25 percent. A project located in a QCT and receiving Low Income Housing Tax Credits may qualify for up to 130 percent of eligible basis for the purpose of calculating the Tax Credit allocation.

Redevelopment

The redesign or rehabilitation of existing properties.

Rent Burden

Contract Rent plus Tenant Paid Utilities divided by the gross monthly household income.

Rent Burdened Households

Households with rent burden above the level determined by the lender, investor or public program to be an acceptable rent-to-income ratio.

Restricted Rent

The maximum allowable rent under the restrictions of a specific housing program or subsidy.

Rural Development (RD) Market Rent

A monthly rent that can be charged for an apartment under a specific USDA-RD housing program, that reflects the agency's estimate of the rent required to operate the property, maintain debt service on an un-subsidized mortgage and provide an adequate return to the property owner. This rent is the maximum rent that a tenant can pay at an RD property.

Rural Development (RD) Program

Federal program which provides low interest loans to finance housing which serves low- and moderateincome persons in rural areas who pay 30 percent of their adjusted income on rent or the basic rent, whichever is the higher (but not exceeding the market rent). The Program may include property based rental assistance and interest reduction contracts to write down the interest on the loan to as low as one percent.

Saturation

The point at which there is no longer demand to support additional units.

Secondary Market Area

The portion of a market area that supplies additional support to an apartment property beyond that provided by the Primary Market Area.

Single-Family Housing

A dwelling unit, either attached or detached, designed for use by one household and with direct access to a street. It does not share heating facilities or other essential building facilities with any other dwelling.

Special Needs Population

Specific market niche that is typically not catered to in a conventional apartment property. This population should exhibit certain criteria, which can be well defined, in order, for example, to assess the need and demand from this source. Examples of special needs populations include substance abusers, visually impaired person or persons with mobility limitations.

Stabilized Level of Occupancy

The underwritten or actual number of occupied units that a property is expected to maintain after the initial rent-up period, expressed as a percentage of the total units. For TCAC projects these percentages are equal to a physical occupancy rate that will generate 90 percent of aggregate restricted rents for SRO and Special Needs units and generate 95 percent of aggregate restricted rents for all other types of units.

State Date Center (SDC)

A state agency or university facility identified by the governor of each state to participate in the U.S. Census Bureau's cooperative network for the dissemination of the census data.

Subsidy

Monthly income received by a tenant or by an owner on behalf of a tenant to pay the difference between the apartment's Contract Rent and the amount paid by the tenant toward rent.

Substandard Conditions

Housing conditions that are conventionally considered unacceptable which may be defined in terms of lacking plumbing facilities, one or more major systems not functioning properly, or overcrowded conditions.

Target Income Band

The estimated Income Band from which the subject will likely draw tenants.

Target Population

Market niche a development will appeal or cater to. State agencies often use Target Population to refer to various income set asides, elderly v. family, etc.

Tenant

One who rents real property from another.

Tenant Paid Utilities

The cost of utilities necessary for the habitation of a dwelling unit, which are paid by the tenant. Tenant Paid Utilities do not include costs for telephone or cable service.

Tenure

The distinction between owner-occupied and renter-occupied housing units.

Townhouse (or Row House)

Single-family attached residence separated from another by party walls, usually on a narrow lot offering small front and back-yards; also called a row house.

Turnover

An estimate of the number of housing units in a Market Area as a percentage of total housing units in the Market Area that will likely change occupants in any one year. Only turnover in the primary market area rental market (i.e., number of rental housing units as a percentage of total rental housing units that will likely change occupants) shall be considered for purposes of constructing demand estimates. See Vacancy Period.

Unmet Housing Need

New units required in the Market Area to accommodate household growth, homeless households, and housing in substandard conditions.

Unrestricted Rents

The recommended rents for the market rate units at a Mixed-Income Property.

Vacancy Period

The amount of time that an apartment remains vacant and available for rent.

Vacancy Rate – Economic

Maximum potential revenue less actual rent revenue divided by maximum potential rent revenue. The economic vacancy rate should be used exclusively for project rent proformas, and not for reporting the vacancy rate of rent comparables.

Vacancy Rate – Physical

The number of total habitable units that are vacant divided by the total number of units in the property. The physical vacancy rate shall be used when reporting comparable vacancy rates.

Very Low Income

Person or household whose gross household income does not exceed 50 percent of Area Median Income adjusted for household size.

Zoning

Classification and regulation of land by local governments according to use categories (zones); often also includes density designations.

ADDENDUM C – NCHMA INDEX

NCHMA Market Study Index

Introduction: Members of the National Council of Housing Market Analysts provide the following checklist referencing various components necessary to conduct a comprehensive market study for rental housing. By completing the following checklist, the NCHMA Analyst certifies that he or she has performed all necessary work to support the conclusions included within the comprehensive market study. By completion of this checklist, the analyst asserts that he/she has completed all required items per section.

	٦ ٦										
	Executive Summary										
1	Executive Summary	11-13									
	Scope of Work										
2	2 Scope of Work										
	Project Description										
3	Unit mix including bedrooms, bathrooms, square footage, rents, and income targeting	19-21									
4	Utilities (and utility sources) included in rent	20									
5	Target market/population description	19									
6	Project description including unit features and community amenities	19									
7	Date of construction/preliminary completion	21									
8	If rehabilitation, scope of work, existing rents, and existing vacancies	21									
	Location										
9	Concise description of the site and adjacent parcels	126									
10	Site photos/maps	24-25; 27-37									
11	Map of community services	41									
12	Site evaluation/neighborhood including visibility, accessibility and crime	38									
	Market Area										
13	PMA description	45-46									
14	РМА Мар	47									
	Employment and Economy										
15	At-Place employment trends	51									
16	Employment by sector	51									
17	Unemployment rates	49-50									
18	Area major employers/employment centers and proximity to site	51									
19	Recent or planned employment expansions/reductions	51									
	Demographic Characteristics										
20	Population and household estimates and projections	54-60									
21	Area building permits	73									
22	Population and household characteristics including income, tenure, and	54-60									
23	For senior or special needs projects, provide data specific to target market	57									

NCHMA Market Study Index

Introduction: Members of the National Council of Housing Market Analysts provide the following checklist referencing various components necessary to conduct a comprehensive market study for rental housing. By completing the following checklist, the NCHMA Analyst certifies that he or she has performed all necessary work to support the conclusions included within the comprehensive market study. By completion of this checklist, the analyst asserts that he/she has completed all required items per section.

		Page Number(s)
	Competitive Environment	
24	Comparable property profiles and photos	78-83
25	Map of comparable properties	84-85
26	Existing rental housing evaluation including vacancy and rents	75-76
27	Comparison of subject property to comparable properties	88-94
28	Discussion of availability and cost of other affordable housing options including homeownership, if applicable	95-96
29	Rental communities under construction, approved, or proposed	67
30	For senior or special needs populations, provide data specific to target market	77
	Affordability, Demand, and Penetration Rate Analysis	
31	Estimate of demand	68-69
32	Affordability analysis with capture rate	71
33	Penetration rate analysis with capture rate	67
	Analysis/Conclusions	
34	Absorption rate and estimated stabilized occupancy for subject	70
35	Evaluation of proposed rent levels including estimate of market/achievable rents.	94
36	Precise statement of key conclusions	100-101
37	Market strengths and weaknesses impacting project	13
38	Product recommendations and/or suggested modifications to subject	100-101
39	Discussion of subject property's impact on existing housing	96
40	Discussion of risks or other mitigating circumstances impacting subject	100-101
41	Interviews with area housing stakeholders	98
	Other Requirements	
42	Certifications	7-9
43	Statement of qualifications	104
44	Sources of data not otherwise identified	102

ADDENDUM D – SUBJECT DATA

Legal Description-Deerfield Apartments

ALL THAT certain tract or parcel of land containing 4.194 acres, located, lying and being in the Town of Drewe. County of Nottoway, and State of Virginia, described as follows:

"BEGINNING at a point on the easterly side of Virginis State Route Number 49, said point being the northwest corner of property of Neison L. Key and Yolanda C. Key (Deed Boox 230. page 349) known as Tax Map Farcel 16-100; thence continuing along Virginia State Koute Number 45 through a curve whose radius is 3859.72 feet and whose cord bearing and distances K. 15 degrees 8' 46" East 505.60 feet, an arc distance of 505.07 feet to a concrete monument and an angle point in said Virginia Stare Route Number 49; thence N. 52 degrees C7' 55" East for a distance of 69.01 feet to the point of intersection with the southwesterly side of Virginia State Route Number 630; thence with Virginia State Route Number 630 South 40 degrees 56' 23" Best for a distance of 248.66 feet to a point; thence continuing along Virginia State Route Number 630 South 42 degrees 36' 05" East for a distance of 155.13 feet to a point; thence continuing along Virginia State Route Number 630 South 46 degrees 57' 55" East 109.86 feet to a point; thence continuing along Virginia State Route 630 South 46 degrees 26' 1" East for a distance of 114.37 feet to a rod set on the northwesterly property line of lands now or formerly of John William Thompson and Frances Meads Thompson (Will Book 32, page 649) and known as Tax Map Parcel 18-95; thence elong Thompson South 35 degrees 17' 35" West for a distance of 247.76 feet to a rod; thence along other property of John William Thompson (Deed Book 154, page 111) and known as Tax Map Parcel 15-96A and 18-97A North 49 degrees 17' 09" West for a distance of 392 feet to a rod; thence South 29 degrees 43' 17" West for a distance of 206.09 feet to a rod on the northerly property line of the aforesaid Key property; thence with same North 55 degrees 41' 50" West for a distance of 81.82 feet to a point located on the eastern edge of right of way of the Virginia State Route Number 49, the point of the beginning. The herein described real estate being known as Tax Map Parcels 18-96, 18-97, and 18-101, more particularly shown on a Plat of 4.194 acres of land situated in the Town of Crewe, Nottoway County, Virginia prepared be John R. Nunuelly, Jr., Land Surveyor, dated October 21, 1987, revised September 14, 1989, and last revised September 8, 1992.

USDA MINC - Project Worksheet Report

Page 102 of 437

Sayoti PATEDOO Daolact Wange: DEERFITED APTS Chao Runber: Statt-Resolution-010 Torioni DEVy, 05 - Sian 11 (63)			Mairi-Panily Istov PROJECT FORMU	Manatemat Reports TH ASSOCIATES HADRADHENT, IOC									Page		12/203					
ADIT	t Mote:	ups Tn	10 - PASS MON Lokilai	THLY Expires		Basic	Noti	um)	Thi Sub-	fer or	Lucions	Adj or Annoal		ire i	-	AL Dive	,RA Disc.	(wrg)	Acti	track
(for	Tp/St	Unit	Date	Date	Leased To	Rent	Runt	Ront	Code	Theorem	TYPE	Inicomo.	GTC	MLA	920	The	Errer	Surch	- Comp	Rect
(六-1)	1271	1	9/11/2001	9/81/2018	ROBIRTSON, JAMICS.	634	1799	11	11	105	171	9057	327	73	154	0	180	10		
8-2	10/1	1	11/23/2011	18/31/2020	ASBURY, LIDDY	634	2.99	ų,	1.1	301	¥ .	9224	2.31	73	158	12	476	a		
8-3	8/1	1	10/28/2013	10/31/2020	EANES, LOIS	634	729	IJ	1	304	Y.	10457	261	73	188	12	466	a		
8-4	37/1	1	2/1/2016	1/31/2020	PERNEX, FRANCES	634	759	п	1	30)	v	88.52	221	73	14B	0.	(18-6)	0		
8-2	77/1	2	1/10/2016	7/32/2020	JACKSON, ROBERT	E3.	7.99		0	471	v	17918	7.67	73	634	n	D	0		
A-6	12/1	3	9/1/2008	6/80/2020	BOOKER, ACCIENTA	634	7.99		0Ł.,	301	V	9461	239	73	163	D.	475	Ű.		
A-7	19/1	- 1	8/20/2018	6/80/2020	CLARKE, GLADYS	634	758	-{1	.1	309	V.	9658	232	73	169	D	465	. 0		
8-11	72/1	1	11/13/2018	11/30/2020	STITH, LANCE G	€24	799	П	3	301	8	15518	388	73	315	0	319	a	Ŧ	
B+1/I	37/1	П	8/1/1898	7/31/2020	WATEON, DOROTHY	631	7.99	11	3	30.0	W.	9011	227	73	154	0.	(180)	0		
0-11	$\eta/1$	2	2/11/2017	12/31/2020	SYDNOR, JERRIE	634	799	π	12	30%	v	13203	198	73	251	12	377	0	R	
B-12	$\eta/1$	1	12/1/2005	11/30/2020	STRELE, MARY	634	795	Π	1	30%	v	8988	225	73	152	- 0	462	a	R	
B-13	82/1	11	9/1/2008	8/31/2020	EANES, DORIS	634	759	11	1	301	V.	18262	437	73	384	p	250	0		
B-I4	2/1	1	8/12/2016	7/31/2020	CHASE, TIME	€34	7.99	п	1	301	Ŷ	13688	342	73	269	p.	365	a		
B-15	12/1	11	5/1/2004	5/31/2010	BORNEY, JUDY	634	7.23	11	3	301	17°	16084	4.02	73	329	0.	305	1		
B-16	8/1	1	5/1/2018	4/30/2020	CRAMERY, BREAM	E34	799	11	- 2	304	- V*	8852	221	73	148	E.	186	0		
B-8	32/2	1	4/3/2019	4,130/2020	WATSON, ROY	634	17.65	Π	1	301	4	\$852	221	73	148	10	486	0		
2-17	87/1	11	8/6/2017	2/29/2020	BASSFIELD, LINDA	634	759	a	1.1	304	V.	8852	221	73	145	D	486	.0		
0-10	1/1	1	3/1/2007	3/31/2020	TIPTON, ALICE	634	7.8.9	11	12	304	Ŷ	90.92	227	73	152	17	480	ä		
0-19	27/1	1	3/14/2014	8/31/2020	BORTER, JANIE	€34	7 99	II	1.1	301	v.	12850	323	73	250	12	384	0		
2-20	8/1	÷.	8/1/2007	7/31/2020	JONES, FRANCES	E34	799	11	- 3	301	V	1873	197	73	124	17.	510	0		
0-21	11/1	α.	3)16/2019	5/31/2020	WATSUR, APRIL	634	715	п	11	305	v	8852	221	7.3	148	11	486	0		
12-22	8/1	13	12/1/1996	11/30/2020	ODRIDH, MYRTLE	634	759	(0)	191	30%	ν.	14080	352	174	279	D	365		R	
0-23	1/1	1	1/1/2006	12/31/2020	MERTHERY, MYRTLE	634	799	ш	1.1	301	5	9367	234	73	161	,c	473	a	R	
0-24	27/1	1	5/1/2008	9/30/2828	STERERT, PATRICIA	634	799	u	1	3D I	<u>\$</u> 2	9102	229	73	125	12	1479	0		
Della	8/1	1	2/21/2010	6/30/2020	CRENSHAN, PRCCY	634	7.99	4		301	1	22882	372	73	495	17	135	0		
D+26	11/1	2	1/23/2019	3/34/2020	HURST, NILLIAM	634	19.05	π	18.	30#	· 9°	10891	272	7.3	1.98	10	14.35	a		
D+27	8/1	11	5/3/2018	4/80/2020	FAISON, RICHARD	634	758	.(1)	11	30%	N.	12564	289	73	316	0	418	10		
D-28	10/1	1	10/0/2016	in/31/2020	STORES, ROSA	634	759	u.	11.	30%	v	8045	201	78	128	1K	306	10		
D+28	37/1	1	8/6/2012	7/34/2828	CULEMAN, BEFTY	634	7.99	u	1.4	SDW	97 -	13406	335	7.8	262	p	378	0		
D-30	8/1	1	11/13/2011	11/10/2020	BOOTH, PENCEA	624	7.99	11	3	30%	10	13422	285	73	213	17.	421	0	B	

https://usdaminc.sc.egov.usda.gov/MFHPrjWrkSht_report.asp?PWSreport=All&strEffDat... 1/22/2020


USDA MINC - Project Worksheet Report


Page 103 of 437


Padiuct Name: DEERTHID APTS Case Runbert: Stell4-61902h16e-018 Chuyeat Susy: 05 - Fiam II (FA) Encjust Note: 15 - PASE MONTHLY			EDR (DI)		Parameter agents TM ASSOCIATES (MADALEMENT, THE															
Apt. Hbx	Tp/Sz	nbr İn Unit	Enibial Date	Dapets Date	Twanei 🖘	Reals Ront		itun Bant	Tot Sub Tokio	POT OT Taquas	facant Type	Adj br Angual Incomo	GTC	(e). Ala	are	RA Duo Tht	RA Duc Berrys	Dung: Sarch		Fraci Acet
8-91	97.23	11	hki/2009	4/30/2850	BEINE, LORRAINE	\$2.1	384	ió	11	2004	W.	18081	252	123	1110	10	ABA	0	£	
D=32	8/1	1	3/10/2014	7/31/2620	JEFFETES, JR., ROFDS	635	195	10		30	7	15368	194	13	\$11	0	323	0		
ID-33	8/1	2	10/15/1018	3/31/2020	NENTON, BHITS.	628	29%	11	1.	3.0	X	181.04	453	73	391	10	339			
6-34	N/X		10/1/2006	9/30/2020	BRYDIE, SHITE	634	755	0		CTL.	ŵ.	8851	221	13	1.48	0	088		8	
E-35	5/1		a/3/2016	3/31/2020	OLIVER, BEVTY	620	799	0	÷.	301	v	8732	218	13	145	a.	889	D		
2-36	8/1	11.	8/1/2017	7/81/2020	DARBOUS, TRILA	634	199	iõ.		10	v	8862	221	175	1.68	10	386			
3-31	871	14	18,41/2007	10/31/2020	POPE. JOYCE.	634	294	0	1	3.0	v	11878	297	18	224	10	34.0			
2-38	HK/1	1	9/1/2016	8/31/2020	TACKSON, HELEN	634	7.9%	12	1	30	W.	ID89B	272	73	192	12	036	D		
2-39	NN/X		12/1/1999	11/50/2020	ANDERSON, DAVID	634	79.9	0		30%	*Ý*	12140	10X	13	231	0	0.03	6	R	
													-			-	-	-		
	TUTALSS												11518		8611	0	16055			
						PAYM	ENT	SUM	MAR	av:										
enters	Eastneint		.2.	639.11	fotal Numper of Unites		19	Inco	N Typ	e: 3	- 0	doblo	n code		A - 1	5 S	naidy	éner.	0	
	/sprchar				lansar of Non-Rey Units:		ô			10.15				· · ·	d - 1				- 3	
a Roan			16,		muuat RA Units:		n			7.0	- II				2 - 1				1.0	
	Request	Adda:			land BA Units)		18			10	- 38				E - 1				1.0	
	g/Susten				unper of Vacabt USits!		D			2					v - 1	5			1.0	
atto R					erount of Vacancy:										2 - 1	6			1.2	- 10
aynen	Due:			0.00	Explusi Cortification:		0								2 - 1	11			- 14	- 0
a che	LE Disa i		13,		Ananto owne soul		7								1 - 1				1.4	- 0
xonsa	HUD Spint			0.00	Initianizable Units:										H - 1	s)			- 7	- 11
															1	E-				
															P -	1				


https://usdaminc.sc.egov.usda.gov/MFHPrjWrkSht_report.asp?PWSreport=All&strEffDat... 1/22/2020



















i éngli tertur Kings

Prepared By: Larry B. Canterbury, Jr., President/Owner Canterbury Construction, Inc. 118 East Main Street Oak Hill, West Virginia 25901

March 5, 2018 Deerfield Apartments		UNIT INSPE	CTION	REPORT		Deerfield Apartments 1651 W. Maryland Ave.
Crewe, VA		34 - 1BR UD	8 5 U	FAS/UD UNITS		Crewe, VA 23930 434-645-1555
DESCRIPTION OF WORK	REPAIR OR NEW	AMOUNT OR NO.		UNIT COST	TOTAL COST	Chris 434-394-8231 COMMENTS
LIVING/DINING AREA:						
17 SEER Split-ERV-Ductwork	New	39	EA	\$7,650.00	\$298,350	Air Temp Heating & Cools
Carpet & Pad	New	39	UN	\$1,500.00	\$58,500	
inoleum @ Bath Plank @ Kitchen-DR-Mech	New	<u>39</u> 39	UN	<u>\$6.50</u> \$950.00	\$254 \$37.050	
ceiling Light/Fan	New	39	EA	\$175.00	\$6.825	Energy Star
eiling Light Fixture	New	39	EA	\$110.00	\$4,290	Energy Star
emo & New Framing	New	39	UN	\$1,050.00	\$40,950	Duct Bulkheads included
Prywall Repairs Iew Drywall	New	39 24960	UN	\$550.00 \$1.20	\$21.450 \$29.952	
ainting	New	39	UN	\$1,200.00	\$46,800	
Vood Base Repairs	New	39	UN	\$100.00	\$3,900	Repairs only
ITCHEN				Second and	August 1	
itchen Cabinetry countertops	New New	<u>39</u> 39	UN	\$2,600.00 \$320.00	\$101,400 \$12,480	
ull Down Work Surface	New	5	EA	\$350.00	\$1.750	-
ink Faucet	New	39	EA	\$130.00	\$5.070	
ear Drain SB Sinks	New	39	EA	\$380.00	\$14,820	Europau
lorescent Light Fixture .F.C.I.'S	New New	<u>39</u> 117	EA	\$110.00	\$4,290	Energy Star
ew GFCI's 2/unit	New	78	EA	\$45.00 \$100.00	\$5,265 \$7,800	
DA Bells/Lights	New	5	EA	\$450.00	\$2,250	
ard duct Rangehoods	New	39	EA	\$150.00	\$5.850	
.S. Rangehoods ide x Side Refrigerators	New New	<u>39</u> 39	EA	\$250.00 \$1,200.00	\$9.750 \$46,800	
E HC Dishwashers	New	39	EA	\$435.00	\$16,965	V
lishwasher Circuit	New	39	EA	\$150.00	\$5,850	
elf Cleaning Ranges	New	39	EA	\$585.00	\$22,815	
Range Splash Guards Sink Drains - Wrap	New New	39 39	EA	\$65.00 \$200.00	\$2.535 \$7.800	
Accessible Rangehood Switch	New	39	EA	\$100.00	\$3,900	
ALLWAY & STAIRS:						
AechanicalStorage Doors 4068	New	39	EA	\$425.00	\$16,575	New Doors
inen Closel Door lot Water Heater - Electric	New	<u>39</u> 39	EA	\$200.00 \$650.00	\$7.800 \$25,350	New Doors .95 Efficient w/Pan
moke/CM Detector	New	34	EA	\$110.00	\$3,740	So Enlocte We de
I.C. Smoke/CM Detector	New	5	EA	\$210.00	\$1.050	The second
ight Fixture	New	39	EA	\$115.00	\$4,485	Energy Star
fechanical Room Light Fixture ttic Access Hatches	New New	<u>39</u> 39	EA	\$105.00 \$275.00	\$4.095 \$10,725	Energy Star
ATHROOM:						
avatory Faucet	New	39	EA EA	\$90.00	\$3.510	New Deart
lathroom Door Vall Hung Lavatory	New New	39 39	EA	\$200.00 \$265.00	\$7,800 \$10,335	New Doors
av Supply & Drain Wrap	New	39	EA	\$200.00	\$7,800	
FCI	New	39	EA	\$45.00	\$1.755	
ledicine Cabinet abinet over Commode @ HC Unit	New New	35 39	EA	\$115.00 \$150.00	\$4,025 \$5,850	
led. Cabinet Light Fixture	New	39	EA	\$120.00	\$4,680	Energy Star
.C. Water Closets	New	39	EA	\$250.00	\$9.750	
xhaust Fan	New	39	EA	\$145.00	\$5,655	-
ard Duct Bathfans iverters.Controls, Shower Head	New New	39 39	EA	\$150.00 \$365.00	\$5.850 \$14,235	
lirrors	New	5	EA	\$35.00	\$175	
and Held Shower	New	5	EA	\$320.00	\$1,600	
Roll-in Showers Grab Bars	New	<u>5</u> 5	EA	\$6,500.00	\$32,500	-
Supplemental Heat	New New	39	EA	\$150.00 \$120.00	\$750 \$4,680	
oilet Accessories	New	39	EA	\$100.00	\$3,900	-
ASTER BEDROOM	New	39	EA	\$200.00	\$7,800	
ledroom Closet 3068	New	39	EA	\$200.00	\$7.800	
Smoke	New	34	EA	\$110.00	\$3.740	
I.C. Smoke	New	5	EA	\$210.00	\$1,050	E
light Fixture	New	39	EA	\$105.00	\$4,095	Energy Star

Prepared By: Larry B. Canterbury, Jr., President/Owner Canterbury Construction, Inc. 118 East Main Street Oak Hill, West Virginia 25901

Adjustable Closel Shelving	New	<u>39</u>	UN	\$200.00	\$7,800	

Prepared By: Larry B. Canterbury, Jr., President/Owner Canterbury Construction, Inc. 118 East Main Street Oak Hill, West Virginia 25901

Deerfield Apartments Crewe, VA		SITE INSPE		dani alter		
	REPAIR	AMOUNT				
DESCRIPTION OF WORK	OR NEW	OR NO.		UNIT COST	TOTAL COST	COMMENTS
Asphalt Paving						NOT IN ESTIMATE
Stripe Parking Areas	New	1	LS	\$1,800.00	\$1,800	
Trim Trees	New	1	EA	\$2,000.00	\$2,000	
Project Signage	New	1	EA	\$3,500.00	\$3,500	-
Lighting at Project Signage	New	1	LS	\$1,200.00	\$1,200	Contract Sector
Site Pole Lighting	New	17	EA	\$550.00	\$9,350	LED Fixture Only
Handicap Pking Signs/Post	New	1 17 6 3	EA	\$150.00	\$900	
Handicap Ramps	New	6	EA	\$2,250.00	\$13,500	
Mailboxes	New	3	EA	\$1,500.00	\$4,500	
Concrete Replacement Allowance	New	1000	SF	\$12.00	\$12,000	
Dumpster Pad	New	1	EA	\$2,800.00	\$2.800	-
Dumpster Screening	New	1	EA	\$2,250.00	\$2,250	
Landscaping	New	1	LS	\$17,550.00	\$17,550	
Drainage	New	1	LS	\$2,500.00	\$2,500	
Grading & Topsoil	New	1	LS	\$4,500.00	\$4,500	
Concrete Condenser Pads	New	1 20	EA	\$175.00	\$3,500	
Pre-Manufg. Maintenance Shed	New	1	LS	\$10,000.00	\$10,000	12' x 16'
Snake Main Drains	Repair	39	UN	\$100.00	\$3,900	1.4.1.4
Lower Existing Gazebo	Repair	1	LS	\$650.00	\$650	Bob's Request
Pipe Handrails						NOT IN ESTIMATE

BUILDING INSPECTION REPORT

DESCRIPTION OF WORK	REPAIR OR NEW	AMOUNT OR NO.		UNIT COST	TOTAL COST	COMMENTS
Shingles	New	339	SQ	\$265.00	\$89,835	OOMMENTO
Replace Facia	New	2600	LF	\$6.50	\$16,900	-
Replace rotten plywood	Repair	100	SH	\$45.00	\$4,500	
Gutter & Downspouts	New	1	LS	\$5,557.00	\$5,557	Quality Building Product
Hardie Siding	New	206	SQ	\$265.00	\$54,590	
Porch Posts	New	113	BD	\$47.00	\$5,311	
Insulation @ Siding	New	523	SH	\$15.37	\$8.039	-
Vinyl Windows 3'-11" x 3' - 11"	New	43	EA	\$380.00	\$16,340	
Vinyl Windows 4'-11" x 3' - 11"	New	39	EA	\$428.00	\$16,692	
Vinyl Windows 2'-11" x 3' - 11"	New	2	EA	\$338.00	\$676	
Convert Units to ADA	New	5	EA	\$4,500.00	\$22,500	
Exterior Doors	New	49	EA	\$550.00	\$26,950	
Paint Exterior Doors	New	<u>49</u> <u>44</u>	EA	\$65.00	\$2,860	
Unit Numbers	New		EA	\$30.00	\$1,170	a state of the second second
Attic Insulation and AirSeal	New	<u>39</u> 1 5 44	LS	\$36,514.00	\$36,514	Quality Building Product
EarthCraft @ Interiors	New	ī	LS	\$7,800.00	\$7,800	
Building Numbers	New	5	EA	\$175.00	\$875	
Lever Entry Locksets	New	44	EA	\$165.00	\$7.260	
TV Cable to Bedrooms	New	39	EA	\$125.00	\$4,875	
Lever Interior Door Hardware	New	234	EA	\$45.00	\$10,530	
New receptacles & switches	New	1	LS	\$23,243.00	\$23,243	
Mini Blinds	New	84	EA	\$45.00	\$3,780	
Submeter Water	New	39	EA	\$265.00	\$10,335	
Community Building Addition	New	213	SF	\$120.00	\$25,560	2
Community Room Renovation	New	1217	SF	\$75.00	\$91,275	
Additional Electrical Work in Units	New	39	UN	\$335.00	\$13,065	
Remove PTACs/Frame/Finish	New	39	EA.	\$150.00	\$5,850	

SUBTOTAL GENERAL REQUIREMENTS GENERAL OVERHEAD BUILDER'S PROFIT TOTAL HARD COSTS

\$1,665,947	
\$99,957	
\$33,319	
\$99,957	UNIT
\$1,899,180	


IT COST:

48,697

ADDENDUM E – DEMOGRAPHICS DATA



HOUSEHOLD DATA @ 2020 All rights reserved





HOUSEHOLD DATA @ 2020 All rights reserved Claritas Projected Household Age Change 2020 to 2025 Crewe, VA 30 25 20 15 10 5 0 C. 0 -5 -10 -15 25-34 7885 -20 15-24 Years as where is show is show is a show is the show is an a show is a show in the show is a show it i Source: Claritas; Ribbon Demographics


ribbon demographics

HOUSEHOLD DATA

© 2020 All rights reserved

Claritas

Med	ian Household Inco	me
	Crewe, VA	
Census 2000	2020 Estimate	2025 Projection
\$33,433	\$37,083	\$38,654



ribbon demographics



HOUSEHOLD DATA

© 2020 All rights reserved

Med	ian Household Inc Crewe, VA	ome by Area	
Geography ID	Census 2000	2020 Estimate	2025 Projection
5120160	\$33,433	\$37,083	\$38,654

Claritas


ribbon demographics

2/23/2020




				Crewe,	VA					
		_	Ce	nsus Date	a - 2000		_			
Income	Age 15 - 24 Years	Age 25 - 34 Years	Age 35 - 44 Years	Age 45 - 54 Years	Age 55 - 64 Years	Age 65 - 74 Years	Age 75 - 84 Years	Age 85+ Years	Total	Percer
Less than \$15,000	19	32	40	39	8	47	42	13	240	24.6%
\$15,000 - \$24,999	14	12	27	8	13	12	38	11	135	13.8%
\$25,000 - \$34,999	7	28	33	17	13	15	17	4	134	13.7%
\$35,000 - \$49,999	11	20	52	40	24	35	17	4	203	20.8%
\$50,000 - \$74,999	0	29	42	28	34	12	8	1	154	15.89
\$75,000 - \$99,999	0	0	15	20	13	9	2	0	59	6.0%
\$100,000 - \$124,999	0	0	13	16	3	0	0	0	32	3.3%
\$125,000 - \$149,999	0	2	0	0	3	0	0	0	5	0.5%
\$150,000 - \$199,999	0	0	0	4	4	5	0	0	13	1.3%
\$200,000 and up	<u>0</u>	1	<u>o</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>o</u>	1	0.1%
Total	51	124	222	172	115	135	124	33	976	100.0
Percent	5.2%	12.7%	22.7%	17.6%	11.8%	13.8%	12.7%	3.4%	100.0%	







HOUSEHOLD DATA © 2020 All rights reserved






				Crewe,	VA					
		-	Current	Year Esti	mates - 2	020	_			
Income	Age 15 - 24 Years	Age 25 - 34 Years	Age 35 - 44 Years	Age 45 - 54 Years	Age 55 - 64 Years	Age 65 - 74 Years	Age 75 - 84 Years	Age 85+ Years	Total	Percer
Less than \$15,000	30	11	11	26	43	20	17	10	168	19.5%
\$15,000 - \$24,999	0	31	39	11	18	25	19	13	156	18.1%
\$25,000 - \$34,999	2	17	17	15	15	10	10	4	90	10.4%
\$35,000 - \$49,999	0	2	3	37	49	15	15	5	126	14.6%
\$50,000 - \$74,999	0	26	26	14	15	21	12	6	120	13.9%
\$75,000 - \$99,999	0	9	10	19	18	8	4	1	69	8.0%
\$100,000 - \$124,999	0	8	13	17	14	13	5	0	70	8.1%
\$125,000 - \$149,999	0	11	18	2	0	5	0	0	36	4.2%
\$150,000 - \$199,999	0	1	1	5	3	3	1	0	14	1.6%
\$200,000 and up	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>o</u>	2	<u>6</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>14</u>	1.6%
Total	32	116	138	148	181	126	83	39	863	100.09
Percent	3.7%	13.4%	16.0%	17.1%	21.0%	14.6%	9.6%	4.5%	100.0%	




© 2020 All rights reserved





HOUSEHOLD DATA © 2020 All rights reserved






				Crewe,	VA					
		i.	Estimatea	Change	- 2000 to	2020				
Income	Age 15 - 24 Years	Age 25 - 34 Years	Age 35 - 44 Years	Age 45 - 54 Years	Age 55 - 64 Years	Age 65 - 74 Years	Age 75 - 84 Years	Age 85+ Years	Total	Percen Chang
Less than \$15,000	11	-21	-29	-13	35	-27	-25	-3	-72	-30.0%
\$15,000 - \$24,999	-14	19	12	3	5	13	-19	2	21	15.6%
\$25,000 - \$34,999	-5	-11	-16	-2	2	-5	-7	0	-44	-32.8%
\$35,000 - \$49,999	-11	-18	-49	-3	25	-20	-2	1	-77	-37.9%
\$50,000 - \$74,999	0	-3	-16	-14	-19	9	4	5	-34	-22.1%
\$75,000 - \$99,999	0	9	-5	-1	5	-1	2	1	10	16.9%
\$100,000 - \$124,999	0	8	0	1	11	13	5	0	38	118.8%
\$125,000 - \$149,999	0	9	18	2	-3	5	0	0	31	620.0%
\$150,000 - \$199,999	0	1	1	1	-1	-2	1	0	1	7.7%
\$200,000 and up	<u>0</u>	-1	<u>0</u>	2	<u>6</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>o</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>13</u>	1300.09
Total	-19	-8	-84	-24	66	-9	-41	6	-113	-11.6%
Percent Change	-37.3%	-6.5%	-37.8%	-14.0%	57.4%	-6.7%	-33.1%	18.2%	-11.6%	




Claritas





HOUSEHOLD DATA © 2020 All rights reserved






				Crewe,	VA					
		_	Five Ye	ar Projec	tions - 20	25				
Income	Age 15 - 24 Years	Age 25 - 34 Years	Age 35 - 44 Years	Age 45 - 54 Years	Age 55 - 64 Years	Age 65 - 74 Years	Age 75 - 84 Years	Age 85+ Years	Total	Percer
Less than \$15,000	30	10	12	22	38	23	15	9	159	18.3%
\$15,000 - \$24,999	0	27	35	9	14	30	21	12	148	17.19
\$25,000 - \$34,999	3	17	20	16	15	13	10	4	98	11.3%
\$35,000 - \$49,999	0	3	4	33	46	17	11	3	117	13.5%
\$50,000 - \$74,999	0	24	28	15	14	28	13	6	128	14.8%
\$75,000 - \$99,999	0	7	10	19	19	9	6	1	71	8.2%
\$100,000 - \$124,999	0	6	12	16	12	14	5	1	66	7.6%
\$125,000 - \$149,999	0	12	21	1	0	7	2	0	43	5.0%
\$150,000 - \$199,999	0	2	1	8	3	5	1	2	22	2.5%
\$200,000 and up	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	2	2	<u>3</u>	8	<u>0</u>	<u>o</u>	15	1.7%
Total	33	108	145	141	164	154	84	38	867	100.09
Percent	3.8%	12.5%	16.7%	16.3%	18.9%	17.8%	9.7%	4.4%	100.0%	




© 2020 All rights reserved





HOUSEHOLD DATA © 2020 All rights reserved





			Househo	Crewe,						
			Projectea	Change	- 2020 to	2025				
Income	Age 15 - 24 Years	Age 25 - 34 Years	Age 35 - 44 Years	Age 45 - 54 Years	Age 55 - 64 Years	Age 65 - 74 Years	Age 75 - 84 Years	Age 85+ Years	Total	Percen Change
Less than \$15,000	0	-1	1	-4	-5	3	-2	-1	-9	-5.4%
\$15,000 - \$24,999	0	-4	-4	-2	-4	5	2	-1	-8	-5.1%
\$25,000 - \$34,999	1	0	3	1	0	3	0	0	8	8.9%
\$35,000 - \$49,999	0	1	1	-4	-3	2	-4	-2	-9	-7.1%
\$50,000 - \$74,999	0	-2	2	1	-1	7	1	0	8	6.7%
\$75,000 - \$99,999	0	-2	0	0	1	1	2	0	2	2.9%
\$100,000 - \$124,999	0	-2	-1	-1	-2	1	0	1	-4	-5.7%
\$125,000 - \$149,999	0	1	3	-1	0	2	2	0	7	19.4%
\$150,000 - \$199,999	0	1	0	3	0	2	0	2	8	57.1%
\$200,000 and up	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	2	<u>0</u>	-3	2	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	1	7.1%
Total	1	-8	7	-7	-17	28	1	-1	4	0.5%
Percent Change	3.1%	-6.9%	5.1%	-4.7%	-9.4%	22.2%	1.2%	-2.6%	0.5%	



2020 All rights reserve		Data		Crewe, VA		ared by Clan
		Renter	Househol	ds		and a second
	Re	Age 15 se Year: 201	to 54 Year			
-	1-Person	2-Person	3-Person	4-Person	5-Person	
		Household				
\$0-10,000 \$10,000-20,000	4	1	4	1 9	9	19 32
\$20,000-30,000	0	4	12	7	0	23
\$30,000-40,000	9	0	0	0	7	16
\$40,000-50,000	3	0	0	0	0	3
\$50,000-60,000	0	3 4	03	3	1	7
\$60,000-75,000 \$75,000-100,000	0	4	0	0	0	0
\$100,000-125,000	0	0	0	0	1	1
\$125,000-150,000	0	0	0	0	0	0
\$150,000-200,000	2	0	0	0	0	2
\$200,000+	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	2	0	<u>0</u>	2
Total	18	25	25	20	24	112
		Renter	Househol	ds		
	D		55+ Years	e		
	Ba 1-Person	se Year: 201 2-Person	3-Person	stimates 4-Person	5Person	-
T.	fousehold	Household	Household	Household	Household	
\$0-10,000	15	1	0	0	0	16
\$10,000-20,000 \$20,000-30,000	25 13	1 5	0	0 4	0	26 22
\$30,000-40,000	0	0	0	4	0	6
\$40,000-50,000	11	1	0	0	0	12
\$50,000-60,000	0	0	0	0	0	0
\$60,000-75,000	0	2	7	0	0	9
\$75,000-100,000	4	1	1	0	0 3	6
\$100,000-125,000 \$125,000-150,000	0	1	0	0	1	2
\$150,000-200,000	0	0	0	0	0	0
\$200,000+	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	68	13	8	10	4	103
		Denter	University	de.		
			Househol 62+ Years			
	Ba	se Year: 201				
	1-Person	2-Person	3-Person	4-Person	5 -Person	
		Household				
\$0-10,000 \$10,000-20,000	10 25	1	0	0	0	11 25
\$20,000-30,000	13	5	0	0	0	18
\$30,000-40,000	0	0	0	6	0	6
\$40,000-50,000	6	1	0	0	0	7
\$50,000-60,000	0	0	0	0	0	0
\$60,000-75,000 \$75,000-100,000	0	0	0	0	0	0
\$100,000-125,000	0	î.	0	0	0	1
\$125,000-150,000	0	1	0	0	1	2
\$150,000-200,000	0	0	0	0	0	0
\$200,000+	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	0	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
Total	54	10	0	6	1	71
			Househol			
	p.	All A se Year: 201	ge Groups			
	1-Person	2-Person	14	4-Person	5Person	
		Household	Household			
\$0-10,000	19	2	4	1	9	35
\$10,000-20,000	25	14	4	9	6	58
\$20,000-30,000 \$30,000-40,000	13 9	9	12 0	11 6	0 7	45 22
\$40,000-50,000	14	1	0	0	0	15
\$50,000-60,000	0	3	0	3	1	7
\$60,000-75,000	0	6	10	0	0	16
	4	1	1	0	0	6 5
\$75,000-100,000				0	4	3
\$100,000-125,000	0					
\$100,000-125,000 \$125,000-150,000	Ø	1	0	0	1	2
\$100,000-125,000			0			



	mmary	Photo Provension		Crewe, VA		
2020 All rights reser	ved		ter House	and a second		red by Clant
	F		to 54 Year			
	Ba		1 - 2015 Es			
	1-Person	2-Person	3-Person	4-Person	5 -Person	
			Household			Total
\$0-10,000 \$10,000-20,000	3.6% 0.0%	0.9% 11.6%	3.6% 3.6%	0.9%	8.0% 5.4%	17.0% 28.6%
\$20,000-30,000	0.0%	3.6%	10.7%	6.3%	0.0%	20.5%
\$30,000-40,000	8.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	6.3%	14.3%
\$40,000-50,000	2.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.7%
\$50,000-60,000	0.0%	2.7% 3.6%	0.0%	2.7%	0.9%	6.3% 6.3%
\$60,000-75,000 \$75,000-100,000	0.0%	0.0%	2.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
\$100,000-125,000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.9%	0.9%
\$125,000-150,000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
\$150,000-200,000 \$200,000+	1.8% 0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.8%
Total	16.1%	22.3%	22.3%	17.9%	21.4%	100.0%
	P.	arcont Ror	ter House	abolds		
	-		55+ Years			
	p-	0	1 - 2015 Es	timates		
	1-Person	2-Person	3-Person	d-Person	5 -Person	
			Household			Total
\$0-10,000	14.6%	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	15.5%
\$10,000-20,000	24,3%	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	25.2%
\$20,000-30,000	12.6%	4.9%	0.0%	3.9%	0.0%	21.4%
\$30,000-40,000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5.8%	0.0%	5.8% 11.7%
\$40,000-50,000 \$50,000-60,000	10.7% 0.0%	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
\$60,000-75,000	0.0%	1.9%	6.8%	0.0%	0.0%	8.7%
\$75,000-100,000	3.9%	1.0%	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5.8%
\$100,000-125,000	0.0%	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.9%	3.9% 1.9%
\$125,000-150,000 \$150,000-200,000	0.0%	1.0%	0.0%	0.0% 0.0%	1.0%	0.0%
\$200,000+	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total	66.0%	12.6%	7.8%	9.7%	3.9%	100.0%
	_		_			
	Pe	ercent Rer	nter House	eholds		
		Aged	62+ Years			
		and the second se	1 - 2015 Es	A COLUMN AND A COLUMN		_
	1-Person	2-Person	3-Person	4-Person	5 -Person	Treat
\$0-10,000	14.1%	1.4%	Household 0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	Total 15.5%
\$10,000-20,000	35.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	35.2%
\$20,000-30,000	18,3%	7.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	25.4%
\$30,000-40,000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	8.5%	0.0%	8.5%
\$40,000-50,000 \$50,000-60,000	8.5%	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	9.9% 0.0%
\$60,000-75,000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
\$75,000-100,000	0.0%	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.4%
\$100,000-125,000	0.0%	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.4%
\$125,000-150,000 \$150,000-200,000	0.0%	1.4%	0.0%	0.0% 0.0%	1.4% 0.0%	2.8%
11.50,000-200,000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
\$200,000+	76.1%	14.1%	0.0%	8.5%	1.4%	100.0%
\$200,000+ Total	(and) a					-
			iter House	eholds		
	P	All A	ge Groups			
	Po Ba	All A se Year: 201	ge Groups 1 - 2015 Es	timates	5 Damas	
Total	Pe Ba L-Person	All A se Year: 201 2-Person	ge Groups 1 - 2015 Es 3-Person	timates 4-Person	5 -Person Household	Tutal
Total	Pe Ba L-Person	All A se Year: 201 2-Person	ge Groups 1 - 2015 Es	timates 4-Person		Total 16.3%
Total \$0-10,000 \$10,000-20,000	Pe Ba I-Person Honsehold 8.8% 11.6%	All A se Year: 201 2-Person Household 0.9% 6.5%	ge Groups 11 - 2015 Es 3-Person Household 1.9% 1.9%	timates 4-Person Household 0.5% 4.2%	Household 4.2% 2.8%	16.3% 27.0%
Total \$0-10,000 \$10,000-20,000 \$20,000-30,000	Pe Ba L-Person Honsehold 8.8% 11.6% 6.0%	All A se Year: 201 2-Person 1 lousehold 0.9% 6.5% 4.2%	ge Groups 1 - 2015 Es 3-Person Household 1.9% 1.9% 5.6%	timates 4-Person Household 0.5% 4.2% 5.1%	Household 4,2% 2,8% 0.0%	16.3% 27.0% 20.9%
Total \$0-10,000 \$10,000-20,000 \$20,000-30,000 \$30,000-40,000	Pe Ba I-Person Honsehold 8.8% 11.6% 6.0% 4.2%	All A se Year: 201 2-Person Household 0.9% 6.5% 4.2% 0.0%	ge Groups 11 - 2015 Es 3-Person Household 1.9% 1.9% 5.6% 0.0%	timates 4-Person Household 0.5% 4.2% 5.1% 2.8%	Flousehold 4,2% 2,8% 0,0% 3,3%	16.3% 27.0% 20.9% 10.2%
Total 50-10,000 \$10,000-20,000 \$20,000-30,000 \$30,000-40,000 \$30,000-50,000	Pa Ba I-Person Honsehold 8.8% 11.6% 6.0% 4.2% 6.5%	All A se Year: 201 2-Person 1 lousehold 0.9% 6.5% 4.2%	ge Groups 1 - 2015 Es 3-Person 1 Iousehold 1.9% 5.6% 0.0% 0.0%	timates 4-Person Household 0.5% 4.2% 5.1% 2.8% 0.0%	Household 4.2% 2.8% 0.0% 3.3% 0.0%	16.3% 27.0% 20.9% 10.2% 7.0%
Total \$0-10,000 \$10,000-20,000 \$20,000-30,000 \$30,000-40,000 \$50,000-50,000 \$50,000-75,000	Pa Ba L-Person Household 8.8% 11.6% 6.0% 4.2% 6.5% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0%	All A se Year: 201 2-Person Household 0.9% 6.5% 4.2% 0.0% 0.5% 1.4% 2.8%	ge Groups 1 - 2015 Es 3-Person Household 1.9% 1.9% 5.6% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 4.7%	timates 4-Person Household 0.5% 4.2% 5.1% 2.8% 0.0% 1.4% 0.0%	Flousehold 4,2% 2,8% 0,0% 3,3% 0,0% 0,5% 0,0%	16.3% 27.0% 20.9% 10.2% 7.0% 3.3% 7.4%
50-10,000 \$10,000-20,000 \$20,000-30,000 \$30,000-40,000 \$50,000-60,000 \$50,000-60,000 \$50,000-75,000	Pi Ba I-Person Imschold 8.8% 11.6% 6.0% 4.2% 6.5% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 1.9%	All A se Year: 201 2-Person 1 lousehold 0.9% 6.5% 4.2% 0.0% 0.5% 1.4% 2.8% 0.5%	ge Groups 3-Person 1 lousehold 1.9% 5.6% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 4.7% 0.5%	timates 4-Person Household 0.5% 4.2% 5.1% 2.8% 0.0% 1.4% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0%	Household 4.2% 2.8% 0.0% 3.3% 0.0% 0.5% 0.0% 0.0%	16.3% 27.0% 20.9% 10.2% 7.0% 3.3% 7.4% 2.8%
50-10.000 \$10,000-20.000 \$20,000-30.000 \$30,000-40.000 \$40,000-50.000 \$50,000-60.000 \$50,000-60.000 \$50,000-100.000	Pa Ba L-Person Hortschold 8.8% 11.6% 6.0% 6.5% 0.0% 6.5% 0.0% 0.0%	All A se Year: 201 2-Person 1 lousehold 0.9% 6.5% 4.2% 0.0% 0.5% 1.4% 2.8% 0.5% 0.5%	ge Groups 3-Person 1 lousehold 1.9% 5.6% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 4.7% 0.5% 0.0%	timates 4-Person Household 0.5% 4.2% 5.1% 2.8% 0.0% 1.4% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0%	Household 4,2% 2,8% 0,0% 3,3% 0,0% 0,5% 0,0% 0,0% 0,0% 1,9%	16.3% 27.0% 20.9% 10.2% 7.0% 3.3% 7.4% 2.8% 2.3%
50-10,000 \$10,000-20,000 \$20,000-30,000 \$30,000-40,000 \$40,000-50,000 \$50,000-60,000 \$50,000-15,000 \$100,000-125,000	Pi Ba I-Person Imschold 8.8% 11.6% 6.0% 4.2% 6.5% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 1.9%	All A se Year: 201 2-Person 1 lousehold 0.9% 6.5% 4.2% 0.0% 0.5% 1.4% 2.8% 0.5%	ge Groups 3-Person 1 lousehold 1.9% 5.6% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 4.7% 0.5%	timates 4-Person Household 0.5% 4.2% 5.1% 2.8% 0.0% 1.4% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0%	Household 4.2% 2.8% 0.0% 3.3% 0.0% 0.5% 0.0% 0.0%	16.3% 27.0% 20.9% 10.2% 7.0% 3.3% 7.4% 2.8%
50-10,000 \$10,000-20,000 \$20,000-30,000 \$30,000-40,000 \$50,000-60,000 \$50,000-60,000 \$50,000-75,000	Pa Ba L-Person Household 8.8% 11.6% 6.0% 4.2% 6.0% 4.2% 6.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0%	All A se Year: 201 2-Person Household 0.9% 6.5% 4.2% 0.0% 0.5% 1.4% 2.8% 0.5% 0.5% 0.5% 0.5%	ge Groups 1 - 2015 Es 3-Person Household 1.9% 5.6% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 4.7% 0.5% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0%	timates 4-Person Household 0.5% 4.2% 5.1% 2.8% 0.0% 1.4% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0%	Household 4,2% 2,8% 0,0% 3,3% 0,0% 0,5% 0,0% 0,0% 0,0% 1,9% 0,5%	16.3% 27.0% 20.9% 10.2% 7.0% 3.3% 7.4% 2.8% 2.3% 0.9%



HISTA 2.2 Su		Data	0	Crewe, V		and in the second
2020 All rights reserv	red	Owner	Househo	lds	Power	red by Clart
			to 54 Year			
	Ba	se Year: 201	1 - 2015 E	stimates		
	1-Person	2-Person	3-Person	4-Person	5Person	
					Household	Total 15
\$0-10,000 \$10,000-20,000	1	0	8	6	0	11
\$20,000-30,000	3	13	0	3	0	19
\$30,000-40,000	7	7	2	0	0	16
\$40,000-50,000 \$50,000-60,000	8	0	13	0	0	21 28
\$60,000-75,000	0	0	20	9	9	38
\$75,000-100,000	0	23	5	6	4	38
\$100,000-125,000 \$125,000-150,000	0	15 2	0	1	12 0	28 9
\$150,000-200,000	2	4	4	0	0	10
\$200,000+	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
Total	37	71	61	33	31	233
						-
			Househo			
	Ba	Aged se Year: 201	55+ Years			
	1-Person	2-Person	3-Person	1-Person	5 -Person	
50-10.000	Touschold 12	Household	Household 0	Household 0	Household	Lotal 15
\$10,000-20,000	12	13	0	0	1	26
\$20,000-30,000	14	20	0	0	0	34
\$30,000-40,000 \$40,000-50,000	18 8	19 5	4	3	2 2	46
\$50,000-50,000	8	20	1 2	2	2	16 26
\$60,000-75,000	8	12	õ	6	0	26
\$75,000-100,000	8	7	5	0	0	20
\$100,000-125,000	1	14 5	1	10	0	26
\$125,000-150,000 \$150,000-200,000	0	2	1	0	0	5
\$200,000+	1	0	0	0	<u>0</u>	1
Total	84	120	14	21	5	244
		0				
			Househo 62+ Years			
	Ba	Agea se Year: 201				
	I-Person	2-Person	3-Person	4-Person	5-Person	
1 \$0-10,000	lousehold 11	Household	Uousehold 0	Liousehold	Household	Total 14
\$10,000-20,000	6	9	0	0	0	14
\$20,000-30,000	11	15	0	0	0	26
\$30,000-40,000	14	17	0	0	0	31
\$40,000-50,000 \$50,000-60,000	8 2	5	0	0 2	0	13 16
\$60,000-75,000	4	12	0	6	0	22
\$75,000-100,000	7	5	3	0	0	15
\$100,000-125,000 \$125,000-150,000	1	5	0	10	0	16 3
\$125,000-150,000 \$150,000-200,000	0	3	0	0	0	3
\$200,000+	0	Q	<u>0</u>	0	0	<u>0</u>
Total	64	87	3	18	0	172
		Owner	Househo	lds		_
			ge Groups			
	Ba	se Year: 201		stimates		
	1 Person	2-Person	3-Person	4-Person	5+-Person	1
\$0-10,000	tousehold 13	Household 3	Household 8	Household 6	Household 0	Total 30
	19	13	0	4	1	37
\$10,000-20,000	17	33	0	3	0	53
\$10,000-20,000 \$20,000-30,000		26	6 7	3	2 2	62 37
\$10,000-20,000 \$20,000-30,000 \$30,000-40,000	25		1		6	54
\$10,000-20,000 \$20,000-30,000 \$30,000-40,000 \$40,000-50,000	25 16 11	12 20	15	2		
\$10,000-20,000 \$20,000-30,000 \$30,000-40,000 \$40,000-50,000 \$50,000-60,000 \$60,000-75,000	16 11 8	20 12	15 20	2 15	9	64
\$10,000-20,000 \$20,000-30,000 \$30,000-40,000 \$40,000-50,000 \$50,000-60,000 \$60,000-75,000 \$75,000-100,000	16 11 8 8	20 12 30	20 10	15 6	9 4	64 58
\$10,000-20,000 \$20,000-30,000 \$30,000-40,000 \$40,000-50,000 \$50,000-60,000 \$60,000-75,000 \$75,000-100,000 \$100,000-125,000	16 11 8 8 1	20 12 30 29	20 10 1	15 6 11	9 4 12	64 58 54
\$10,000-20,000 \$20,000-30,000 \$30,000-40,000 \$40,000-50,000 \$50,000-60,000 \$60,000-75,000 \$75,000-100,000	16 11 8 8	20 12 30	20 10	15 6	9 4	64 58
\$10,000-20,000 \$20,000-30,000 \$30,000-40,000 \$40,000-50,000 \$50,000-60,000 \$60,000-75,000 \$75,000-100,000 \$100,000-125,000 \$125,000-150,000	16 11 8 8 1 0	20 12 30 29 7	20 10 1 3	15 6 11 4	9 4 12 0	64 58 54 14



HISTA 2.2 Su	mmary	Data		Crewe, VA	A.	
2020 All rights reset					Payler	ed by Crants
	Pe	ercent Ow				
	D	0	to 54 Year			
	112	se Year: 201				_
	1-Person	2-Person	3-Person	4-Person	5 Person	
\$0-10,000	Household 0.4%	D.0%	Household 3.4%	Household 2.6%	0.0%	Total 6.4%
\$10,000-20,000	3.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.7%	0.0%	4.7%
\$20,000-30,000	1.3%	5.6%	0.0%	1.3%	0.0%	8.2%
\$30,000-40,000	3.0%	3.0%	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	6.9%
\$40,000-50,000	3.4%	3.0%	2.6%	0.0%	0.0%	9.0%
\$50,000-60,000	3.9%	0.0%	5.6%	0.0%	2.6%	12.0%
\$60,000-75,000	0.0%	0.0%	8.6%	3.9%	3.9%	16.3%
\$75,000-100,000	0.0%	9.9%	2.1%	2.6%	1.7%	16.3%
\$100,000-125,000	0.0%	6.4%	0.0%	0.4%	5.2%	12.0%
\$125,000-150,000	0.0%	0.9%	1.3%	1.7%	0.0%	3.9%
\$150,000-200,000 \$200,000+	0.9%	1.7%	1.7%	0.0% 0.0%	0.0% 0.0%	4.3%
		1.	0.0%			_
Total	15.9%	30.5%	26.2%	14.2%	13.3%	100.0%
_	Pe	ercent Ow	ner Hous	eholds		-
			55+ Years			
	Ba	se Year: 201		stimates		
	1-Person	2-Person	3-Person	1-Person	5 -Person	_
				Household		Lotal
\$0-10,000	4.9%	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	6.1%
\$10,000-20,000	4.9%	5.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%	10.7%
\$20,000-30,000	5.7%	8.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	13.9%
\$30,000-40,000	7.4%	7.8%	1.6%	1.2%	0.8%	18.9%
\$40,000-50,000	3.3%	2.0%	0.4%	0.0%	0.8%	6.6%
\$50,000-60,000	0.8%	8.2%	0.8%	0.8%	0.0%	10.7%
\$60,000-75,000	3.3%	4.9%	0.0%	2.5%	0.0%	10.7%
\$75,000-100,000	3.3%	2.9%	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%	8.2%
\$100,000-125,000	0.4%	5.7%	0.4%	4.1%	0.0%	10.7%
\$125,000-150,000	0.0%	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.0%
\$150,000-200,000 \$200,000+	0.0%	0.8%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	1.2%
	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%
Total	34.4%	49.2%	5.7%	8.6%	2.0%	100.0%
	P	ercent Ow	ner Hous	eholds		
			62+ Years			
	Ba	se Year: 201		stimates		
	I-Ferson	2-Person	3-Person	4-Person	5+-Person	
	Household		Household			Total
\$0-10,000	6.4% 3.5%	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	8.1% 8.7%
\$10,000-20,000 \$20,000-30,000	5.5%	5.2% 8.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	8.7%
\$30,000-40,000	8.1%	9.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	18.0%
\$40,000-50,000	4.7%	2.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	7.6%
\$50,000-60,000	1.2%	7.0%	0.0%	1.2%	0.0%	9.3%
\$60,000-75,000	2.3%	7.0%	0.0%	3.5%	0.0%	12.8%
\$75,000-100,000	4.1%	2.9%	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%	8.7%
\$100,000-125,000	0.6%	2.9%	0.0%	5.8%	0.0%	9.3%
\$125,000-150,000	0.0%	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.7%
\$150,000-200,000	0.0°a	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%
\$200,000+	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

	P	ercent Ow	ner House	eholds		
		All A	ge Groups			
	Ba	se Year: 201	1 - 2015 Es	timates		
	1 Person	2-Person	3-Person	4-Person	5 Person	-
	Household	Household	Household	Household	Household	Total
\$0-10,000	2.7%	0.6%	1.7%	1.3%	0.0%	6.3%
\$10,000-20,000	4.0%	2.7%	0.0%	0.8%	0.2%	7.8%
\$20,000-30,000	3.6%	6.9%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%	11.1%
\$30,000-40,000	5.2%	5.5%	1.3%	0.6%	0.4%	13.0%
\$40,000-50,000	3.4%	2.5%	1.5%	0.0%	0.4%	7.8%
\$50,000-60,000	2.3%	4.2%	3.1%	0.4%	1.3%	11.3%
\$60,000-75,000	1.7%	2.5%	4.2%	3.1%	1.9%	13.4%
\$75,000-100,000	1.7%	6.3%	2.1%	1.3%	0.8%	12.2%
\$100,000-125,000	0.2%	6.1%	0.2%	2.3%	2.5%	11.3%
\$125,000-150,000	0.0%	1.5%	0.6%	0.8%	0.0%	2.9%
\$150,000-200,000	0.4%	1.3%	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.7%
\$200,000+	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%
Total	25.4%	40.0%	15.7%	11.3%	7.5%	100.0%



2020 All rights reserv		Renter	Househol	ds	- Svite	ed by Clar
			to 54 Year			
			20 Estimate			
	1-Person	2-Person	3-Person	+-Person	5-Person	-
\$0-10,000	iousehold	Flousehold 2	Household 10	Household	Household 14	Total 31
\$10,000-20,000	7	25	8	19	18	77
\$20,000-30,000	0	7	19	16	0	42
\$30,000-40,000	11	I	0	0	12	24
\$40,000-50,000	3	0 2	0	0	4	7
\$50,000-60,000 \$60,000-75,000	0	3	2	0	0	5
\$75,000-100,000	0	0	0	0	0	0
\$100,000-125,000	0	0	0	0	0	0
\$125,000-150,000	0	0	0	0	0	0
\$150,000-200,000	0	0	0	0	2	2
\$200,000+	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
Total	26	40	39	38	50	193
		Renter	Househol	ds		
		Aged	55+ Years			
			20 Estimate	s		
	1-Person		3-Person	4-Person	5 Person	_
					Household	fotal
\$0-10,000	26	3	0	0	0	29
\$10,000-20,000	34	2	0	0	0	36
\$20,000-30,000	17	3	0	2	0	22
\$30,000-40,000	0 20	0 2	0	1	0 2	1 24
\$40,000-50,000 \$50,000-60,000	0	2	0	0	0	0
\$60,000-75,000	1	1	5	0	0	7
\$75,000-100,000	4	0	2	0	0	6
\$100,000-125,000	0	0	0	0	3	3
\$125,000-150,000	0	1	1	0	0	2
\$150,000-200,000	1	1	0	0	1	3
\$200,000+	1	0	0	0	0	1
Total	104	13	8	3	6	134
		Pontor	Househol	de		
			62+ Years 20 Estimate	20		
-	1-Petsou	10000	3-Person		5 -Person	_
				Household		Total
\$0-10,000	7	1	0	0	0	8
\$10,000-20,000	34	0	0	0	0	34
\$20,000-30,000	17	3	0	0	0	20
\$30,000-40,000	0	0	0	1	0	1
\$40,000-50,000	6	2	0	0	0	8
\$50,000-60,000	0	0	0	0	0	0
\$60,000-75,000 \$75,000-100,000	0	0	0	0	0	0
\$100,000-125,000	0	0	0	0	0	0
\$125,000-150,000	0	1	1	0	0	2
\$150,000-200,000	1	0	0	0	1	2
\$200,000+	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
Total	65	7	1	1	1	75
		Renter	Househol	ds		
			ge Groups			
			20 Estimate	25		
	1-Person	2-Person	3-Person	4-Person	5 -Person	
				Household		Total
\$0-10,000	31	5	10	0	14	60
\$10,000-20,000	41	27	8	19	18	113
\$20,000-30,000	17	10	19	18	0	64
	11	1	0	1	12	25
\$30,000-40,000	23	2	0	0	6	31
\$40,000-50,000	0	2 4	0 7	3	0 0	5
\$40,000-50,000 \$50,000-60,000	1				0	6
\$40,000-50,000 \$50,000-60,000 \$60,000-75,000	1 4		2			
\$40,000-50,000 \$50,000-60,000 \$60,000-75,000 \$75,000-100,000	1 4 0	0	2	0		
\$40,000-50,000 \$50,000-60,000 \$60,000-75,000	4		2 0 1	0	3	32
\$40,000-50,000 \$50,000-60,000 \$60,000-75,000 \$75,000-100,000 \$100,000-125,000 \$125,000-150,000 \$150,000-200,000	4 0 0 1	0 0	0	0	3	3
\$40,000-50,000 \$50,000-60,000 \$60,000-75,000 \$75,000-100,000 \$100,000-125,000 \$125,000-150,000	4 0 0	0 0 1	0	0	3 0	3 2



Section and the	No de la compañía de					ed by Charles
	Pe	ercent Ren				
		U.	to 54 Year			
		and the second second	0 Estimate	10		_
	1-Person	2-Person	3-Person	4-Person	5 -Person	
20.10.000				Household		Total
\$0-10,000 \$10,000-20,000	2.6% 3.6%	1.0%	5.2%	0.0%	7.3%	16.1%
\$20,000-30,000		3.6%	9.8%	8.3%	0.0%	21.8%
\$30,000-40,000	5.7%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	6.2%	12.4%
\$40,000-50,000		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.1%	3.6%
\$50,000-60,000		1.0%	0.0%	1.6%	0.0%	2.6%
\$60,000-75,000		1.6%	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.6%
\$75,000-100,000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
\$100,000-125,000		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
\$125,000-150,000		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
\$150,000-200,000		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.0%	1.0%
\$200,000+	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total	13.5%	20.7%	20.2%	19.7%	25.9%	100.0%
				1.12		
	Pe	ercent Ren	ter House 55+ Years	cholds		
			0 Estimate	\$		
-	1-Person	2-Person	3-Person	4-Person	5 Person	
				Household		Total
\$0-10,000	19.4%	2.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	21.6%
\$10,000-20,000	25.4%	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	26.9%
\$20,000-30,000	12.7%	2.2%	0.0%	1.5%	0.0%	16.4%
\$30,000-40,000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.7%	0.0%	0.7%
\$40,000-50,000	14.9%	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%	1.5%	17.9%
\$50,000-60,000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
\$60,000-75,000	0.7%	0.7%	3.7%	0.0%	0.0%	5.2%
\$75,000-100,000	3.0%	0.0%	1.5%	0.0%	0.0%	4.5%
\$100,000-125,000		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.2%	2.2%
\$125,000-150,000		0.7%	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	1.5%
\$150,000-200,000		0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.7%	2.2%
\$200,000+	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.7%
Total	77.6%	9.7%	6.0%	2.2%	4.5%	100.0%
	p.	ercent Ren	ten Henre			
	T.		62+ Years	anorus		
		. 0	0 Estimate	s		
-	1-Petson	2-Person	3-Person		5 -Person	_
				Household		Total
\$0-10,000	9,3%	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	10.7%
\$10,000-20,000		0.0% 4.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	45.3%
\$20,000-30,000 \$30,000-40,000	22.7% 0.0%	4.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	26.7%
\$40,000-50,000	8.0%	2.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	10.7%
\$50,000-60,000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
\$60,000-75,000		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
\$75,000-100,000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
\$100,000-125,000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
\$125,000-150,000		1.3%	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%	2.7%
\$150,000-200,000	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.3%	2.7%
\$200,000+	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
			1.3%	1.3%		

All Age Groups Year 2020 Estimates 3-Person 4-Perso Household Househo 2-Person Househol 1-Pers House \$0-10,000 \$10,000-20,000 \$20,000-30,000 \$40,000-50,000 \$60,000-75,000 \$75,000-100,000 \$100,000-125,000 \$125,000-150,000 \$125,000-150,000 \$200,000+ 9.5% 12.5% 5.2% 3.4% 7.0% 0.0% 0.3% 1.2% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.3% 0.3% $\begin{array}{c} 1.5\% \\ 8.3\% \\ 3.1\% \\ 0.3\% \\ 0.6\% \\ 0.6\% \\ 1.2\% \\ 0.0\% \\ 0.0\% \\ 0.3\% \\ 0.3\% \\ 0.3\% \\ 0.0\% \\ 0.0\% \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} 3.1\% \\ 2.4\% \\ 5.8\% \\ 0.0\% \\ 0.0\% \\ 0.0\% \\ 0.1\% \\ 0.1\% \\ 0.6\% \\ 0.3\% \\ 0.0\% \\ 0.0\% \\ 0.0\% \\ 0.0\% \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} 0.0\% \\ 5.8\% \\ 5.5\% \\ 0.3\% \\ 0.0\% \\ 0.$ $\begin{array}{c} 18.3\% \\ 34.6\% \\ 19.6\% \\ 7.6\% \\ 9.5\% \\ 1.5\% \\ 3.7\% \\ 1.8\% \\ 0.9\% \\ 0.6\% \\ 1.5\% \\ 0.3\% \end{array}$ Total 39.8% 16.2% 14.4% 12.5% 17.1% 100.0%



2020 All rights reserv		Data		Crewe, VA		ad by Clari
avau All rights reserv		Owner	Househol	ds	Power	a ay utan
			to 54 Year			
			20 Estimate			_
	1-Person	2-Person Household	3-Person Household	4-Person Household	5 -Person Household	Total
\$0-10,000	0	0	10	5	0	15
\$10,000-20,000	0	0	0	0	0	0
\$20,000-30,000 \$30,000-40,000	4 2	10 9	0	5	0	19 12
\$40,000-50,000	8	8	9	0	0	25
\$50,000-60,000	8	0	12	0	6	26
\$60,000-75,000	1	0 21	15	7 8	7	30 38
\$75,000-100,000	0	22	0	2	14	38
125,000-150,000	0	6	14	11	0	31
150,000-200,000	0	5	0	0	0	5
\$200,000÷	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	2	2
Total	23	81	67	38	32	241
		Owner	Househol	ds		
		Aged	55+ Years			
_			20 Estimate	-		_
	1-Person Iousehold	2-Person Household	3-Person Household	4-Person Household	5+-Person Household	Total
\$0-10,000	9	9	0	0	0	18
\$10,000-20,000 \$20,000-30,000	14 19	19 33	0	0	0	33
\$30,000-40,000	15	7	7	4	2	35
\$40,000-50,000	16	14	2	0	3	35
\$50,000-60,000	3	15	0	3	0	21
\$60,000-75,000 \$75,000-100,000	5	18	0	3	0	26
100,000-125,000	î	14	0	14	0	29
125,000-150,000	0	3	0	0	0	3
\$150,000-200,000 \$200,000+	1	1 2	0	1	1	4
Total	91	155	18	25	6	295
			Househol	ds		
			62+ Years 20 Estimate	s		
	1-Person	2-Person	3-Person	4-Person	5+-Person	-
\$0-10,000	Jousehold 9	tiousehold 9	Household 0	Liousehold	Llousehold 0	Total 18
\$10,000-20,000	5	13	0	0	0	18
\$20,000-30,000	17	30	3	0	0	50
\$30,000-40,000	10	5	0	0	0	15 30
\$40,000-50,000 \$50,000-60,000	3	14	0	3	0	18
\$60,000-75,000	4	18	0	3	0	25
\$75,000-100,000	6	8	4	0	0	18
100,000-125,000 125,000-150,000	1	7	0	14	0	22 3
150,000-200,000	0	1	0	1	1	3
\$200,000+	<u>0</u>	Z	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>Z</u>
Total	71	127	7	21	1	227
		Owner	Househol	ds		
			ge Groups			
-	1-Person	Year 20. 2-Person	20 Estimate 3-Person	+Person	5+-Person	
	lousehold	Household	Household	Household	Household	Total
\$0-10,000 \$10,000-20,000	9 14	9 19	10 0	5	0	33 33
\$20,000-30,000	23	43	3	5	0	74
\$30,000-40,000	17	16	8	4	2	47
\$40,000-50,000 \$50,000-60,000	24 11	22 15	11 12	03	3 6	60 47
\$60,000-75,000	6	15	12	10	0 7	47
\$75,000-100,000	7	34	11	8	3	63
\$100,000-125,000	1	36	0	16	14	67
\$125,000-150,000 \$150,000-200,000	0	9 6	14 0	11	0	34 9
\$200,000+	1	2	1	0	2	13



	P	ercent Ow	ner House	eholds		
		Age 15	to 54 Year	s		
		Year 20	20 Estimate	s		
-	1-Person	2-Person	3-Person	4-Person	5 -Person	
	Household	Household	Household	Household	Household	Total
\$0-10,000	0.0%	0.0%	4.1%	2.1%	0.0%	6.2%
\$10,000-20,000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
\$20,000-30,000	1.7%	4.1%	0.0%	2.1%	0.0%	7.9%
\$30,000-40,000	0.8%	3.7%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	5.0%
\$40,000-50,000	3.3%	3.3%	3.7%	0.0%	0.0%	10.4%
\$50,000-60,000	3.3%	0.0%	5.0%	0.0%	2.5%	10.8%
\$60,000-75,000	0.4%	0.0%	6.2%	2.9%	2.9%	12.4%
\$75,000-100,000	0.0%	8.7%	2.5%	3.3%	1.2%	15.8%
\$100,000-125,000	0.0%	9.1%	0.0%	0.8%	5.8%	15.8%
\$125,000-150,000	0.0%	2.5%	5.8%	4.6%	0.0%	12.9%
\$150,000-200,000	0.0%	2.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.1%
\$200,000÷	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.8%	0.8%
Total	9.5%	33.6%	27.8%	15.8%	13.3%	100.0%

Percent Owner Households									
	Aged 55+ Years Year 2020 Estimates								
	1-Person Household	2-Person Household	3-Person Household	4-Person Household	5-Person Household	lotal			
\$0-10,000	3.1%	3.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	6.1%			
\$10,000-20,000	4.7%	6.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	11.2%			
\$20,000-30,000	6.4%	11.2%	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	18.6%			
\$30,000-40,000	5.1%	2.4%	2.4%	1.4%	0.7%	11.9%			
\$40,000-50,000	5.4%	4.7%	0.7%	0.0%	1.0%	11.9%			
\$50,000-60,000	1.0%	5.1%	0.0%	1.0%	0.0%	7.1%			
\$60,000-75,000	1.7%	6.1%	0.0%	1.0%	0.0%	8.8%			
\$75,000-100,000	2.4%	4.4%	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%	8.5%			
\$100,000-125,000	0.3%	4.7%	0.0%	4.7%	0.0%	9.8%			
\$125,000-150,000	0.0%	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.0%			
\$150,000-200,000	0.3%	0.3%	0.0%	0.3%	0.3%	1.4%			
\$200,000+	0.3%	3.1%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	3.7%			
Total	30.8%	52.5%	6.1%	8.5%	2.0%	100.0%			

	Pe	ercent Ow	ner House	eholds				
		Aged	62+ Years					
	Year 2020 Estimates							
-	1-Person Household	2-Person Household	3-Person Household	4-Person Liousehold	5+-Person Household	Total		
\$0-10,000	4.0%	4.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	7.9%		
\$10,000-20,000	2.2%	5.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	7.9%		
\$20,000-30.000	7.5%	13.2%	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%	22.0%		
\$30,000-40,000	4.4%	2.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	6.6%		
\$40,000-50,000	7.0%	6.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	13.2%		
\$50,000-60.000	1.3%	5.3%	0.0%	1.3%	0.0%	7.9%		
\$60,000-75,000	1.8%	7.9%	0.0%	1.3%	0.0%	11.0%		
\$75,000-100,000	2.6%	3.5%	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%	7.9%		
\$100,000-125,000	0.4%	3.1%	0.0%	6.2%	0.0%	9.7%		
\$125,000-150,000	0.0%	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.3%		
\$150,000-200,000	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%	0.4%	0.4%	1.3%		
\$200,000+	0.0%	3.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.1%		
Total	31.3%	55.9%	3.1%	9.3%	0.4%	100.0%		

	P	ercent Ow	ner House	eholds					
All Age Groups Year 2020 Estimates									
	1-Person Household	2-Person Household	3-Person Household	4-Person Household	51-Person Household	Total			
\$0-10,000	1.7%	1.7%	1.9%	0.9%	0.0%	6.2%			
\$10,000-20,000	2.6%	3.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	6.2%			
\$20,000-30,000	4.3%	8.0%	0.6%	0.9%	0.0%	13.8%			
\$30,000-40,000	3.2%	3.0%	1.5%	0.7%	0.4%	8.8%			
\$40,000-50,000	4.5%	4.1%	2.1%	0.0%	0.6%	11.2%			
\$50,000-60,000	2.1%	2.8%	2.2%	0.6%	1.1%	8.8%			
\$60,000-75,000	1.1%	3.4%	2.8%	1.9%	1.3%	10.4%			
\$75,000-100,000	1.3%	6.3%	2.1%	1.5%	0.6%	11.8%			
\$100,000-125,000	0.2%	6.7%	0.0%	3.0%	2.6%	12.5%			
\$125,000-150,000	0.0%	1.7%	2.6%	2.1%	0.0%	6.3%			
\$150,000-200,000	0.2%	1.1%	0.0%	0.2%	0.2%	1.7%			
\$200,000+	0.2%	1.7%a	0.2%	0.0%	0.4%	2.4%			
Total	21.3%	44.0%	15.9%	11.8%	7.1%	100.0%			



2020 All rights reserv	red				Powe	red by Clarr
			Househol			
			to 54 Year 5 Projection			
1	1-Person	2-Person	3-Person	4 Person	5Person	C. C. C. Martin
		Household				Total
\$0-10,000 \$10,000-20,000	1 5	0 21	10 9	0 18	18 16	29 69
\$20,000-30,000	0	9	19	14	0	42
\$30,000-40,000	12	0	0	0	11	23
\$40,000-50,000	4	3	0	0	0	7
\$50,000-60,000 \$60,000-75,000	0	3	0	4	1	8
\$75,000-100,000	0	0	3	0	0	8
\$100,000-125,000	0	0	0	0	2	2
\$125,000-150,000	0	0	0	0	0	0
\$150,000-200,000	0	0	1	0	0	1
\$200,000+	0	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
Total	22	41	42	36	48	189
		Renter	Househol	ds		
			55+ Years			
			5 Projection		F 10	_
	1-Person Iousehold	2-Person Household	3-Person Household	4-Person Household	5-Person Household	Lotal
\$0-10,000	25	3	0	0	0	28
\$10,000-20,000	39	2	0	0	0	41
\$20,000-30,000	20	4	1	2	0	27
\$30,000-40,000 \$40,000-50,000	0 21	03	0	0	0	0 25
\$50,000-60,000	0	0	0	0	0	15
\$60,000-75,000	1	1	4	0	0	6
\$75,000-100,000	3	1	1	0	0	5
\$100,000-125,000	0	0	0	0	3	3
\$125,000-150,000	0	2	2	0	0	4
\$150,000-200,000	2	1	0	0	1	4
\$200,000+	0	0	0	0	0	<u>0</u>
Total	111	17	8	2	5	143
		Renter	Househol	ds		
			62+ Years			
		Year 202	5 Projection			
	1-Person Iousebold	2-Person Household	3-Person	4-Person Household	5 -Person	Total
\$0-10,000	ousehold	Household	1 tousehold	Household	1 tousehold	Total 10
\$10,000-20,000	39	0	0	0	0	39
\$20,000-30,000	20	4	1	0	0	25
\$30,000-40,000	0	0	0	0	0	0
\$40,000-50,000	9	3	0	0	0	12
\$50,000-60,000	0	0	0	0	0	0
\$60,000-75,000 \$75,000-100,000	0	0	0	0	0	0
\$100,000-125,000	0	0	0	0	0	0
\$125,000-150,000	0	2	2	0	0	4
\$150,000-200,000	2	1	0	0	1	4
\$200,000+	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
Total	79	12	3	0	i.	95
		Renter	Househol	ds		
			ge Groups			
			5 Projection	a contract of the		_
	1-Person Iousehold	2-Person Household	3-Person Household	4-Person Household	5-Person Household	Total
\$0-10,000	26	3	10 10	0	18	57
\$10,000-20,000	44	23	9	18	16	110
\$20,000-30,000	20	13	20	16	0	69
\$30,000-40,000	12	0	0	0	11	23
\$40,000-50,000	25	6	0	0	1	32
\$50,000-60,000 \$60,000-75,000	0	3	0 7	4	1	8 14
\$75,000-100,000	3	1	1	0	0	5
\$100,000-125,000	0	0	0	0	5	5
\$125,000-150,000	0	2	2	0	0	4
\$150,000-200,000	2	1	1	0	1	5
\$150,000-200,000 \$200,000+	2 0	1 0	1 0	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	0



	Pe	rcent Ren	ter House	holds		
		Age 15	to 54 Year	5		
			5 Projection			
-	1-Person	2-Person	3-Person	4-Person	5=-Person	
	Household	Household	Household	Household	Household	Total
\$0-10,000	0.5%	0.0%	5.3%	0.0%a	9.5%	15.3%
\$10,000-20,000	2.6%	11.1%	4.8%	9.5%	8.5%	36.5%
\$20,000-30,000	0.0%	4.8%	10.1%	7.4%	0.0%	22.2%
\$30,000-40,000	6.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5.8%	12.2%
\$40,000-50,000	2.1%	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.7%
\$50,000-60,000	0.0%	1.6%	0.0%	2.1%	0.5%	4.2%
\$60,000-75,000	0.0%	2.6%	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%	4.2%
\$75,000-100,000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
\$100,000-125,000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%	1.1%
\$125,000-150,000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
\$150,000-200,000	0.0%	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.5%
\$200,000+	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total	11.6%	21.7%	22.2%	19.0%	25.4%	100.0%

	1.	citerin iter	net mous	cholus							
	Aged 55+ Years Year 2025 Projections										
	1-Person Household	2-Person Household	3-Person Household	4-Person Household	5Person Household	Lotal					
\$0-10,000	17.5%	2.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	19.6%					
\$10,000-20,000	27.3%	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	28.7%					
\$20,000-30,000	14.0%	2.8%	0.7%	1.4%	0.0%	18.9%					
\$30,000-40,000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%					
\$40,000-50,000	14.7%	2.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.7%	17.5%					
\$50,000-60,000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%					
\$60,000-75,000	0.7%	0.7%	2.8%	0.0%	0.0%	4.2%					
\$75,000-100,000	2.1%	0.7%	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	3.5%					
\$100,000-125,000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.1%	2.1%					
\$125,000-150,000	0.0%	1.4%	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%	2.8%					
\$150,000-200,000	1.4%	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.7%	2.8%					
\$200,000+	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%					
Total	77.6%	11.9%	5.6%	1.4%	3.5%	100.0%					

 Percent Renter Households

 Aged 62+ Years

 Year 2025 Projections

 Household Fousehold Household Hous

	Pe	ercent Ren	nter Hous	eholds		
			ge Groups 25 Projection			
	1-Person Household	2 Person Household	3-Person Household	4-Person Household	5Person Household	Total
\$0-10,000	7.8%	0.9%	3.0%	0.0%	5.4%	17.2%
\$10,000-20,000	13.3%	6.9%	2.7%	5.4%	4.8%	33.1%
\$20,000-30,000	6.0%	3.9%	6.0%	4.8%	0.0%	20.8%
\$30,000-40,000	3.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.3%	6.9%
\$40,000-50,000	7.5%	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%	9.6%
\$50,000-60,000	0.0%	0.9%	0.0%	1.2%	0.3%	2.4%
\$60,000-75,000	0.3%	1.8%	2.1%	0.0%	0.0%	4.2%
\$75,000-100,000	0.9%	0.3%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	1.5%
\$100,000-125,000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.5%	1.5%
\$125,000-150,000	0.0%	0.6%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	1.2%
\$150,000-200,000	0.6%	0.3%	0.3%	0.0%	0.3%	1.5%
\$200,000+	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total	40.1%	17.5%	15.1%	11.4%	16.0%	100,0%



HISTA 2.2 Sui 2020 All rights reserv		Louise .		Crewe, VA		ed by Clar
		Owner	Househol	ds		
			to 54 Year			
		and the second se	5 Projection			_
	1-Person	2-Person	3-Person	4-Person	5+-Person	
\$0-10,000	lousehold 0	Household 0	Household 9	Household	Household	Total 15
\$10,000-20,000	2	0	0	0	0	2
\$20,000-30,000	5	6	0	4	0	15
\$30,000-40,000	4	11	3	0	0	18
\$40,000-50,000	8	7	6	0	0	21
\$50,000-60,000 \$60,000-75,000	5	0	13	0	7	25
\$75,000-100,000	0	0 21	13	8 7	5	26 36
\$100,000-125,000	0	17	0	2	13	32
\$125,000-150,000	0	7	14	13	0	34
\$150,000-200,000	0	3	2	5	0	10
\$200,000+	0	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	4	4
Total	24	72	66	45	31	238
		Owner	Househol	ds		_
			55+ Years			
_	1 D		5 Projection		5 Dec	
	1-Person lousehold	2-Person Household	3-Person Household	4-Person Household	5+-Person Household	Total
\$0-10,000	10	7	0	0	0	17
\$10,000-20,000	13	15	0	0	0	28
\$20,000-30,000	18	32	2	0	0	52
\$30,000-40,000	16	5	7	4	2 4	34
\$40,000-50,000 \$50,000-60,000	11 6	12	2	0	4	29 28
\$60,000-75,000	6	19	0	2	0	27
\$75,000-100,000	8	12	9	õ	1	30
\$100,000-125,000	1	12	0	15	1	29
\$125,000-150,000	0	5	0	0	0	5
\$150,000-200,000	0	4	Ú.	2	1	7
\$200,000+	0	11	0	0	0	11
Total	89	152	21	26	9	297
		Owner	Househol	ds		
			62+ Years			
			5 Projection	18		
	1-Person	2-Person	3-Person	4-Person	5+-Person	
	lousehold 9	Household 7	Llousehold 0	Household 0	Household 0	Total
\$0-10,000 \$10,000-20,000	5	11	0	0	0	16 16
\$20,000-30,000	16	30	2	0	0	48
\$30,000-40,000	11	2	0	0	0	13
\$40,000-50,000	11	12	0	0	0	23
\$50,000-60,000	6	15	0	3	0	24
\$60,000-75,000	6	19	0	2	0	27
\$75,000-100,000	6	7	6	0	1	20
\$100,000-125,000 \$125,000-150,000	1	6 5	0	15	1	23 5
\$125,000-150,000 \$150,000-200,000	0	2	0	2	0	5
\$200,000+	0	2	0	0	0	2
Total	71	125	8	22	3	229
04.54		Owner	Househol	de		
			ge Groups	ua		
		Year 202	5 Projection			
	1-l'erson		3-Person Household		5+-Person Household	Total
	Laurahanta	THE REPORT OF THE PARTY OF THE		Household	- Household 0	32
ŀ	lousehold 10		0		M	
	10	7	9	0	0	30
I- 50-10,000					0 0	30 67
F \$0-10,000 \$10,000-20,000 \$20,000-30,000 \$30,000-40,000	10 15 23 20	7 15 38 16	0 2 10	0 4 4	0 2	67 52
F \$0-10,000 \$10,000-20,000 \$20,000-30,000 \$30,000-40,000 \$40,000-50,000	10 15 23 20 19	7 15 38 16 19	0 2 10 8	0 4 4 0	0 2 4	67 52 50
F S0-10,000 \$10,000-20,000 \$20,000-30,000 \$30,000-40,000 \$40,000-50,000 \$50,000-60,000	10 15 23 20 19 11	7 15 38 16 19 18	0 2 10 8 14	0 4 4 0 3	0 2 4 7	67 52 50 53
50-10,000 \$10,000-20,000 \$20,000-30,000 \$30,000-40,000 \$40,000-50,000 \$50,000-60,000 \$50,000-75,000	10 15 23 20 19 11 6	7 15 38 16 19 18 19	0 2 10 8 14 13	0 4 4 0 3 10	0 2 4 7 5	67 52 50 53 53
F \$0-10,000 \$10,000-20,000 \$20,000-30,000 \$30,000-40,000 \$40,000-50,000 \$50,000-60,000 \$50,000-60,000 \$75,000-100,000	10 15 23 20 19 11 6 8	7 15 38 16 19 18 19 33	0 2 10 8 14 13 15	0 4 4 0 3 10 7	0 2 4 7 5 3	67 52 50 53 53 66
1- \$0-10,000 \$10,000-20,000 \$20,000-30,000 \$30,000-40,000 \$40,000-50,000 \$40,000-50,000 \$60,000-75,000 \$75,000-100,000 \$100,000-125,000	10 15 23 20 19 11 6 8 1	7 15 38 16 19 18 19 33 29	0 2 10 8 14 13 15 0	0 4 4 0 3 10 7 17	0 2 4 7 5 3 14	67 52 50 53 53 66 61
F \$0-10,000 \$10,000-20,000 \$20,000-30,000 \$20,000-30,000 \$40,000-50,000 \$50,000-60,000 \$60,000-75,000 \$75,000-100,000 \$125,000-150,000	10 15 23 20 19 11 6 8	7 15 38 16 19 18 19 33	0 2 10 8 14 13 15	0 4 4 0 3 10 7	0 2 4 7 5 3	67 52 50 53 53 66
1- \$0-10,000 \$10,000-20,000 \$20,000-30,000 \$30,000-40,000 \$40,000-50,000 \$40,000-50,000 \$60,000-75,000 \$75,000-100,000 \$100,000-125,000	10 15 23 20 19 11 6 8 1 0	7 15 38 16 19 18 19 33 29 12	0 2 10 8 14 13 15 0 14	0 4 4 0 3 10 7 17 13	0 2 4 7 5 3 14 0	67 52 50 53 53 66 61 39



2020 All rights rese	ived				Power	eu by Glann
	Pe	ercent Ow	ner Hous	eholds		
		Age 15	to 54 Year	s		
		Year 202	5 Projection	ns		
	1-Person	2-Person	3-Person	4-Person	5+ Person	-
	Household	Household	Household	Household	Household	Total
\$0-10,000	0.0%	0.0%	3.8%	2.5%	0.0%	6.3%
\$10,000-20,000	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.8%
\$20,000-30,000	2.1%	2.5%	0.0%	1.7%	0.0%	6.3%
\$30,000-40,000	1.7%	4.6%	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%	7.6%
\$40,000-50,000	3.4%	2.9%	2.5%	0.0%	0.0%	8.8%
\$50,000-60,000	2.1%	0.0%	5.5%	0.0%	2.9%	10.5%
\$60,000-75,000	0.0%	0.0%	5.5%	3.4%	2.1%	10.9%
\$75,000-100,000	0.0%	8.8%	2.5%	2.9%	0.8%	15.1%
\$100,000-125,000	0.0%	7.1%	0.0%	0.8%	5.5%	13.4%
\$125,000-150,000	0.0%	2.9%	5.9%	5.5%	0.0%	14.3%
\$150,000-200,000	0.0%	1.3%	0.8%	2.1%	0.0%	4.2%
\$200,000+	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.7%	1.7%
Total	10.1%	30.3%	27.7%	18.9%	13.0%	100.0%
	Pe	ercent Ow	ner House	eholds		
		Agod	55+ Years			
			5 Projection			
	1-Person	2-Person	3-Person	4-Person	5+-Person	
	Household	Household	Household	Household	Household	Intal
\$0-10,000	3.4%	2.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5.7%
\$10,000-20,000	4.4%	5.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	9.4%
\$20,000-30,000	6.1%	10.8%	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	17.5%
\$30,000-40,000	5.4%	1.7%	2.4%	1.3%	0.7%	11.4%
\$40,000-50,000	3.7%	4.0%	0.7%	0.0%	1.3%	9.8%
\$50,000-60,000	2.0%	6.1%	0.3%	1.0%	0.0%	9.4%
\$60,000-75,000	2.0%	6.4%	0.0%	0.7%	0.0%	9.1%
\$75,000-100,000	2.7%	4.0%	3.0%	0.0%	0.3%	10.1%
\$100,000-125,000	0.3%	4.0%	0.0%	5.1%	0.3%	9.8%
\$125,000-150,000	0.0%	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.7%
\$150,000-200,000	0.0%	1.3%	0.0%	0.7%	0.3%	2.4%
\$200,000+	0.0%	3.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.7%
Total	30.0%	51.2%	7.1%	8.8%	3.0%	100.0%
	D.	ercent Ow	a au Haura	abalda		
	re		62+ Years	enotus		
			5 Projection	10		
		10 Car 10 Conta	and the second second	.30	The second second	_
_		2-Person	3-Person	4-Person	5+-Person	
-	1-Person					
	Household	Household				Total
\$0-10,000	Household 3.9%	Household 3,1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	7.0%
\$0-10,000 \$10,000-20,000	Household 3.9% 2.2%	Household 3.1% 4.8%	0.0% 0.0%	0.0% 0.0%	0,0% 0,0%	7.0% 7.0%
\$0-10,000 \$10,000-20,000 \$20,000-30,000	11ousehold 3.9% 2.2% 7.0%	Household 3,1% 4,8% 13,1%	0.0% 0.0% 0.9%	0.0% 0.0% 0.0%	0,0% 0,0% 0,0%	7.0% 7.0% 21.0%
\$0-10,000 \$10,000-20,000 \$20,000-30,000 \$30,000-40,000	1 lousehold 3.9% 2.2% 7.0% 4.8%	Household 3.1% 4.8% 13.1% 0.9%	0.0% 0.0% 0.9% 0.0%	0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0%	0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0%	7.0% 7.0% 21.0% 5.7%
\$0-10,000 \$10,000-20,000 \$20,000-30,000 \$30,000-40,000 \$40,000-50,000	1000sehold 3.9% 2.2% 7.0% 4.8% 4.8%	Household 3.1% 4.8% 13.1% 0.9% 5.2%	0.0% 0.0% 0.9% 0.0% 0.0%	0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0%	0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0%	7.0% 7.0% 21.0% 5.7% 10.0%
\$0-10,000 \$10,000-20,000 \$20,000-30,000 \$30,000-40,000 \$40,000-50,000 \$50,000-60,000	1000sehold 3.9% 2.2% 7.0% 4.8% 4.8% 2.6%	Household 3.1% 4.8% 13.1% 0.9% 5.2% 6.6%	0.0% 0.0% 0.9% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0%	0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 1.3%	0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0%	7.0% 7.0% 21.0% 5.7% 10.0% 10.5%
\$0-10,000 \$10,000-20,000 \$20,000-30,000 \$30,000-40,000 \$40,000-50,000 \$50,000-60,000 \$60,000-75,000	1000500000 3.9% 2.2% 7.0% 4.8% 4.8% 4.8% 2.6% 2.6%	Household 3.1% 4.8% 13.1% 0.9% 5.2% 6.6% 8.3%	0.0% 0.0% 0.9% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0%	0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 1.3% 0.9%	0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0%	7.0% 7.0% 21.0% 5.7% 10.0% 10.5% 11.8%
\$0-10,000 \$10,000-20,000 \$20,000-30,000 \$30,000-40,000 \$40,000-50,000 \$50,000-60,000	1000sehold 3.9% 2.2% 7.0% 4.8% 4.8% 2.6%	Household 3.1% 4.8% 13.1% 0.9% 5.2% 6.6%	0.0% 0.0% 0.9% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0%	0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 1.3%	0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0%	7.0% 7.0% 21.0% 5.7% 10.0% 10.5%

\$75,000-100,000 \$100,000-125,000 \$125,000-150,000 \$150,000-200,000 \$200,000+ 2.6% 2.2% 0.9% <u>3.9%</u> 2.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.4% 0.0% 0.0% 6.6% 0.0% 0.9% 0.9% 0.4% 0.0% 0.4% 0.4% 0.4% a.7% 10.0% 2.2% 2.2% <u>3.9%</u> 0.0% 3.5% Total 31.0% 54.6% 9.6% 1.3% 100.0% Percent Owner Households All Age Groups Year 2025 Projections 2-Person 3-Person 4-Pers n <u>2</u>-Person Id Househol 1-1'ei 5+-Persi I Househi \$0-10,000 \$10,000-20,000 \$30,000-40,000 \$40,000-50,000 \$60,000-75,000 \$75,000-100,000 \$100,000-125,000 \$100,000-125,000 \$102,000-150,000 \$122,000-150,000 \$200,000+ $\begin{array}{c} 1.9\% \\ 2.8\% \\ 4.3\% \\ 3.7\% \\ 3.6\% \\ 2.1\% \\ 1.1\% \\ 1.5\% \\ 0.2\% \\ 0.0\% \\ 0.0\% \\ 0.0\% \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} 1.3\% \\ 2.8\% \\ 7.1\% \\ 3.0\% \\ 3.6\% \\ 3.6\% \\ 6.2\% \\ 5.4\% \\ 2.2\% \\ 1.3\% \\ 2.1\% \\ 2.1\% \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} 1.7\% \\ 0.0\% \\ 0.4\% \\ 1.9\% \\ 1.5\% \\ 2.6\% \\ 2.4\% \\ 0.0\% \\ 2.8\% \\ 0.0\% \\ 2.6\% \\ 0.4\% \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} 1.1^{\circ}\circ\\ 0.0^{\circ}\circ\\ 0.7^{\circ}\circ\\ 0.7^{\circ}\circ\\ 0.0^{\circ}\circ\\ 1.9^{\circ}\circ\\ 1.3^{\circ}\circ\\ 3.2^{\circ}\circ\\ 2.4^{\circ}\circ\\ 1.3^{\circ}\circ\\ 0.0^{\circ}\circ\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} 0.0\% \\ 0.0\% \\ 0.0\% \\ 0.4\% \\ 0.7\% \\ 1.3\% \\ 0.9\% \\ 0.6\% \\ 2.6\% \\ 0.0\% \\ 0.2\% \\ 0.7\% \end{array}$ 6.0% 5.6% 12.5% 9.7% 9.3% 9.9% 12.3% 11.4% 7.3% 3.2%

0.0%

16.3%

13.3%

7.5%

41.9%

Total 21.1%

2.8%

100.0%



POPULATION DATA @ 2020 All rights reserved


Claritas

				Crewe,	VA							
Estim	ated Char	nge - 2010	to 2020		Projected Change - 2020 to 2025							
Age	Male	Female	Total Change	Percent Change	Age	Male	Female	Total Change	Percen Change			
0 to 4 Years	-10	-3	-13	-7.7%	0 to 4 Years	2	-3	-1	-0.6%			
5 to 9 Years	-9	-23	-32	-17.4%	5 to 9 Years	4	-4	0	0.0%			
10 to 14 Years	2	-13	-11	-7.0%	10 to 14 Years	-6	7	1	0.7%			
15 to 17 Years	-8	-3	-11	-10.3%	15 to 17 Years	2	-9	-7	-7.3%			
18 to 20 Years	-18	-5	-23	-21.7%	18 to 20 Years	1	-1	0	0.0%			
21 to 24 Years	0	-11	-11	-9.6%	21 to 24 Years	6	13	19	18.3%			
25 to 34 Years	13	2	15	5.5%	25 to 34 Years	-3	-17	-20	-6.9%			
35 to 44 Years	0	-13	-13	-5.0%	35 to 44 Years	-6	15	9	3.7%			
45 to 54 Years	-19	-69	-88	-26.3%	45 to 54 Years	-4	-11	-15	-6.1%			
55 to 64 Years	4	17	21	8.0%	55 to 64 Years	-9	-20	-29	-10.2%			
65 to 74 Years	17	7	24	13.3%	65 to 74 Years	20	22	42	20.5%			
75 to 84 Years	-5	-15	-20	-15.4%	75 to 84 Years	2	0	2	1.8%			
85 Years and Up	2	-4	-2	-4.3%	85 Years and Up	<u>0</u> 9	- <u>3</u> -11	<u>-3</u> -2	-6.7%			
Total	-31	-133	-164	-7.1%	Total	9	-11	-2	-0.1%			
62+ Years	n/a	n/a	13	3.0%	62+ Years	n/a	n/a	34	7.6%			

Source: Claritas; Ribbon Demographics



© 2020 All rights reserved



www.ribbondata.com Tel: 916-880-1644




POPULATION DATA @ 2020 All rights reserved

Claritas

					Crewe,	VA					
(Census 2	010		Current 1	ear Esti	imates - 202	20	Five-Year Projections - 2025			
Age	Male	Female	Total	Age	Male	Female	Total	Age	Male	Female	Tota
0 to 4 Years	87	82	169	0 to 4 Years	77	79	156	0 to 4 Years	79	76	155
5 to 9 Years	80	104	184	5 to 9 Years	71	81	152	5 to 9 Years	75	77	152
10 to 14 Years	73	84	157	10 to 14 Years	75	71	146	10 to 14 Years	69	78	147
15 to 17 Years	52	55	107	15 to 17 Years	44	52	96	15 to 17 Years	46	43	89
18 to 20 Years	58	48	106	18 to 20 Years	40	43	83	18 to 20 Years	41	42	83
21 to 24 Years	52	63	115	21 to 24 Years	52	52	104	21 to 24 Years	58	65	123
25 to 34 Years	128	145	273	25 to 34 Years	141	147	288	25 to 34 Years	138	130	268
35 to 44 Years	126	133	259	35 to 44 Years	126	120	246	35 to 44 Years	120	135	255
45 to 54 Years	148	187	335	45 to 54 Years	129	118	247	45 to 54 Years	125	107	232
55 to 64 Years	125	138	263	55 to 64 Years	129	155	284	55 to 64 Years	120	135	255
65 to 74 Years	76	105	181	65 to 74 Years	93	112	205	65 to 74 Years	113	134	247
75 to 84 Years	49	81	130	75 to 84 Years	44	66	110	75 to 84 Years	46	66	112
85 Years and Up	14	33	47	85 Years and Up	16	29	45	85 Years and Up	16	26	42
Total	1,068	1,258	2,326	Total	1,037	1,125	2,162	Total	1,046	1,114	2,16
62+ Years	n/a	n/a	432	62+ Years	n/a	n/a	445	62+ Years	n/a	n/a	479
	M	edian Age:	37.0		N	ledian Age:	37.3		M	edian Age:	37.5

Source: Claritas; Ribbon Demographics







POPULATION DATA @ 2020 All rights reserved


Claritas

				Percent Po	Crewe,		e oex				
	Census 2	010		Current	Year Esti	mates - 20	20	Five-Year Projections - 2025			
Age	Male	Female	Total	Age	Male	Female	Total	Age	Male	Female	Total
0 to 4 Years	3.7%	3.5%	7.3%	0 to 4 Years	3.6%	3.7%	7.2%	0 to 4 Years	3.7%	3.5%	7.2%
5 to 9 Years	3.4%	4.5%	7.9%	5 to 9 Years	3.3%	3.7%	7.0%	5 to 9 Years	3.5%	3.6%	7.0%
10 to 14 Years	3.1%	3.6%	6.7%	10 to 14 Years	3.5%	3.3%	6.8%	10 to 14 Years	3.2%	3.6%	6.8%
15 to 17 Years	2.2%	2.4%	4.6%	15 to 17 Years	2.0%	2.4%	4.4%	15 to 17 Years	2.1%	2.0%	4.1%
18 to 20 Years	2.5%	2.1%	4.6%	18 to 20 Years	1.9%	2.0%	3.8%	18 to 20 Years	1.9%	1.9%	3.8%
21 to 24 Years	2.2%	2.7%	4.9%	21 to 24 Years	2.4%	2.4%	4.8%	21 to 24 Years	2.7%	3.0%	5.7%
25 to 34 Years	5.5%	6.2%	11.7%	25 to 34 Years	6.5%	6.8%	13.3%	25 to 34 Years	6.4%	6.0%	12.4%
35 to 44 Years	5.4%	5.7%	11.1%	35 to 44 Years	5.8%	5.6%	11.4%	35 to 44 Years	5.6%	6.3%	11.8%
45 to 54 Years	6.4%	8.0%	14.4%	45 to 54 Years	6.0%	5.5%	11.4%	45 to 54 Years	5.8%	5.0%	10.7%
55 to 64 Years	5.4%	5.9%	11.3%	55 to 64 Years	6.0%	7.2%	13.1%	55 to 64 Years	5.6%	6.3%	11.8%
65 to 74 Years	3.3%	4.5%	7.8%	65 to 74 Years	4.3%	5.2%	9.5%	65 to 74 Years	5.2%	6.2%	11.4%
75 to 84 Years	2.1%	3.5%	5.6%	75 to 84 Years	2.0%	3.1%	5.1%	75 to 84 Years	2.1%	3.1%	5.2%
85 Years and Up	0.6%	1.4%	2.0%	85 Years and Up	0.7%	1.3%	2.1%	85 Years and Up	0.7%	1.2%	1.9%
Total	45.9%	54.1%	100.0%	Total	48.0%	52.0%	100.0%	Total	48.4%	51.6%	100.0%
62+ Years	n/a	n/a	18.6%	62+ Years	n/a	n/a	20.6%	62+ Years	n/a	n/a	22.2%

Source: Claritas; Ribbon Demographics



© 2020 All rights reserved




Ribbon Demographics, LLC www.ribbondata.com Tel: 916-880-1644



@ 2020 All rights reserved

Market Area		
Market Alea	Number	Percent
Industry	Employed	Employed
Accommodation/Food Services	1,818	8.99
Administrative/Support/Waste Management	478	2.39
Agriculture/Forestry/Fishing/Hunting/Mining	784	3.89
Arts/Entertainment/Recreation	371	1.89
Construction	1,690	8.39
Educational Services	2,577	12.79
Finance/Insurance/Real Estate/Rent/Lease	992	4.99
Health Care/Social Assistance	2,720	13.49
Information	199	1.09
Management of Companies and Enterprises	- 1	0.09
Manufacturing	1,498	7.49
Other Services Except Public Administration	978	4.89
Professional/Scientific/Technical Services	810	4.09
Public Administration	1,674	8.29
Retail Trade	2,442	12.09
Transportation/Warehousing/Utilities	1,059	5.29
Wholesale Trade	273	1.39
Total:	20,364	100.09









© 2020 All rights reserved


Current Year Estimates - 2 Market Area	020	
Market Area	Number	Percent
Occupation	Employed	Employed
Architecture/Engineering	163	0.8
Arts/Design/Entertainment/Sports/Media	212	1.09
Building/Grounds Cleaning/Maintenance	911	4.5
Business/Financial Operations	455	2.2
Community/Social Services	366	1.89
Computer/Mathematical	253	1.29
Construction/Extraction	1,632	8.0
Education/Training/Library	1,439	7.19
Farming/Fishing/Forestry	380	1.9
Food Preparation/Serving Related	1,519	7.5
Healthcare Practitioner/Technician	882	4.3
Healthcare Support	592	2.9
Installation/Maintenance/Repair	903	4.49
Legal	155	0.8
Life/Physical/Social Science	93	0.5
Management	1,609	7.9
Office/Administrative Support	2,748	13.59
Production	947	4.7
Protective Services	888	4.4
Sales/Related	2,224	10.99
Personal Care/Service	751	3.79
Transportation/Material Moving	1,242	6.19
Total:	20,364	100.0
White Collar	10,599	52.0
Blue Collar	4,724	23.2
Service and Farming	5,041	24.8
Total:	20,364	100.0



























Employed Civilian Population by Clas Current Year Estimates - 20 Market Area		
Industry	Number Employed	Percent Employed
Private For-Profit Worker	13,106	64.4%
Private Non-Profit Worker	1,273	6.3%
Federal Government Worker	510	2.5%
State Government Worker	2,217	10.9%
Local Government Worker	1,520	7.5%
Self-Employed Worker	1,624	8.0%
Unpaid Family Worker	114	0.6%
Total:	20,364	100.0%






				Market	Area					
			Ce	nsus Date	1 - 2000					
Income	Age 15 - 24 Years	Age 25 - 34 Years	Age 35 - 44 Years	Age 45 - 54 Years	Age 55 - 64 Years	Age 65 - 74 Years	Age 75 - 84 Years	Age 85+ Years	Total	Percer
Less than \$15,000	163	288	474	530	538	571	690	257	3,511	21.3%
\$15,000 - \$24,999	146	347	502	439	360	389	428	121	2,732	16.6%
\$25,000 - \$34,999	86	.320	508	394	314	301	233	55	2,211	13.4%
\$35,000 - \$49,999	155	493	892	562	384	410	236	56	3,188	19.4%
\$50,000 - \$74,999	35	456	775	744	388	253	158	36	2,845	17.39
\$75,000 - \$99,999	4	70	293	249	279	125	12	1	1,033	6.3%
\$100,000 - \$124,999	0	0	105	165	125	45	6	1	447	2.7%
\$125,000 - \$149,999	0	19	34	33	53	32	12	3	186	1.1%
\$150,000 - \$199,999	0	21	42	57	32	24	0	0	176	1.1%
\$200,000 and up	2	16	<u>24</u>	12	46	<u>0</u>	37	<u>8</u>	145	0.9%
Total	591	2,030	3,649	3,185	2,519	2,150	1,812	538	16,474	100.09
Percent	3.6%	12.3%	22.2%	19.3%	15.3%	13.1%	11.0%	3.3%	100.0%	







HOUSEHOLD DATA @ 2020 All rights reserved





				Market	Area					
	_			Year Esti	imates - 2	020		_		
Income	Age 15 - 24 Years	Age 25 - 34 Years	Age 35 - 44 Years	Age 45 - 54 Vears	Age 55 - 64 Years	Age 65 - 74 Years	Age 75 - 84 Years	Age 85+ Years	Total	Perce
Less than \$15,000	253	289	267	241	463	355	261	151	2,280	12.4%
\$15,000 - \$24,999	181	141	153	227	410	476	397	214	2,199	11.99
\$25,000 - \$34,999	189	214	183	295	410	280	219	97	1,887	10.29
\$35,000 - \$49,999	81	402	359	419	592	517	303	102	2,775	15.19
\$50,000 - \$74,999	143	426	366	635	820	596	299	93	3,378	18.39
\$75,000 - \$99,999	61	272	286	377	471	400	151	41	2,059	11.29
\$100,000 - \$124,999	1	243	358	276	303	282	109	23	1,595	8.7%
\$125,000 - \$149,999	2	137	191	179	206	184	73	18	990	5.4%
\$150,000 - \$199,999	2	108	179	152	166	127	34	7	775	4.2%
\$200,000 and up	<u>6</u>	<u>28</u>	<u>53</u>	<u>121</u>	141	100	<u>24</u>	8	481	2.6%
Total	919	2,260	2,395	2,922	3,982	3,317	1,870	754	18,419	100.0
Percent	5.0%	12.3%	13.0%	15.9%	21.6%	18.0%	10.2%	4.1%	100.0%	



HOUSEHOLD DATA © 2020 All rights reserved Claritas Estimated Households by Income - 2020 Market Area 3,500 3,000 2,500 2,000 1,500 1,000 500 0 Less than \$15,000 \$15,000 -\$24,999 \$25,000 -\$34,999 \$35,000 -\$49,999 \$50,000 -\$74,999 \$75,000 - \$100,000 - \$125,000 - \$150,000 -\$99,999 \$124,999 \$149,999 \$199,999 \$200,000 and up Source: Claritas; Ribbon Demographics




HOUSEHOLD DATA @ 2020 All rights reserved Claritas Estimated Households by Age - 2020 Market Area 4,000 3,500 3,000 2,500 2,000 1,500 1,000 500 15-24 Years 0 15 - 24 Teals 35-14 Teals 15-54 Teals



			Househo	Market						
			Estimatea	Change	- 2000 to	2020				
Income	Age 15 - 24 Years	Age 25 - 34 Years	Age 35 - 44 Years	Age 45 - 54 Years	Age 55 - 64 Years	Age 65 - 74 Years	Age 75 - 84 Years	Age 85+ Years	Total	Percen Chang
Less than \$15,000	90	1	-207	-289	-75	-216	-429	-106	-1,231	-35.1%
\$15,000 - \$24,999	35	-206	-349	-212	50	87	-31	93	-533	-19.5%
\$25,000 - \$34,999	103	-106	-325	-99	96	-21	-14	42	-324	-14.7%
\$35,000 - \$49,999	-74	-91	-533	-143	208	107	67	46	-413	-13.0%
\$50,000 - \$74,999	108	-30	-409	-109	432	343	141	57	533	18.7%
\$75,000 - \$99,999	57	202	-7	128	192	275	139	40	1,026	99.3%
\$100,000 - \$124,999	1	243	253	111	178	237	103	22	1,148	256.8%
\$125,000 - \$149,999	2	118	157	146	153	152	61	15	804	432.3%
\$150,000 - \$199,999	2	87	137	95	134	103	34	7	599	340.3%
\$200,000 and up	4	12	<u>29</u>	109	<u>95</u>	100	-13	<u>0</u>	<u>336</u>	231.7%
Total	328	230	-1,254	-263	1,463	1,167	58	216	1,945	11.8%
Percent Change	55.5%	11.3%	-34.4%	-8.3%	58.1%	54.3%	3.2%	40.1%	11.8%	




Claritas





© 2020 All rights reserved






				Market	Area					
	_	_		2	ctions - 20					
Income	Age 15 - 24 Years	Age 25 - 34 Years	Age 35 - 44 Years	Age 45 - 54 Years	Age 55 - 64 Years	Age 65 - 74 Years	Age 75 - 84 Years	Age 85+ Years	Total	Percer
Less than \$15,000	226	277	246	191	397	372	248	143	2,100	11.2%
\$15,000 - \$24,999	145	136	139	176	351	505	385	228	2,065	11.0%
\$25,000 - \$34,999	172	208	188	243	372	319	224	99	1,825	9.7%
\$35,000 - \$49,999	68	397	337	330	522	559	292	104	2,609	13.9%
\$50,000 - \$74,999	136	460	383	542	773	704	326	111	3,435	18.39
\$75,000 - \$99,999	56	303	300	334	473	490	169	45	2,170	11.6%
\$100,000 - \$124,999	3	254	373	242	299	342	123	27	1,663	8.9%
\$125,000 - \$149,999	2	178	231	173	223	255	94	22	1,178	6.3%
\$150,000 - \$199,999	1	143	231	162	195	190	49	11	982	5.2%
\$200,000 and up	2	<u>50</u>	<u>79</u>	166	217	156	35	13	725	3.9%
Total	818	2,406	2,507	2,559	3,822	3,892	1,945	803	18,752	100.09
Percent	4.4%	12.8%	13.4%	13.6%	20.4%	20.8%	10.4%	4.3%	100.0%	




© 2020 All rights reserved





HOUSEHOLD DATA @ 2020 All rights reserved






				Market	Area					
			Projectea	Change	- 2020 to	2025				
Income	Age 15 - 24 Years	Age 25 - 34 Years	Age 35 - 44 Years	Age 45 - 54 Years	Age 55 - 64 Years	Age 65 - 74 Years	Age 75 - 84 Years	Age 85+ Years	Total	Percen Change
Less than \$15,000	-27	-12	-21	-50	-66	17	-13	-8	-180	-7.9%
\$15,000 - \$24,999	-36	-5	-14	-51	-59	29	-12	14	-134	-6.1%
\$25,000 - \$34,999	-17	-6	5	-52	-38	39	5	2	-62	-3.3%
\$35,000 - \$49,999	-13	-5	-22	-89	-70	42	-11	2	-166	-6.0%
\$50,000 - \$74,999	-7	34	17	-93	-47	108	27	18	57	1.7%
\$75,000 - \$99,999	-5	.31	14	-43	2	90	18	4	111	5.4%
\$100,000 - \$124,999	2	11	15	-34	-4	60	14	4	68	4.3%
\$125,000 - \$149,999	0	41	40	-6	17	71	21	4	188	19.0%
\$150,000 - \$199,999	-1	35	52	10	29	63	15	4	207	26.7%
\$200,000 and up	3	22	<u>26</u>	45	<u>76</u>	<u>56</u>	11	5	244	50.7%
Total	-101	146	112	-363	-160	575	75	49	333	1.8%
Percent Change	-11.0%	6.5%	4.7%	-12.4%	-4.0%	17.3%	4.0%	6.5%	1.8%	



HOUSEHOLD DATA @ 2020 All rights reserved





HOUSEHOLD DATA @ 2020 All rights reserved Claritas Projected Household Age Change 2020 to 2025 Market Area 600 500 400 300 200 100 1 0 -100 -200 -300 25-34 Years -400 15-24 Years AP STATERS STATERS STATERS STATERS STATERS Source: Claritas; Ribbon Demographics




HOUSEHOLD DATA

© 2020 All rights reserved

Claritas

Med	ian Household Inco Market Area	me
Census 2000	2020 Estimate	2025 Projection
\$34,019	\$50,507	\$55,655



ribbon demographics

2/23/2020

ribbon demographics

HOUSEHOLD DATA

© 2020 All rights reserved

Med	ian Household Inc Market Area		
Geography ID	Census 2000	2020 Estimate	2025 Projection
51007	\$40,814	\$65,517	\$69,778
51135	\$31,638	\$40,894	\$42,978
51147	\$31,084	\$50,310	\$56,590

Claritas

ribbon demographics

2/23/2020



HISTA 2.2 Su		Data	N	larket Are	a	
2020 All rights reser	ved	Rantas	Househol	de	Power	ed by Clant
			to 54 Year			
	Ba	se Year: 201				
-	1-Person	2-Person	3-Person	4-Person	5-Person	
		Household				Total
\$0-10,000	186	129	152	76	184	727
\$10,000-20,000	91	263	52	72	28	506
\$20,000-30,000 \$30,000-40,000	152 196	79 24	127 141	93 134	185 25	636 520
\$40,000-50,000	46	58	22	83	6	215
\$50,000-60,000	89	126	37	11	31	294
\$60,000-75,000 \$75,000-100,000	48 5	86 104	103	7 53	25 2	269 250
\$100,000-125,000	0	4	64	4	9	81
\$125,000-150,000	8	41	30	5	1	85
\$150,000-200,000	5	4	9	6	17	41
\$200,000+	1	<u>6</u>	14	10	5	<u>36</u>
Total	827	924	837	554	518	3,660
	_	Protect	Househol	4		
				as		
	Ba	Aged se Year: 201	55+ Years 1 - 2015 Es	timates		
-	1-Person	2-Person	3-Person		5 -Person	
	fousehold	Household		Household	Household	Total
\$0-10,000	205	55	4	2	4	270
\$10,000-20,000 \$20,000-30,000	460 236	90 74	35 10	2 13	1 6	588 339
\$30,000-40,000	107	32	3	25	9	176
\$40,000-50,000	90	23	12	24	4	153
\$50,000-60,000 \$60,000-75,000	31	32 59	4	6	24	97 119
\$75,000-100,000	44	28	8	3	4	87
\$100,000-125,000	22	36	4	5	16	83
\$125,000-150,000	10	11	6	2	4	33
\$150,000-200,000 \$200,000+	15 <u>8</u>	13	3	3	3	37 42
Total	1,246	480	122	93	83	2,024
Total	1,240	400	122	35	85	2,024
		Renter	Househol	ds		
			62+ Years			
	Ba	se Year: 201	1 - 2015 Es	stimates		
	1-Person	2-Person	3-Person	4-Person	5 -Person	
\$0-10,000	Tousehold 141	Household 19	Household 3	Household	flousehold 4	Total 169
\$10,000-20,000	386	77	34	1	1	499
\$20,000-30,000	176	66	4	3	5	254
\$30,000-40,000	45	31	2	24	8	110
\$40,000-50,000 \$50,000-60,000	70 23	22 25	11 3	2 5	2 7	107 63
\$60,000-75,000	16	26	6	3	3	54
\$75,000-100,000	27	17	5	2	2	53
\$100,000-125,000 \$125,000-150,000	21	33 6	3	4	1 4	62 24
\$125,000-150,000	5	6	0	2	4	17
\$200,000+	4	27	<u>0</u>	1	1	33
Total	921	355	78	50	41	1,445
1142.4	663	- 100 ⁽¹⁾				
			Househol	ds		
			ge Groups			
		se Year: 201 2-Person	1 - 2015 Es 3-Person	4-Person	5 Person	
	1-Person tonsehold	Household				Total
\$0-10,000	391	184	156	78	188	997
\$10,000-20,000	551	353	87	74	29	1,094
\$20,000-30,000 \$30,000-40,000	388 303	153 56	137 144	106 159	191 34	975 696
\$40,000-50,000	136	81	34	107	10	368
\$50,000-60,000	120	158	41	17	55	391
\$60,000-75,000	66	145	136	12 56	29	388
\$75,000-100,000 \$100,000-125,000	49 22	132 40	94 68	50 9	6 25	337 164
\$125,000-150,000	18	52	36	7	5	118
\$150,000-200,000	20	17	12	9	20	78
\$200,000+	<u>9</u>	33	14	13	2	78
Total		1,404				



HETA DO C		Date		arket A	2	
2020 All rights reser		Data	N	larket Are		red by Clantz
	P	ercent Rer				
	Pa	Age 15 se Year: 201	to 54 Year			
-	1-Person	2-Person	3-Person	4-Person	5 -Person	-
		Household	Household			Total
\$0-10,000	5.1%	3.5%	4.2%	2.1%	5.0%	19.9%
\$10,000-20,000 \$20,000-30,000	2.5%	7.2%	1.4%	2.0%	0.8%	13.8% 17.4%
\$30,000-40,000	5.4%	0.7%	3.9%	3.7%	0.7%	14.2%
\$40,000-50,000	1.3%	1.6%	0.6%	2.3%	0.2%	5.9%
\$50,000-60,000	2.4%	3.4%	1.0%	0.3%	0.8%	8.0%
\$60,000-75,000 \$75,000-100,000	1.3% 0.1%	2.3%	2.8%	0.2%	0.7%	7.3% 6.8%
\$100,000-125,000	0.0%	0.1%	1.7%	0.1%	0.2%	2.2%
\$125,000-150,000	0.2%	1.1%	0.8%	0.1%	0.0%	2.3%
\$150,000-200,000 \$200,000+	0.1%	0.1%	0.2% 0.4%	0.2% 0.3%	0.5%	1.1%
					<u>0.1%</u>	
Total	22.6%	25.2%	22.9%	15.1%	14.2%	100.0%
	P	ercent Rer	ter House	holds		
	4		55+ Years			
	Ba	se Year: 201		timates		
-	1-Person	2-Person	3-Person	d-Person	5 -Person	
		Household				Total
\$0-10,000	10,1%	2.7%	0.2%	0.1%	0.2%	13.3%
\$10,000-20,000 \$20,000-30,000	22.7% 11.7%	4.4%	0.5%	0.1%	0.0%	29.1% 16.7%
\$30,000-40,000	5.3%	1.6%	0.1%	1.2%	0.4%	8.7%
\$40,000-50,000	4.4%	1.1%	0.6%	1.2%	0.2%	7.6%
\$50,000-60,000 \$60,000-75,000	1.5% 0.9%	1.6%	0.2% 1.6%	0.3%	1.2% 0.2%	4.8%
\$75,000-100,000	2.2%	1.4%	0.4%	0.2%	0.2%	4.3%
\$100,000-125,000	1.1%	1.8%	0.2%	0.2%	0.8%	4.1%
\$125,000-150,000	0.5%	0.5%	0.3%	0.1%	0.2%	1.6%
\$150,000-200,000 \$200,000+	0.7%	0.6%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	1.8%
Total	61.6%	23.7%	6.0%	4.6%	4.1%	100.0%
Totai	01.070	23.7%	0.0%	4.070	4.170	100.0%
	P	ercent Rer	nter House	holds		
		Aged	62+ Years			
	Ba	se Year: 201		timates		
	1-Person	2-Person	3-Person	4-Person	5 Person	
\$0-10,000	9.8%	Liousehold 1.3%	Household 0.2%	Household 0.1%	Household 0.3%	Total 11.7%
\$10,000-20,000	26.7%	5.3%	2.4%	0.1%	0.1%	34.5%
\$20,000-30,000	12.2%	4.6%	0.3%	0,2%	0.3%	17.6%
\$30,000-40,000	3.1%	2.1%	0.1%	1.7%	0.6%	7.6%
\$40,000-50,000 \$50,000-60,000	4.8% 1.6%	1.5%	0.8%	0.1%	0.1%	7.4% 4.4%
\$60,000-75,000	1.1%	1.8%	0.4%	0.2%	0.2%	3.7%
\$75,000-100,000	1.9%	1.2%	0.3%	0.1%	0.1%	3.7%
\$100,000-125,000	1.5%	2.3%	0.2%	0.3%	0.1%	4.3%
\$125,000-150,000 \$150,000-200,000	0.5%	0.4%	0.4%	0.1%	0.3%	1.7%
\$200,000+	0.3%	1.9%	0.0%	0.1%	0.1%	2.3%
Total	63.7%	24.6%	5.4%	3.5%	2.8%	100.0%
	00000		200			2001
	P	ercent Rer		eholds		
			ge Groups			
-	1-Person	se Year: 201 2-Person	3-Person	4-Person	5 -Person	-
		Llousehold				Total
\$0-10,000	6.9%	3.2%	2.7%	1.4%	3.3%	17.5%
\$10,000-20,000	9.7%	6.2%	1.5%	1.3%	0.5%	19.2%
\$20,000-30,000 \$30,000-40,000	6.8% 5.3%	2.7%	2.4% 2.5%	1.9%	3.4% 0.6%	17.2% 12.2%
\$40,000-50,000	2.4%	1.4%	0.6%	1.9%	0.0%	6.5%
\$50,000-60,000	2.1%	2.8%	0.7%	0.3%	1.0%	6.9%
\$60,000-75,000	1.2%	2.6%	2.4%	0.2%	0.5%	6.8%
	0.9%	2.3% 0.7%	1.7%	1.0%	0.1%	5.9% 2.9%
\$75,000-100,000				M. 470	W. + 70	970
\$75,000-100,000 \$100,000-125,000	0.4%			0.1%	0.1%	2.1%
\$75,000-100,000 \$100,000-125,000 \$125,000-150,000 \$150,000-200,000	0.4% 0.3% 0.4%	0.9% 0.3%	0.6% 0.2%		0.1% 0.4%	2.1% 1.4%
\$75,000-100,000 \$100,000-125,000 \$125,000-150,000	0.3%	0.9%	0.6%	0.1%		



		Owner	Househol	ds		
		Age 15	to 54 Year	s		
	Ba	se Year: 201	1 - 2015 Es	timates		
	1-Person	2-Person	3=Person	4-Person	5 Person	
	Household	Household	Household	Household	Household	Total
\$0-10,000	27	129	61	.51	0	268
\$10,000-20,000	55	47	56	16	59	233
\$20,000-30,000	132	99	21	33	23	308
\$30,000-40,000	133	113	75	127	15	463
\$40,000-50,000	160	103	95	85	37	480
\$50,000-60,000	101	171	242	30	70	614
\$60,000-75,000	25	143	141	158	156	623
\$75,000-100,000	85	139	284	248	112	868
\$100,000-125,000	7	139	114	185	191	636
\$125,000-150,000	42	16	118	103	42	321
\$150,000-200,000	6	102	107	80	32	327
\$200,000+	4	77	26	16	10	133
Total	777	1,278	1,340	1,132	747	5,274

Aged 55+ Years Base Year: 2011 - 2015 Estimates Lota \$0-10,000 \$10,000-20,000 436 774 894 916 696 580 744 620 217 413 341 376 191 86 156 74 31 57 27 161 228 376 368 363 436 419 401 139 76 <u>46</u> 21 42 40 66 37 32 49 42 52 28 13 10 4 25 66 136 \$20,000-30,000 \$30,000-40,000 \$40,000-50,000 1 38 33 60 67 94 72 74 86 24 22 \$50,000-60,000 \$60,000-75,000 \$75,000-100,000 5 31 11 36 3 \$100,000-125,000 \$125,000-150,000 \$150,000-200,000 606 251 141 3 \$200,000+ 11 16 3 86

Total 1,985 3,389 745 432 193 6,744 **Owner Households** Aged 62+ Years Base Year: 2011 - 2015 Estimates on 2-Person 3-Person 4-old Household Llousehold Llou Total ehold 181 289 286 221 121 46 114 59 29 48 9 <u>9</u> 27 37 113 343 567 722 677 512 368 497 378 350 163 \$0-10.000 125 195 287 328 309 249 293 226 259 64 41 <u>17</u> 6 4 \$10,000-20,000 \$20,000-30,000 \$30,000-40,000 40 35 56 34 24 43 26 40 6 31 41 28 44 22 57 22 21 8 <u>3</u> \$30,000-40,000 \$40,000-50,000 \$50,000-60,000 \$60,000-75,000 \$75,000-100,000 \$100,000-125,000 \$125,000-150,000 20 5 25 10 0 28 2 \$150,000-200,000 \$200,000+ 61 <u>32</u> 2 à 1 Total 1,412 2,393 423 106 4,670 336

Owner Households All Age Groups Base Year: 2011 - 2015 Estimates 4-Pers 2-Per \$0-10,000 \$10,000-20,000 \$20,000-30,000 244 468 473 509 351 187 181 159 38 99 33 20 290 275 475 489 471 534 579 558 540 155 178 123 94 122 157 135 162 336 213 358 200 142 129 <u>37</u> 72 58 73 193 122 62 207 290 237 131 93 <u>26</u> 704 1,007 1,202 1,379 1,176 1,194 1,367 1,488 1,242 572 468 219 84 24 53 70 75 187 123 227 45 35 <u>13</u> \$20,000-30,000 \$30,000-40,000 \$40,000-50,000 \$50,000-60,000 \$50,000-100,000 \$100,000-125,000 \$125,000-150,000 \$150,000-150,000 \$150,000-200,000 \$200,000+ Total 2,762 4,667 2,085 1,564 940 12,018



HETA						
IISTA 2.2 Su 2020 All rights reser		Data	N	larket Are		ed by Clarit
and the station of the		ercent Ow	ner Hous	eholds	1.000	and the second s
			5 to 54 Year			
_			11 - 2015 Es			_
	1-Person Housebold	2-Person Household	3-Person Household	4-Person	5 Person	Total
\$0-10,000	0.5%	2.4%	1.2%	1.0%	0.0%	5.1%
\$10,000-20,000	1.0%	0.9%	1.1%	0.3%	1.1%	4.4%
\$20,000-30,000	2.5%	1.9%	0.4%	0.6%	0.4%	5.8%
\$30,000-40,000	2.5%	2.1%	L.4%	2.4%	0.3%	8.8%
\$40,000-50,000 \$50,000-60,000	3.0%	2.0%	1.8%	1.6%	0.7%	9.1% 11.6%
\$60,000-75,000	0.5%	2.7%	2.7%	3.0%	3.0%	11.8%
\$75,000-100,000	1.6%	2.6%	5.4%	4.7%	2.1%	16.5%
\$100,000-125,000	0.1%	2.6%	2.2%	3.5%	3.6%	12.1%
\$125,000-150,000	0.8%	0.3%	2.2%	2.0%	0.8%	6.1%
\$150,000-200,000 \$200,000+	0.1%	1.9% 1.5%	2.0% 0.5%	1.5% 0.3%	0.6% 0.2%	6.2% 2.5%
Total	14.7%	24.2%	25.4%	21.5%	14.2%	100.0%
	P	ercent Ow	ner Hous	eholds		-
	1.		55+ Years	enorus		
	Ba		11 - 2015 Es	timates		
	1-Herson	2-Person	3-Person	I-Person	5)-Person	
\$0-10,000	Tousehold 3.2%	Household 2.4%	Household 0.5%	Household 0.3%	Household 0.1%	Lotal 6.5%
\$10,000-20,000	6.1%	3.4%	1.0%	0.5%	0.1%	11.5%
\$20,000-30,000	5.1%	5.6%	2.0%	0.6%	0.0%	13.3%
\$30,000-40,000	5.6%	5.6%	0.9%	1.0%	0.6%	13.6%
\$40,000-50,000	2.8%	5.5%	1.0%	0.5%	0.5%	10.3%
\$50,000-60,000 \$60,000-75,000	1,3% 2.3%	5.4% 6.5%	1.4% 1.1%	0.5%	0.1%	8.6% 11.0%
\$75,000-100,000	1.1%	6.2%	1.1%	0.7%	0.3%	9.2%
100,000-125,000	0.5%	5.9%	1.3%	0.8%	0.5%	9.0%
125,000-150,000	0.8%	2.1%	0.4%	0.4%	0.0%	3.7%
\$150,000-200,000	0.4%	1.1%	0.3%	0.2%	0.0%	2.1%
\$200,000+	0.2%	0.7%	0.2%	0.1%	0.0%	1.3%
Total	29.4%	50.3%	11.0%	6.4%	2.9%	100.0%
	n	10	11	4.44		
	P		ner House 62+ Years	enolas		
	Ba		11 - 2015 Es	timates		
	1-Person	2-Person	3-Person	4-Person	5+-Person	-
			Household			Total
\$0-10,000 \$10,000-20,000	3.9% 6.2%	2.7% 4.2%	0.6%	0.1%	0.1%	7.3%
\$20,000-30,000	6.1%	6.1%	2.4%	0.2%	0.1%	15.5%
\$30,000-40,000	4.7%	7.0%	0.9%	1.2%	0.7%	14.5%
\$40,000-50,000	2.6%	6.6%	0.6%	0.7%	0.4%	11.0%
\$50,000-60,000	1.0%	5.3%	0.9%	0.5%	0.1%	7.9%
\$60,000-75,000 \$75,000-100,000	2.4% 1.3%	6.3% 4.8%	0.5% 1.2%	0.9%	0.5%	10.6%
\$100,000-125,000	0.6%	4.8%	0.5%	0.0%	0.2%	8.1%
\$125,000-150,000	1.0%	1.4%	0.4%	0.6%	0.0%	3.5%
\$150,000-200,000	0.2%	0.9%	0,2%	0.0%	0.0%	1.3%
\$200,000+	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.7%
Total	30.2%	51.2%	9.1%	7.2%	2.3%	100.0%
	Pe	ercent Ow	ner Hous	eholds		-
			ge Groups			
	10.12	se Year: 201	11 - 2015 Es	A CONTRACTOR OF THE OWNER		
	1-Person	2-Person	3-Person	4-Person	5 -Person	
			Household			Total
\$0-10,000 \$10,000-20,000	2.0%	2.4% 2.3%	0.8%	0.6%	0.0%	5.9% 8.4%
\$20,000-30,000	3.9%	4.0%	1.3%	0.5%	0.2%	10.0%
\$30,000-40,000	4.2%	4.1%	1.1%	1.6%	0.4%	11.5%
	2.9%	3.9%	1.3%	1.0%	0.6%	9.8%
\$40,000-50,000	1.6%	4.4%	2.8%	0.5%	0.6%	9.9%
\$40,000-50,000 \$50,000-60,000			1.8%	1.7%	1.6%	11.4%
\$40,000-50,000 \$50,000-60,000 \$60,000-75,000	1.5%	4.8%		A		
\$40,000-50,000 \$50,000-60,000 \$60,000-75,000 \$75,000-100,000	1.5% 1.3%	4.6%	3.0%	2.4%	1.0%	12.4%
\$40,000-50,000 \$50,000-60,000 \$60,000-75,000 \$75,000-100,000 \$100,000-125,000	1.5% 1.3% 0.3%	4.6% 4.5%	3.0% 1.7%	2.0%	1.9%	10.3%
\$40,000-50,000 \$50,000-60,000 \$60,000-75,000 \$75,000-100,000	1.5% 1.3%	4.6%	3.0%			
\$40,000-50,000 \$50,000-60,000 \$60,000-75,000 \$75,000-100,000 \$100,000-125,000 \$125,000-150,000	1.5% 1.3% 0.3% 0.8%	4.6% 4.5% 1.3%	3.0% 1.7% 1.2%	2.0% 1.1%	1.9% 0.4%	10.3% 4.8%



2020 All rights reser		Data	N	larket Are		ereft by Crail
The second second	NT P	Renter	Househol	ds	. 544	
			to 54 Year			
			20 Estimate			_
	1-Person Tousehold	2-Person Household	3-Person Household	4-Person Household	5-Person Household	i Total
\$0-10,000	130	75	88	80	162	535
\$10,000-20,000	59	292	48	86	31	516
\$20,000-30,000	91	62	126	79	144	502
\$30,000-40,000	198 67	7 80	117 40	85 100	34 15	441 302
\$40,000-50,000 \$50,000-60,000	111	98	51	12	39	311
\$60,000-75,000	71	95	109	5	33	313
\$75,000-100,000	3	141	109	47	4	304
\$100,000-125,000	2	4	78	5	9	98
\$125,000-150,000	7	15	42	6	7	77
\$150,000-200,000 \$200,000+	7 <u>14</u>	4	10 12	7	25 11	53 56
Total	760	885	830	519	514	3,508
- van	140		000			04000
		Renter	Househol	ds		
			55+ Years	2		
-	1-Person	Year 20. .2-Person	20 Estimate 3-Person	s 4-Person	5 -Person	
1	Tousehold			Household		
\$0-10,000	198	52	7	3	2	262
\$10,000-20,000	539	72	50	4	9	674
\$20,000-30,000 \$30,000-40,000	265 109	59 16	11 4	14	10 10	359 153
\$40,000-50,000	133	31	13	39	6	222
\$50,000-60,000	35	43	5	3	21	107
\$60,000-75,000	27	88	30	5	5	155
\$75,000-100,000	72	31	19	6	2	130
\$100,000-125,000	42	33	6	5	17	103
\$125,000-150,000 \$150,000-200,000	32 43	8 21	13 4	4 2	5 2	62 72
\$200,000+	39	19	6	6	2	72
Total	1,534	473	168	105	91	2,371
					144	
		Renter	Househol	ds		
			62+ Years			
	1 Dar	Year 20. 2-Person	20 Estimate		E D	
	1-Person Jousehold		3-Person Household	4-Person Household	5 -Person Household	l Total
\$0-10,000	123	12	5	3	1	144
\$10,000-20,000	458	59	48	3	8	576
\$20,000-30,000	206	51	5	4	9	275
\$30,000-40,000	61	16	3	14	8	102
\$40,000-50,000 \$50,000-60,000	112	28 35	12	3	3	158 76
\$60,000-75,000	27	32	5	3	5	70
\$75,000-100,000	60	16	15	5	2	98
\$100,000-125,000	41	28	5	4	4	82
\$125,000-150,000	27	6	10	3	3	49
\$150,000-200,000 \$200,000+	31 29	17	3	2	2	55 46
Total	1,202	<u>11</u> 311	4 119	1 48	1 53	46 1,733
Total	1,202	511	11.9	40		1,/33
			Househol	ds		
			ge Groups			
-	1-Person	Year 20.	20 Estimate	s d-Person	5 -Person	
	lousehold	Household		Household	Household	l Iotal
\$0-10,000	328	127	95	83	164	797
\$10,000-20,000	598	364	98	90	40	1,190
\$20,000-30,000	356	121	137	93	154	861
\$30,000-40,000	307 200	23 111	121 53	99 139	44 21	594 524
\$40,000-50,000 \$50,000-60,000	200	141	56	139	60	524 418
\$60,000-75,000	98	183	139	10	38	468
\$75,000-100,000	75	172	128	53	6	434
\$100,000-125,000	44	37	84	10	26	201
\$125,000-150,000	39	23	55	10	12	139
\$150,000-200,000	50	25	14	9	27	125
	53	31	18	13	13	128
\$200,000+	_		998	_		5,879



	n		e contractor			
	Pe	ercent Ren				
		0	to 54 Year 20 Estimate			
	1-Person	2-Person	3-Person	4-Person	5-Person	-
		Household				Total
\$0-10,000	3.7%	2.1%	2.5%	2.3%	4.6%	15.3%
\$10,000-20,000	1.7%	8.3%	1.4%	2.5%	0.9%	14.7%
\$20,000-30,000	2.6%	1.8%	3.6%	2.3%	4.1%	14.3%
\$30,000-40,000	5.6%	0.2%	3.3%	2.4%	1.0%	12.6%
\$40,000-50,000	1.9%	2.3%	1.1%	2.9%	0.4%	8.6%
\$50,000-60,000	3.2%	2.8%	1.5%	0.3%	1.1%	8.9% 8.9%
\$60,000-75,000 \$75,000-100,000	0.1%	4.0%	3.1%	1.3%	0.9%	8.9%
\$100,000-125,000	0.1%	4.0%	2.2%	0.1%	0.1%	2.8%
\$125,000-150,000	0.1%	0.1%	1.2%	0.2%	0.2%	2.2%
\$150,000-200,000	0.2%	0.1%	0.3%	0.2%	0.7%	1.5%
\$200.000÷	0.4%	0.3%	0.3%	0.2%	0.3%	1.6%
Total	21.7%	25.2%	23.7%	14.8%	14.7%	100.0%
Totat	41.770	43.4 70	23.770	14.0 70	14.7.70	100.0-70
	P	ercent Ren	ter House	holds		-
			55+ Years	inormo		
			20 Estimate	s		
_	1-Person	2-Person	3-Person	4-Person	5 Person	
	Household	Household	Household			Total
\$0-10,000	8.4%	2.2%	0.3%	0.1%	0.1%	11.1%
\$10,000-20,000	22.7%	3.0%	2.1%	0.2%	0.4%	28.4%
\$20,000-30,000	11.2%	2.5%	0.5%	0.6%	0.4%	15.1%
\$30,000-40,000	4.6%	0.7%	0.2%	0.6%	0.4%	6.5%
\$40,000-50,000	5.6%	1.3%	0.5%	1,6%	0.3%	9.4%
\$50,000-60,000	1.5%	1.8%	0.2%	0.1%	0.9%u	4.5%
\$60,000-75,000	1.1%	3.7%	1.3%	0.2%	0.2%	6.5%
\$75,000-100,000	3.0%	1.3%	0.8%	0.3%	0.1%	5.5%
\$100,000-125,000 \$125,000-150,000	1.8%	1.4%	0.3%	0.2%	0.7%	4.3%
\$150,000-200,000	1.8%	0.9%	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%	3.0%
\$200,000+	1.6%	0.8%	0.2%	0.1%	0.1%	3.0%
						1
Total	64.7%	19.9%	7.1%	4.4%	3.8%	100.0%
	p.	ercent Ren	tar House	holds		
			62+ Years	nonus		
		0	20 Estimate	e		
	1-Person	2-Person	3-Person	4-Person	5 -Person	-
	Household	Household	Household	Household	Household	Total
\$0-10,000	7.1%	0.7%	0.3%	0.2%	0.1%	8.3%
\$10,000-20,000	26.4%	3.4%	2.8%	0.2%	0.5%	33.2%
\$20,000-30,000	11.9%	2.9%	0.3%	0.2%	0.5%	15.9%
\$30,000-40,000	3.5%	0.9%	0.2%	0.8%	0.5%	5.9%
\$40,000-50,000	6.5%	1.6%	0.7%	0.2%	0.2%	9.1%
\$50,000-60,000	1.6%	2.0%	0.2%	0.2%	0.4%	4.4%
\$60,000-75,000 \$75,000-100,000	1.6%	1.8%	0.3%	0.2%	0.3%	4.2%
\$100,000-125,000	2.4%	1.6%	0.3%	0.3%	0.1%	4.7%
\$125,000-150,000	1.6%	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%	0.2%	4.7%
\$150,000-200,000	1.8%	1.0%	0.0%	0.2%	0.2%	3.2%
\$200,000+	1.7%	0.6%	0.2%	0.1%	0.1%	2.7%
Total	69.4%	17.9%	6.9%	2.8%	3.1%	100.0%

All Age Groups Year 2020 Estimates 3-Person 4-Person 5 Person Household Household Household 2-Person Household \$0-10,000 \$10,000-20,000 \$20,000-30,000 \$40,000-50,000 \$60,000-75,000 \$75,000-100,000 \$100,000-125,000 \$125,000-150,000 \$125,000-150,000 \$200,000+ $\begin{array}{c} 5.6\% \\ 10.2\% \\ 6.1\% \\ 5.2\% \\ 3.4\% \\ 2.5\% \\ 1.7\% \\ 1.3\% \\ 0.7\% \\ 0.7\% \\ 0.9\% \\ 0.9\% \\ 0.9\% \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} 2.2\% \\ 6.2\% \\ 2.1\% \\ 0.4\% \\ 1.9\% \\ 2.4\% \\ 0.6\% \\ 0.6\% \\ 0.6\% \\ 0.4\% \\ 0.4\% \\ 0.4\% \\ 0.5\% \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} 1.6\% \\ 1.7\% \\ 2.3\% \\ 2.1\% \\ 0.9\% \\ 1.0\% \\ 2.4\% \\ 2.2\% \\ 1.4\% \\ 0.9\% \\ 0.2\% \\ 0.2\% \\ 0.3\% \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} 1.4\% \\ 1.5\% \\ 1.6\% \\ 1.6\% \\ 1.7\% \\ 2.4\% \\ 0.3\% \\ 0.2\% \\ 0.$ $\begin{array}{c} 2.8\% \\ 0.7\% \\ 2.6\% \\ 0.7\% \\ 0.4\% \\ 1.0\% \\ 0.6\% \\ 0.1\% \\ 0.4\% \\ 0.1\% \\ 0.4\% \\ 0.2\% \\ 0.2\% \\ 0.5\% \\ 0.2\% \end{array}$ 13.6% 20.2% 14.6% 10.1% 8.9% 7.1% 8.0% 7.4% 3.4% 2.4% 2.1% 2.2% Total 39.0% 23.1% 17.0% 10.6% 10.3% 100.0%



IISTA 2.2 Su	mmarv	Data	N	larket Are	a	
2020 All rights reser			12			d by Clarr
			Househol			
			to 54 Year 20 Estimate			
-	1-Person	2-Person	3-Person	4-Person	5+-Person	_
1				Household		Total
\$0-10,000	11	79	59	36	2	187
\$10,000-20,000	42	34	54	6	59	195
\$20,000-30,000 \$30,000-40,000	110 123	79 92	10 65	35 122	12 24	246 426
\$40,000-50,000	123	146	94	94	53	544
\$50,000-60,000	65	133	202	15	78	493
\$60,000-75,000	20	93	111	124	105	453
\$75,000-100,000	66	108	223	208	87	692
\$100,000-125,000 \$125,000-150,000	5 54	148 25	124 150	288 108	215 95	780 432
\$150,000-200,000	8	127	129	76	48	388
\$200,000÷	10	30	68	16	28	152
Total	671	1,094	1,289	1,128	806	4,988
Total	0/1	1,094	1,209	1,120	800	4,200
		Owner	Househol	ds		
				us		
			55+ Years			
-	-		20 Estimate	_		
	1-Person	2-Person	3-Person	4-Person	5 -Person	The
\$0-10,000	lousehold 187	Household 107	Household 28	Household 28	Hausehold	Lotal 352
\$10,000-20,000	425	184	64	33	18	724
\$20,000-30,000	341	360	165	35	2	903
\$30,000-40,000	323	283	55	53	22	736
\$40,000-50,000	225	447	109	47	34	862
\$50,000-60,000 \$60,000-75,000	98 221	366 544	92 93	31 50	10 41	597 949
\$75,000-100,000	111	647	109	50	41 9	949
\$100,000-125,000	39	445	67	53	10	614
\$125,000-150,000	113	205	47	52	2	419
\$150,000-200,000	53	135	30	42	2	262
\$200,000+	<u>49</u>	104	31	13	4	201
Total	2,185	3,827	890	494	156	7,552
		Owner	Househol	ds		
		Aged	62+Years			
		Year 20	20 Estimate	s		
	1-Person	2-Person	3-Person	4-Person	5+-Person	
				Llousehold		Total
\$0-10,000	151	76	24	11	1	263
\$10,000-20,000 \$20,000-30,000	323 302	163 261	36 127	32 31	10 2	564 723
\$30,000-40,000	182	230	31	40	16	499
\$40,000-50,000	168	401	32	39	20	660
\$50,000-60.000	56	288	53	25	9	431
\$60,000-75,000	160	381	36	42	32	651
\$75,000-100,000	92	398	90	35	9	624
\$100,000-125,000 \$125,000-150,000	37 101	299 87	29 41	45 47	0	410 277
\$150,000-200,000	32	95	14	11	2	154
\$200,000+	38	61	17	2	2	120
Total	1,642	2,740	530	360	104	5,376
		Owner	Househol	ds		
			ge Groups			
			20 Estimate	s		
-	1-Person	2-Person	3-Person	4-Person	54-Person	
				Llousehold		Total
\$0-10,000	198	186	87	64	4	539
\$10,000-20,000	467	218	118	39	77	919
\$20,000-30,000	451	439	175	70	14	1,149
\$30,000-40,000	446	375	120	175	46	1,162
\$40,000-50,000 \$50,000-60,000	382 163	593 499	203 294	141 46	87 88	1,406
\$60,000-75,000	241	637	294	40	146	1,090 1,402
\$75,000-100,000	177	755	332	265	96	1,625
\$100,000-125,000	44	593	191	341	225	1,394
\$125,000-150,000	167	230	197	160	97	851
\$150,000-200,000	61	262	159	118	50	650
\$200,000+	59	134	<u>99</u>	<u>29</u>	32	353
Total	2,856	4,921	2,179	1,622	962	12,540



	P	ercent Ow	ner Hous	eholds		
		Age 15	to 54 Year	s		
		Year 20	20 Estimate	s		
-	I-Person	2-Person Household	3-Person Howehold	4-Person Household	5 -Person Hourahold	Total
\$0-10.000	0.2%	L6%	1.2%	0.7%	0.0%	3.7%
\$10,000-20,000	0.8%	0.7%	1.1%	0.1%	1.2%	3.9%
\$20,000-30,000	2.2%	1.6%	0.2%	0.7%	0.2%	4.9%
\$30,000-40,000	2.5%	1.8%	1.3%	2.4%	0.5%	8.5%
\$40,000-50,000	3.1%	2.9%	1.9%	1.9%	1.1%	10.9%
\$50,000-60,000	1.3%	2.7%	4.0%	0.3%	1.6%	9.9%
\$60,000-75,000	0.4%	1.9%	2.2%	2.5%	2.1%	9.1%
\$75,000-100,000	1.3%	2.2%	4.5%	4.2%	1.7%	13.9%
\$100,000-125,000	0.1%	3.0%	2.5%	5.8%	4.3%	15.6%
\$125,000-150,000	I.1%	0.5%	3.0%	2.2%	1.9%	8.7%
\$150,000-200,000	0.2%	2.5%	2.6%	1.5%	1.0%	7.8%
\$200,000+	0.2%	0.6%	1.4%	0.3%	0.6%	3.0%
Total	13.5%	21.9%	25.8%	22.6%	16.2%	100.0%
	P	ercent Ow	ner Hous	eholds		-

-	1-Person Household	2-Person Household	3-Person Household	4-Person Household	51-Person Household	Total
\$0-10,000	2.5%	1.4%	0.4%	0.4%	0.0%	4.7%
\$10,000-20,000	5.6%	2.4%	0.8%	0.4%	0.2%	9.6%
\$20,000-30,000	4.5%	4.8%	2.2%	0.5%	0.0%	12.0%
\$30,000-40,000	4.3%	3.7%	0.7%	0.7%	0.3%	9.7%
\$40,000-50,000	3.0%	5.9%	1.4%	0.6%	0.5%	11.4%
\$50,000-60,000	1.3%	4.8%	1.2%	0.4%	0.1%	7.9%
\$60,000-75,000	2.9%	7.2%	1.2%	0.7%	0.5%	12.6%
\$75,000-100,000	1.5%	8.6%	1.4%	0.8%	0.1%	12.4%
\$100,000-125,000	0.5%	5.9%	0.9%	0.7%	0.1%	8.1%
\$125,000-150,000	1.5%	2.7%	0.6%	0.7%	0.0%	5.5%
\$150,000-200,000	0.7%	1.8%	0.4%	0.6%	0.0%	3.5%
\$200,000+	0.6%	1.4%	0.4%	0.2%	0.1%	2.7%
Total	28.9%	50.7%	11.8%	6.5%	2.1%	100.0%

Percent Owner Households Aged 62+ Years Year 2020 Estimates n 2-Person 3-Person 4-Person 5+-Person old Household Household Household
 Total

 4.9%

 10.5%

 13.4%

 9.3%

 12.3%

 8.0%

 12.1%

 11.6%

 7.6%

 5.2%

 2.9%

 2.2%
 \$0-10,000 \$10,000-20,000 \$20,000-30,000 \$30,000-40,000 \$40,000-50,000 \$50,000-75,000 \$75,000-100,000 \$100,000-125,000 \$125,000-150,000 \$150,000-200,000 \$200,000+ $\begin{array}{c} 2.8\% \\ 6.0\% \\ 5.6\% \\ 3.4\% \\ 3.1\% \\ 1.0\% \\ 3.0\% \\ 1.7\% \\ 0.7\% \\ 0.6\% \\ 0.6\% \\ 0.6\% \\ 0.6\% \\ 0.7\% \\ 0.6\% \\ 0.7\% \\ 0.6\% \\ 0.7\% \\ 0.6\% \\ 0.7\% \\ 0.0\% \\ 0.$ $\begin{array}{c} 1.4\% \\ 3.0\% \\ 4.9\% \\ 4.3\% \\ 7.5\% \\ 5.4\% \\ 7.1\% \\ 7.4\% \\ 5.6\% \\ 1.6\% \\ 1.8\% \\ 1.1\% \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} 0.4\% \\ 0.7\% \\ 2.4\% \\ 0.6\% \\ 0.6\% \\ 1.0\% \\ 0.7\% \\ 1.7\% \\ 0.5\% \\ 0.8\% \\ 0.8\% \\ 0.3\% \\ 0.3\% \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} 0.2\%\\ 0.6\%\\ 0.6\%\\ 0.7\%\\ 0.7\%\\ 0.5\%\\ 0.8\%\\ 0.7\%\\ 0.8\%\\ 0.9\%\\ 0.9\%\\ 0.2\%\\ 0.2\%\\ 0.0\%\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} 0.0\% \\ 0.2\% \\ 0.0\% \\ 0.3\% \\ 0.4\% \\ 0.2\% \\ 0.6\% \\ 0.2\% \\ 0.0\% \\ 0.$ 30.5% 9.9% 6.7% 1.9% 100.0% Total 51.0%

	P	ercent Ow	ner House	eholds		
			ge Groups 20 Estimate	s		
	1-Person Household	2-Person Household	3-Person Llousehold	+-Person Household	51-Person Household	Total
\$0-10,000	1.6%	1.5%	0.7%	0.5%	0.0%	4.3%
\$10,000-20,000	3.7%	1.7%	0.9%	0.3%	0.6%	7.3%
\$20,000-30,000	3.6%	3.5%	1.4%	0.6%	0.1%	9.2%
\$30,000-40,000	3.6%	3.0%	1.0%	1.4%	0.4%	9.3%
\$40,000-50,000	3.0%	4.7%	1.6%	1.1%	0.7%	11.2%
\$50,000-60,000	1.3%	4.0%	2.3%	0.4%	0.7%	8.7%
\$60,000-75,000	1.9%	5.1%	1.6%	1.4%	1.2%	11.2%
\$75,000-100,000	1.4%	6.0%	2.6%	2.1%	0.8%	13.0%
\$100,000-125,000	0.4%	4.7%	1.5%	2.7%	1.8%	11.1%
\$125,000-150,000	1.3%	1.8%	1.6%	1.3%	0.8%	6.8%
\$150,000-200,000	0.5%	2.1%	1.3%	0.9%	0.4%	5.2%
\$200,000+	0.5%	1.1%	0.8%	0.2%	0.3%	2.8%
Total	22.8%	39.2%	17.4%	12.9%	7.7%	100.0%



IISTA 2.2 Su 2020 All rights rese		Data	N	larket Are		d by Clarit
rózó wa tiĝata tese	450	Renter	Househol	ds	Powere	a by Clarit
		Age 15	to 54 Year	s		
	_		5 Projection			
	1-Person	2-Person	3-Person	4 Person	5Person	
	Household 132	Household 66	Household 101	Household 89	Household 111	Total 499
\$0-10,000 \$10,000-20,000	55	237	46	64	29	431
\$20,000-30,000	99	51	109	72	144	475
\$30,000-40,000	199	12	102	83	36	432
\$40,000-50,000	71	95	34	98	10	308
\$50,000-60,000 \$60,000-75,000	105 79	100 103	53 99	12	45 20	315 306
\$75,000-100,000	3	154	93	50	2	302
\$100,000-125,000	2	9	73	3	9	96
\$125,000-150,000	15	20	53	9	13.	110
\$150,000-200,000 \$200,000+	13 20	5 14	13 38	8 <u>8</u>	30 17	69 <u>97</u>
Total	and a second					3,440
Totai	793	866	814	501	466	3,440
		Renter	Househol	ds		
			55+ Years	20		
			5 Projection	ne .		
	1-Person	2-Person	3-Person	4-Person	5Person	
					Household	Lotal
\$0-10,000	203	41	9	5	3	261
\$10,000-20,000	515	67	58	6	6	652
\$20,000-30,000 \$30,000-40,000	285 94	61 11	12 10	10 24	11	379 155
\$40,000-50,000	119	32	19	34	10	215
\$50,000-60,000	46	39	8	6	31	130
\$60,000-75,000	32	101	29	4	8	174
\$75,000-100,000	79	25	22	8	11	145
\$125,000-150,000	42 46	40	12 12	8	27 14	130 91
\$150,000-200,000	55	24	9	10	4	102
\$200,000+	61	22	7	4	12	106
Total	1,577	474	207	128	154	2,540
			Househol	ds		
			62+ Years			
_		CONTRACTOR OF	5 Projection	-	P. 11	_
	1-Person Household	2-Person Household	3-Person Household	+Person Household	5 -Person Household	Total
\$0-10,000	132	10	8	4	2	156
\$10,000-20,000	446	57	55	5	5	568
\$20,000-30,000	223	54	6	1	8	292
\$30,000-40,000 \$40,000-50,000	56 102	11 29	8 15	22 5	15 8	112 159
\$50,000-60,000	33	33	7	6	7	86
\$60,000-75,000	31	42	5	3	7	88
\$75,000-100,000	66	12	17	6	8	109
\$100,000-125,000 \$125,000-150,000	40 39	37 10	10	6	4	97 74
\$150,000-200,000	38	19	7	6	3	73
\$200,000+	42	15	6	<u>0</u>	3	66
Total	1,248	329	155	70	78	1,880
		-				
			Househol	ds		
			ge Groups			
	1-Person	Statement of the local division of the local	5 Projection 3-1 enson	15 4-Person	5Person	_
		2-Person Household			Household	Total
\$0-10,000	335	107	110	94	114	760
\$10,000-20,000	570	304	104	70	35	1,083
\$20,000-30,000	384	112	121	82	155	854
\$30,000-40,000 \$40,000-50,000	293 190	23 127	112 53	107 132	52 21	587 523
\$50,000-60,000	151	139	61	18	76	445
\$60,000-75,000	111	204	128	9	28	480
\$75,000-100,000	82	179	115	58	13	447
\$100,000-125,000 \$125,000-150,000	44 61	49 31	85 65	12 17	36 27	226 201
\$150,000-200,000	68	29	22	18	34	171
5150,000-200,000						
\$200,000+	81	36	45	12	29	203



1ISTA 2.2 Su 2020 All rights reser		Data	M	larket Are		red by Clarit
and the second second	-	ercent Rer	nter House	eholds	(selle	
			to 54 Year			
-	1-Person	Year 202 2-Person	5 Projection 3-Person	15 4 Person	5-Person	_
			Household			Total
\$0-10,000	3.8%	1.9%	2.9%	2.6%	3.2%	14.5%
\$10,000-20,000	1.6%	6.9%	1.3%	1.9%	0.8%	12.5%
\$20,000-30,000	2.9% 5.8%	1.5%	3.2%	2.1%	4.2% 1.0%	13.8% 12.6%
\$30,000-40,000 \$40,000-50,000	2.1%	2.8%	1.0%	2.4%	0.3%	9.0%
\$50,000-60,000	3.1%	2.9%	1.5%	0.3%	1.3%	9.2%
\$60,000-75.000	2.3%	3.0%	2.9%	0.1%	0.6%	8.9%
\$75,000-100,000	0.1%	4.5%	2.7%	1.5%	0.1%	8.8%
\$100,000-125,000	0.1%	0.3%	2.1%	0.1%	0.3%	2.8%
\$125,000-150,000 \$150,000-200,000	0.4% 0.4%	0.6%	1.5% 0.4%	0.3% 0.2%	0.4%	3.2%
\$200,000+	0.4%	0.4%	1.1%	0.2%	0.5%	2.8%
Total	23.1%	25.2%	23.7%	14.6%	13.5%	100.0%
2.000		1998.7				
	Pe		ter House	eholds		
			55+ Years 5 Projection	15		
	1-Person	2-Person	3-Person	4-Person	5Person	-
			Household			Lotal
\$0-10,000	8,0% 20.3%	1.6%	0.4%	0.2%	0.1%	10.3%
\$10,000-20,000 \$20,000-30,000	20.3%	2.6%	0.5%	0.2%	0.2%	25.7%
\$30,000-40,000	3.7%	0.4%	0.3%	0.9%	0.6%	6.1%
\$40,000-50,000	4.7%	1.3%	0.7%	1.3%	0.4%	8.5%
\$50,000-60,000	1.8%	1.5%	0.3%	0.2%	1.2%	5.1%
\$60,000-75,000	1.3%	4.0%	1.1%	0.2%	0.3%	6.9%
\$75,000-100,000	3.1%	1.0%	0.9%	0.3%	0.4%	5.7%
\$100,000-125,000 \$125,000-150,000	1.7%	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%	0.6%	3.6%
\$150,000-200,000	2.2%	0.9%	0.4%	0.4%	0.2%	4.0%
\$200,000+	2.4%	0.9%	0.3%	0.2%	0.5%	4.2%
Total	62.1%	18.7%	8.1%	5.0%	6.1%	100.0%
20120	(reset a)				1.000.000	
	Pe		nter House	eholds		
			62+ Years			
	1-Person	2-Person	25 Projection 3-Person	15 1-Person	5 -Person	_
			Household		Household	Total
\$0-10,000	7.0%	0.5%	0.4%	0.2%	0.1%	8.3%
\$10,000-20,000	23.7%	3.0%	2.9%	0.3%	0.3%	30.2%
\$20,000-30,000	11.9%	2.9%	0.3%	0.1%	0.4%	15.5%
\$30,000-40,000 \$40,000-50,000	3.0% 5.4%	0.6%	0.4%	1.2% 0.3%	0.8%	6.0% 8.5%
\$50,000-60,000	1.8%	1.8%	0.4%	0.3%	0.4%	4.6%
\$60,000-75,000	1.6%	2.2%	0.3%	0.2%	0.4%	4.7%
\$75,000-100,000	3.5%	0.6%	0.9%	0.3%	0.4°o	5.8%
\$100,000-125,000	2.1%	2.0%	0.5%	0.3%	0.2%	5.2%
\$125,000-150,000 \$150,000-200,000	2.1% 2.0%	0.5%	0.6%	0.3%	0.4%	3.9% 3.9%
\$200,000+	2.2%	0.8%	0.4%	0.3%	0.2%	3.5%
Total	66.4%	17.5%	8.2%	3.7%	4.1%	100.0%
	Contract of			Children and		
	Pe		iter House ge Groups	enolds		
		Year 202	5 Projection	15		
	1-Person		3-Person	4-Person	5-Person	1000
\$0-10,000	5.6%	Household 1.8%	Household 1.8%	Household 1.6%	Household 1.9%	1 otal 12.7%
\$10,000-20,000	9.5%	5.1%	1.8%	1.6%	0.6%	12.7%
\$20,000-30,000	6.4%	1.9%	2.0%	1.4%	2.6%	14.3%
\$30,000-40,000	4.9%	0.4%	1.9%	1.8%	0.9%	9.8%
	3.2%	2.1%	0.9%	2.2%	0.4%	8.7%
\$40,000-50,000	2,5%	2.3%	1.0%	0.3%	1.3%	7.4%
\$40,000-50,000 \$50,000-60,000		3.4%	2.1%	0.2% 1.0%	0.5%	8.0% 7.5%
\$40,000-50,000 \$50,000-60,000 \$60,000-75,000	1.9%	2.00			0.2%	1.3%0
\$40,000-50,000 \$50,000-60,000 \$60,000-75,000 \$75,000-100,000	1.4%	3.0%	1.9%			
\$40,000-50,000 \$50,000-60,000 \$60,000-75,000 \$75,000-100,000 \$100,000-125,000	1.4% 0.7%	3.0% 0.8% 0.5%	1.9% 1.4% 1.1%	0.2%	0.6%	3.8%
\$40,000-50,000 \$50,000-60,000 \$60,000-75,000 \$75,000-100,000 \$100,000-125,000 \$125,000-150,000	1.4%	0.8%	1.4%		0.6%	
\$40,000-50,000 \$50,000-60,000 \$60,000-75,000 \$75,000-100,000	1.4% 0.7% 1.0%	0.8% 0.5%	1.4% 1.1%	0.2% 0.3%	0.6% 0.5%	3.8% 3.4%



2020 All rights reser	Veo				Powere	e by Glan
		Owner	Househol	ds		
		Age 15	to 54 Year	s		
		Year 202	5 Projection	ns		
	1-Person	2-Person	3-Person	4-Person	5+ Person	
					Household	Total
\$0-10,000	16	61	55	35	1	168
\$10,000-20,000	32	31 56	31	5 25	52 13	151 199
\$20,000-30,000 \$30,000-40,000	96	20	58	102	23	391
\$40,000-50,000	121	108	65	74	58	425
\$50,000-60,000	70	121	183	21	77	472
\$60,000-75,000	18	74	111	128	97	428
\$75,000-100,000	68	113	237	182	91	691
\$100,000-125,000	5	150	133	276	212	776
\$125,000-150,000	50	24	185	117	98	474
\$150,000-200,000	9	142	152	117	48	468
\$200,000+	15	47	88	24	33	207
Total	620	1,014	1,307	1,106	803	4,850
		Owner	Househol	ds		
		Aged	55+ Years			
			5 Projection	ns		
	1-Person	2-Person	3-Person	4-Person	5+-Person	
	lousehold	Household	Household	Household	Household	Intal
\$0-10,000	180	90	31	31	2	334
\$10,000-20,000	400	160	51	35	14	660
\$20,000-30,000	366	333	153	36	5	893
\$30,000-40,000	331	290	55	50	22	748
\$40,000-50,000	213	435	97	46	32	823
\$50,000-60,000	93	384	100	36	11	624
\$60,000-75,000	231	575	92	44	44	986
\$75,000-100,000	132	709	120	62	9	1,032
\$100,000-125,000	44	482	65	61	9	661 503
\$125,000-150,000	145	236	61	58	3	
\$150,000-200,000 \$200,000+	72 85	185	42	42	2	343
		149	43	33	5	315
Total	2,292	4,028	910	534	158	7,922
		Owner	Househol	ds		
			62+ Years	40		
		0	5 Projection	10		
	1-Person	a case of the second	5-Person	4-Person	5+-Person	_
					Household	Total
\$0-10,000	141	66	30	16	2	255
\$10,000-20,000	316	145	31	33	9	534
\$20,000-30,000	330	261	119	34	4	748
\$30,000-40,000	200	237	32	39	16	524
\$40,000-50,000	165	393	27	43	22	650
\$50,000-60,000	59	323	61	29	11	483
\$60,000-75,000	181	420	39	38	36	714
\$75,000-100,000	112	456	102	46	9	725
\$100,000-125,000	43	344	30	54	2	473
\$125,000-150,000	130	110	56	54	1	351
\$150,000-200,000	44	139	24	15	2	224
\$200,000+	65	<u>91</u>	23	2	3	<u>191</u>
Total	1,786	2,985	574	410	117	5,872

			ge Groups 25 Projection	ns		
-	1-Person Household	2-Person Household	3-Person Household	4-Person Household	5+-Person Household	Total
\$0-10,000	196	151	86	66	3	502
\$10,000-20,000	432	191	82	40	66	811
\$20,000-30,000	462	389	162	61	18	1,092
\$30,000-40,000	452	377	113	152	45	1,139
\$40,000-50,000	333	543	162	120	90	1,248
\$50,000-60,000	163	505	283	57	88	1,096
\$60,000-75,000	249	649	203	172	141	1,414
\$75,000-100,000	200	822	357	244	100	1,723
\$100,000-125,000	49	632	198	337	221	1,437
\$125,000-150,000	195	260	246	175	101	977
\$150,000-200,000	81	327	194	159	50	811
\$200,000+	100	196	131	57	38	522
Total	2,912	5,042	2,217	1,640	961	12,772



	and a					and a second
2020 All rights reser	127	rcent Ow	ner Hous	eholds	Powe	red by Glants
			to 54 Year			
			5 Projection			
	1-Person	2-Person	3-Person	4-Person	5-Person	1. 1. 1. 1.
				Household		Total
\$0-10,000	0.3%	1.3%	1.1%	0.7%	0.0%	3.5%
\$10,000-20,000 \$20,000-30,000	0.7%	0.6° o 1.2%	0.6%	0.1%	1.1%	3.1% 4.1%
\$30,000-40,000	2.5%	1.8%	1.2%	2.1%	0.5%	8.1%
\$40,000-50,000	2.5%	2.2%	1.3%	1.5%	1.2%	8.8%
\$50,000-60,000	1.4%	2.5%	3.8%	0.4%	1.6%	9.7%
\$60,000-75,000	0.4%	1.5%	2.3%	2.6%	2.0%	8.8%
\$75,000-100,000	1.4%	2.3%	4.9%	3.8%	1.9% 4.4%	14.2% 16.0%
\$125,000-150,000	1.0%	0.5%	3.8%	2.4%	2.0%	9.8%
\$150,000-200,000	0.2%	2.9%	3.1%	2.4%	1.0%	9.6%
\$200,000+	0.3%	1.0%	1.8%	0.5%	0.7%	4.3%
Total	12.8%	20.9%	26.9%	22.8%	16.6%	100.0%
	Pe	rcent Ow	ner House	eholds		
		Aged	55+ Years			
		Year 202	5 Projection	15		
-	1-Person	2-Person	3-Person	4-Person	5+-Person	1
				Household		Intal
\$0-10,000	2.3%	1.1%	0.4%	0.4%	0.0%	4.2%
\$10,000-20,000	5.0%	2.0%	0.6%	0.4%	0.2%	8.3% 11.3%
\$20,000-30,000 \$30,000-40,000	4.6%	4.2% 3.7%	0.7%	0.5%	0.1%	9.4%
\$40,000-50,000	2.7%	5.5%	1.2%	0.6%	0.4%	10.4%
\$50,000-60,000	1.2%	4.8%	1.3%	0.5%	0.1%	7.9%
\$60,000-75,000	2.9%	7.3%	1.2%	0.6%	0.6%	12.4%
\$75,000-100,000	1.7%	8.9%	1.5%	0.8%	0.1%	13.0%
\$100,000-125,000 \$125,000-150,000	0.6%	6.1% 3.0%	0.8%	0.8% 0.7%	0.1%	8.3% 6.3%
\$150,000-200,000	0.9%	2.3%	0.5%	0.5%	0.0%	4.3%
\$200,000+	1.1%	1.9%	0.5%	0.4%	0.1%	4.0%
Total	28.9%	50.8%	11.5%	6.7%	2.0%	100.0%
		Loid / L			-10.10	1001070
	Pe	rcent Ow	ner House	eholds		
		Aged	62+ Years			
		Year 202	5 Projection	15		
	1-Person	2-Person	3-Person	4-Person	5+-Person	_
				Household		Total
\$0-10,000	2.4%	1.1%	0.5%	0.3%	0.0%	4.3%
\$10,000-20,000	5.4% 5.6%	2.5%	0.5%	0.6%	0.2%	9.1% 12.7%
\$20,000-30,000 \$30,000-40,000	3.4%	4.0%	0.5%	0.7%	0.3%	8.9%
\$40,000-50,000	2.8%	6.7%	0.5%	0.7%	0.4%	11.1%
\$50,000-60,000	1.0%	5.5%	1.0%	0.5%	0.2%	8.2%
\$60,000-75,000	3,1%	7.2%	0.7%	0.6%	0.6%	12.2%
\$75,000-100,000	1.9%	7.8%	1.7%	0.8%	0.2%	12.3%
\$100,000-125,000 \$125,000-150,000	0.7%	5.9% 1.9%	0.5%	0.9%	0.0% 0.0%	8.1% 6.0%
\$150,000-200,000	0.7%	2.4%	0.4%	0.3%	0.0%	3.8%
\$200,000+	1.1%	1.5%	0.4%	0.2%	0.1%	3.3%
Total	30.4%	50.8%	9.8%	7.0%	2.0%	100.0%
0.47	1.11					1.1
	Pe	rcent Ow	ner Hous	eholds		
		All A	ge Groups			
		Year 202	5 Projection	15		
	1-Person	2-Person	B-Person	4-Person	5+-Person	-
				Household		Total
\$0-10,000 \$10,000-20,000	1.5%	1.2%	0.7%	0.5%	0.0%	3.9% 6.3%
\$20,000-30,000	3.6%	3.0%	1.3%	0.5%	0.5%	8.5%
\$30,000-40,000	3.5%	3.0%	0.9%	1.2%	0.4%	8.9%
\$40,000-50,000	2.6%	4.3%	1.3%	0.9%	0.7%	9.8%
\$50,000-60,000	1.3%	4.0%	2.2%	0.4%	0.7%	8.6%
\$60,000-75,000	1.9%	5.1%	1.6%	1.3%	1.1%	11.1%
\$75,000-100,000 \$100,000-125,000	1.6%	6.4% 4.9%	2.8%	1.9% 2.6%	0.8%	13.5% 11.3%
\$125,000-125,000	1.5%	2.0%	1.0%	1.4%	0.8%	7.6%
	0.6%	2.6%	1.5%	1.2%	0.4%	6.3%
	0.6% 0.8%	2.6% 1.5%	1.5% 1.0%	1.2% 0.4%	0.4%	6.3% 4.1%
\$150,000-200,000						

Total 22.8%

39.5%


17.4%

12.8%

7.5%

100.0%







POPULATION DATA @ 2020 All rights reserved


Claritas

				Percent Po	Market /						
Census 2010				Current	Year Esti	mates - 20	20	Five-Ye	ar Project	tions - 202	25
Age	Male	Female	Total	Age	Male	Female	Total	Age	Male	Female	Total
0 to 4 Years	2.5%	2.6%	5.1%	0 to 4 Years	2.7%	2.5%	5.2%	0 to 4 Years	2.7%	2.6%	5.2%
5 to 9 Years	2.8%	2.7%	5.5%	5 to 9 Years	2.5%	2.5%	5.0%	5 to 9 Years	2.6%	2.5%	5.2%
10 to 14 Years	2.8%	2.7%	5.5%	10 to 14 Years	2.5%	2.5%	4.9%	10 to 14 Years	2.5%	2.5%	5.0%
15 to 17 Years	1.8%	1.6%	3.4%	15 to 17 Years	1.7%	1.6%	3.3%	15 to 17 Years	1.6%	1.6%	3.2%
18 to 20 Years	4.2%	4.3%	8.6%	18 to 20 Years	3.8%	3.6%	7.3%	18 to 20 Years	3.5%	3.4%	6.9%
21 to 24 Years	4.5%	3.3%	7.8%	21 to 24 Years	4.5%	3.3%	7.8%	21 to 24 Years	4.1%	3.0%	7.2%
25 to 34 Years	6.1%	4.8%	10.9%	25 to 34 Years	7.2%	5.4%	12.5%	25 to 34 Years	7.5%	5.6%	13.1%
35 to 44 Years	6.1%	5.5%	11.5%	35 to 44 Years	5.6%	4.6%	10.2%	35 to 44 Years	5.7%	4.9%	10.6%
45 to 54 Years	7.3%	7.0%	14.3%	45 to 54 Years	6.0%	5.4%	11.4%	45 to 54 Years	5.3%	4.7%	9.9%
55 to 64 Years	5.9%	6.1%	12.0%	55 to 64 Years	6.7%	6.8%	13.5%	55 to 64 Years	6.4%	6.5%	12.9%
65 to 74 Years	3.9%	4.4%	8.3%	65 to 74 Years	5.1%	5.6%	10.8%	65 to 74 Years	6.0%	6.6%	12.5%
75 to 84 Years	2.0%	2.9%	4.9%	75 to 84 Years	2.3%	3.2%	5.5%	75 to 84 Years	2.4%	3.2%	5.7%
85 Years and Up	0.6%	1.5%	2.1%	85 Years and Up	0.8%	1.6%	2.4%	85 Years and Up	0.9%	1.7%	2.6%
Total	50.5%	49.5%	100.0%	Total	51.3%	48.7%	100.0%	Total	51.3%	48.7%	100.0%
62+ Years	n/a	n/a	18.5%	62+ Years	n/a	n/a	22.6%	62+ Years	n/a	n/a	24.8%

Source: Claritas; Ribbon Demographics



© 2020 All rights reserved



Ribbon Demographics, LLC www.ribbondata.com Tel: 916-880-1644



POPULATION DATA @ 2020 All rights reserved


Claritas

				Market .	neu				
Estim	ated Chai	nge - 2010	to 2020		Projec	ted Chan	1ge - 2020 1	to 2025	
Age	Male	Female	Total Change	Percent Change	Age	Male	Female	Total Change	Percen Change
0 to 4 Years	60	-32	28	1.1%	0 to 4 Years	21	17	38	1.4%
5 to 9 Years	-155	-109	-264	-9.3%	5 to 9 Years	81	27	108	4.2%
10 to 14 Years	-184	-130	-314	-11.0%	10 to 14 Years	20	23	43	1.7%
15 to 17 Years	-70	2	-68	-3.8%	15 to 17 Years	-43	-11	-54	-3.1%
18 to 20 Years	-248	-409	-657	-14.8%	18 to 20 Years	-102	-66	-168	-4.4%
21 to 24 Years	-21	-26	-47	-1.2%	21 to 24 Years	-151	-140	-291	-7.2%
25 to 34 Years	519	282	801	14.1%	25 to 34 Years	235	135	370	5.7%
35 to 44 Years	-263	-440	-703	-11.8%	35 to 44 Years	111	153	264	5.0%
45 to 54 Years	-685	-848	-1,533	-20.7%	45 to 54 Years	-345	-362	-707	-12.0%
55 to 64 Years	366	374	740	11.9%	55 to 64 Years	-115	-123	-238	-3.4%
65 to 74 Years	607	618	1,225	28.3%	65 to 74 Years	465	518	983	17.7%
75 to 84 Years	182	134	316	12.5%	75 to 84 Years	69	50	119	4.2%
85 Years and Up	124	39	163	14.8%	85 Years and Up	30	<u>49</u>	<u>79</u>	6.3%
Total	232	-545	-313	-0.6%	Total	276	270	546	1.1%
62+ Years	n/a	n/a	2.049	21.3%	62+ Years	n/a	n/a	1,249	10.7%

Source: Claritas; Ribbon Demographics



© 2020 All rights reserved



www.ribbondata.com Tel: 916-880-1644



POPULATION DATA @ 2020 All rights reserved

Claritas


					Market /	Area					
	Census 2010				Year Esti	mates - 20.	20	Five-Yea	ar Projec	ctions - 2023	5
Age	Male	Female	Total	Age	Male	Female	Total	Age	Male	Female	Total
0 to 4 Years	1,308	1,345	2,653	0 to 4 Years	1,368	1,313	2,681	0 to 4 Years	1,389	1,330	2,719
5 to 9 Years	1,445	1,399	2,844	5 to 9 Years	1,290	1,290	2,580	5 to 9 Years	1,371	1,317	2,688
10 to 14 Years	1,462	1,400	2,862	10 to 14 Years	1,278	1,270	2,548	10 to 14 Years	1,298	1,293	2,591
15 to 17 Years	945	838	1,783	15 to 17 Years	875	840	1,715	15 to 17 Years	832	829	1,661
18 to 20 Years	2,187	2,255	4,442	18 to 20 Years	1,939	1,846	3,785	18 to 20 Years	1,837	1,780	3,61
21 to 24 Years	2,331	1,738	4,069	21 to 24 Years	2,310	1,712	4,022	21 to 24 Years	2,159	1,572	3,731
25 to 34 Years	3,172	2,501	5,673	25 to 34 Years	3,691	2,783	6,474	25 to 34 Years	3,926	2,918	6,844
35 to 44 Years	3,144	2,836	5,980	35 to 44 Years	2,881	2,396	5,277	35 to 44 Years	2,992	2,549	5,54
45 to 54 Years	3,774	3,645	7,419	45 to 54 Years	3,089	2,797	5,886	45 to 54 Years	2,744	2,435	5,17
55 to 64 Years	3,082	3,151	6,233	55 to 64 Years	3,448	3,525	6,973	55 to 64 Years	3,333	3,402	6,73
65 to 74 Years	2,040	2,291	4,331	65 to 74 Years	2,647	2,909	5,556	65 to 74 Years	3,112	3,427	6,539
75 to 84 Years	1,026	1,497	2,523	75 to 84 Years	1,208	1,631	2,839	75 to 84 Years	1,277	1,681	2,958
85 Years and Up	303	796	1,099	85 Years and Up	427	835	1,262	85 Years and Up	457	884	1,34
Total	26,219	25,692	51,911	Total	26,451	25,147	51,598	Total	26,727	25,417	52,14
62+ Years	n/a	n/a	9,616	62+ Years	n/a	n/a	11,665	62+ Years	n/a	n/a	12,91
	M	edian Age:	37.7		M	ledian Age:	38.8	1	N	Median Age:	39.0

Source: Claritas; Ribbon Demographics




Claritas

HOUSEHOLD DATA @ 2020 All rights reserved








ribbon demographics

HOUSEHOLD DATA

© 2020 All rights reserved

Claritas

Median Household Income Nottoway County							
Census 2000	2020 Estimate	2025 Projection					
\$31,638	\$40,894	\$42,978					



ribbon demographics

2/23/2020



HOUSEHOLD DATA

© 2020 All rights reserved

Med	ian Household Inc Nottoway Cour		
Geography ID	Census 2000	2020 Estimate	2025 Projection
51135	\$31,638	\$40,894	\$42,978

Claritas

ribbon demographics

2/23/2020



HOUSEHOLD DATA © 2020 All rights reserved

			N	ottoway	County					
			Ce	nsus Date	1 - 2000					
Income	Age 15 - 24 Years	Age 25 - 34 Years	Age 35 - 44 Years	Age 45 - 54 Years	Age 55 - 64 Years	Age 65 - 74 Years	Age 75 - 84 Years	Age 85+ Years	Total	Percen
Less than \$15,000	51	123	149	188	201	292	296	103	1,403	24.7%
\$15,000 - \$24,999	34	113	206	148	136	130	155	42	964	17.0%
\$25,000 - \$34,999	36	98	162	117	78	92	99	23	705	12.4%
\$35,000 - \$49,999	45	147	280	190	130	196	83	19	1,090	19.2%
\$50,000 - \$74,999	0	128	265	236	180	79	41	10	939	16.6%
\$75,000 - \$99,999	4	36	77	79	82	34	3	0	315	5.6%
\$100,000 - \$124,999	0	0	37	64	19	6	6	1	133	2.3%
\$125,000 - \$149,999	0	11	11	0	7	3	2	0	34	0.6%
\$150,000 - \$199,999	0	0	10	8	23	5	0	0	46	0.8%
\$200,000 and up	2	5	<u>12</u>	<u>6</u>	5	<u>0</u>	2	2	<u>41</u>	0.7%
Total	172	661	1,209	1,036	861	837	694	200	5,670	100.0%
Percent	3.0%	11.7%	21.3%	18.3%	15.2%	14.8%	12.2%	3.5%	100.0%	




HOUSEHOLD DATA @ 2020 All rights reserved Claritas Households by Income - Census 2000 Nottoway County 1,600 1,400 1,200 1,000 800 600 400 200 0 Less than \$15,000 \$15,000 -\$24,999 \$25,000 -\$34,999 \$35,000 -\$49,999 \$50,000 -\$74,999 \$75,000 -\$99,999 \$100,000 - \$125,000 - \$150,000 -\$124,999 \$149,999 \$199,999 \$200,000 and up



Claritas

HOUSEHOLD DATA @ 2020 All rights reserved






HOUSEHOLD DATA © 2020 All rights reserved

			N	ottoway	County					
			Current	Year Esti	imates - 2	020				
Income	Age 15 - 24 Years	Age 25 - 34 Years	Age 35 - 44 Years	Age 45 - 54 Years	Age 55 - 64 Years	Age 65 - 74 Years	Age 75 - 84 Years	Age 85+ Years	Total	Percen
Less than \$15,000	104	82	89	122	212	144	104	55	912	16.9%
\$15,000 - \$24,999	2	87	106	52	90	198	157	82	774	14.3%
\$25,000 - \$34,999	43	84	85	105	138	86	62	29	632	11.7%
\$35,000 - \$49,999	5	93	101	193	252	190	113	34	981	18.1%
\$50,000 - \$74,999	1	109	111	171	199	151	78	27	847	15.7%
\$75,000 - \$99,999	0	55	68	95	105	90	34	5	452	8.4%
\$100,000 - \$124,999	1	69	117	60	60	58	20	3	388	7.2%
\$125,000 - \$149,999	0	22	39	62	64	24	8	2	221	4.1%
\$150,000 - \$199,999	1	9	16	22	21	28	8	2	107	2.0%
\$200,000 and up	3	<u>0</u>	4	27	30	22	5	2	<u>93</u>	1.7%
Total	160	610	736	909	1,171	991	589	241	5,407	100.0%
Percent	3.0%	11.3%	13.6%	16.8%	21.7%	18.3%	10.9%	4.5%	100.0%	



HOUSEHOLD DATA © 2020 All rights reserved Claritas Estimated Households by Income - 2020 Nottoway County 1,000 900 800 700 600 500 400 300 200 100 0 Less than \$15,000 \$15,000 -\$24,999 \$25,000 -\$34,999 \$35,000 -\$49,999 \$50,000 -\$74,999 \$75,000 -\$99,999 \$100,000 - \$125,000 - \$150,000 -\$124,999 \$149,999 \$199,999 \$200,000 and up








HOUSEHOLD DATA © 2020 All rights reserved

			N	ottoway	County					
		1	Estimatea	l Change	- 2000 to	2020				
Income	Age 15 - 24 Years	Age 25 - 34 Years	Age 35 - 44 Years	Age 45 - 54 Years	Age 55 - 64 Years	Age 65 - 74 Years	Age 75 - 84 Years	Age 85+ Years	Total	Percen Chang
Less than \$15,000	53	-41	-60	-66	11	-148	-192	-48	-491	-35.0%
\$15,000 - \$24,999	-32	-26	-100	-96	-46	68	2	40	-190	-19.7%
\$25,000 - \$34,999	7	-14	-77	-12	60	-6	-37	6	-73	-10.4%
\$35,000 - \$49,999	-40	-54	-179	3	122	-6	30	15	-109	-10.0%
\$50,000 - \$74,999	1	-19	-154	-65	19	72	37	17	-92	-9.8%
\$75,000 - \$99,999	-4	19	-9	16	23	56	31	5	137	43.5%
\$100,000 - \$124,999	1	69	80	-4	41	52	14	2	255	191.7%
\$125,000 - \$149,999	0	11	28	62	57	21	6	2	187	550.0%
\$150,000 - \$199,999	1	9	6	14	-2	23	8	2	61	132.6%
\$200,000 and up	1	<u>-5</u>	-8	<u>21</u>	<u>25</u>	22	-4	<u>0</u>	<u>52</u>	126.8%
Total	-12	-51	-473	-127	310	154	-105	41	-263	-4.6%
Percent Change	-7.0%	-7.7%	-39.1%	-12.3%	36.0%	18.4%	-15.1%	20.5%	-4.6%	



HOUSEHOLD DATA © 2020 All rights reserved


Claritas





Claritas

HOUSEHOLD DATA © 2020 All rights reserved





HOUSEHOLD DATA © 2020 All rights reserved

			N	ottoway	County					
			Five Ye	ar Projec	ctions - 20)25				
Income	Age 15 - 24 Years	Age 25 - 34 Years	Age 35 - 44 Years	Age 45 - 54 Years	Age 55 - 64 Years	Age 65 - 74 Years	Age 75 - 84 Years	Age 85+ Years	Total	Percen
Less than \$15,000	103	75	85	102	194	154	100	57	870	16.0%
\$15,000 - \$24,999	2	79	93	40	78	209	152	85	738	13.6%
\$25,000 - \$34,999	45	80	87	91	128	96	62	30	619	11.4%
\$35,000 - \$49,999	6	83	96	160	228	209	109	34	925	17.0%
\$50,000 - \$74,999	1	113	125	155	195	186	91	34	900	16.6%
\$75,000 - \$99,999	1	58	73	88	108	113	38	4	483	8.9%
\$100,000 - \$124,999	2	66	117	51	57	67	21	2	383	7.0%
\$125,000 - \$149,999	0	26	47	63	70	34	10	3	253	4.7%
\$150,000 - \$199,999	1	12	24	28	27	45	11	4	152	2.8%
\$200,000 and up	4	<u>0</u>	7	30	32	<u>32</u>	7	3	115	2.1%
Total	165	592	754	808	1,117	1,145	601	256	5,438	100.0%
Percent	3.0%	10.9%	13.9%	14.9%	20.5%	21.1%	11.1%	4.7%	100.0%	



HOUSEHOLD DATA @ 2020 All rights reserved Claritas Projected Households by Income - 2025 Nottoway County 1,000 900 800 700 600 500 400 300 200 100 0 \$200,000 and up \$15,000 -\$24,999 \$25,000 -\$34,999 \$35,000 -\$49,999 \$50,000 -\$74,999 \$75,000 -\$99,999 \$100,000 - \$125,000 - \$150,000 -\$124,999 \$149,999 \$199,999 Less than \$15,000



<text>



HOUSEHOLD DATA © 2020 All rights reserved

			Househo N	ottoway						
			Projectea	Change		2025	_			
Income	Age 15 - 24 Years	Age 25 - 34 Years	Age 35 - 44 Years	Age 45 - 54 Years	Age 55 - 64 Years	Age 65 - 74 Years	Age 75 - 84 Years	Age 85+ Years	Total	Percer Chang
Less than \$15,000	-1	-7	-4	-20	-18	10	-4	2	-42	-4.6%
\$15,000 - \$24,999	0	-8	-13	-12	-12	11	-5	3	-36	-4.7%
\$25,000 - \$34,999	2	-4	2	-14	-10	10	0	1	-13	-2.1%
\$35,000 - \$49,999	1	-10	-5	-33	-24	19	-4	0	-56	-5.7%
\$50,000 - \$74,999	0	4	14	-16	-4	35	13	7	53	6.3%
\$75,000 - \$99,999	1	3	5	-7	3	23	4	-1	31	6.9%
\$100,000 - \$124,999	1	-3	0	-9	-3	9	1	-1	-5	-1.3%
\$125,000 - \$149,999	0	4	8	1	6	10	2	1	32	14.5%
\$150,000 - \$199,999	0	3	8	6	6	17	3	2	45	42.1%
\$200,000 and up	1	<u>0</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>3</u>	2	<u>10</u>	2	1	22	23.7%
Total	5	-18	18	-101	-54	154	12	15	31	0.6%
Percent Change	3.1%	-3.0%	2.4%	-11.1%	-4.6%	15.5%	2.0%	6.2%	0.6%	



2020 All rights reser	ved		_	1.1.4	Powe	red by Clan
			Househol			
	Ba	0	5 to 54 Year 11 - 2015 Es			
	1-Person	2-Person	3-Person	4-Person	5 -Person	
			Household			
\$0-10,000	51	6	28	43	32	160
\$10,000-20,000 \$20,000-30,000	11	94 14	15 43	46 28	21 118	187 203
\$30,000-40,000	89	14	120	80	25	326
\$40,000-50,000	13	5	D	7	5	30
\$50,000-60,000	3	10	2	8	8	31
\$60,000-75,000	3	25	8	4	19	59
\$75,000-100,000 \$100,000-125,000	2	4	1	3	0 7	10 8
\$125,000-150,000	3	37	1	i i	0	42
\$150,000-200,000	4	4	3	3	3	17
\$200,000+	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	1	1	4	<u>6</u>
Total	179	211	222	225	242	1,079
	_	-				_
			Househol 55+ Years	as		
	Ba	0	1 55+ Tears 11 - 2015 Es	timates		
	1-Person	2-Person	3-Person	4-Person	5 -Person	-
\$0-10,000	fousehold 123	Household 12	Household 1	Household 1	Household 1	1 otal 138
\$10,000-20,000	125	25	10	1	1	223
\$20,000-30,000	108	42	1	12	3	166
\$30,000-40,000	16	17	0	22	1	56
\$40,000-50,000	52	10	1	0	0	63
\$50,000-60,000 \$60,000-75,000	13	0	0 24	3	1	17
\$75,000-100,000	27	6	3	0	0	36
\$100,000-125,000	6	4	1	1	12	24
\$125,000-150,000	9	8	2	0	0	19
\$150,000-200,000	1	2	1	0	0	4
\$200,000+	2	0	0	0	1	3
Total	552	137	44	43	20	796
		Renter	Househol	ds		
			62+Years			
	Ba 1-Person	se Year: 20 2-Person	11 - 2015 Es 3-Person	stimates 4-Person	5 -Person	
	T-rerson tousehold		Household			Total
\$0-10,000	94	3	1	1	1	100
\$10,000-20,000	185	20 42	10	0 2	1 2	216 142
\$20,000-30,000 \$30,000-40,000	14	42	0	22	1	53
\$40,000-50,000	32	10	1	0	ō	43
\$50,000-60,000	9	0	0	2	1	12
\$60,000-75,000	8	3	0	2	0	13
\$75,000-100,000 \$100,000-125,000	10	6 4	1	0	0	17
\$125,000-125,000	ő	4	2	0	0	12 13
\$150,000-200,000	1	0	0	0	0	1
\$200,000+	1	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	1
Total	461	109	17	30	6	623
		Renter	Househol	ds		_
			ge Groups			
		se Year: 201	11 - 2015 Es			
	1-Person	2-Person	3-Person		5Person	Tak
\$0-10,000	Ionsehold 174	1 lousehold 18	Household 29	Household 44	Household 33	Total 298
\$10,000-20,000	197	119	25	47	22	410
\$20,000-30,000	108	56	44	40	121	369
\$30,000-40,000	105	29	120	102	26	382
\$40,000-50,000 \$50,000-60,000	65	15	1 2	7	5	93
\$60,000-75,000	16 12	10 36	32	11 7	19	48 106
\$75,000-100,000	29	10	4	3	0	46
\$100,000-125,000	6	4	1	2	19	32
\$125,000-150,000	12	45	3	1	0	61
\$150,000-200,000	5	6	4	3	3	21
\$200,000+ Total	2 731	<u>0</u> 348	1 266	1 268	<u>5</u> 262	<u>9</u> 1,875



2020 All rights reser	ved			toway Co	Dispersi	ed by Clant
	10. Y	ercent Rer	ter House	eholds	EGANE	ee by clain.
		Age 15	to 54 Year	5		
	Bas	se Year: 201	1 - 2015 Es	timates		
	1-Person	2-Person	3-Person	4-Person	5 -Person	maker
\$0-10.000	4.7%	0.6%	2.6%	Household 4.0%	3.0%	Total 14.8%
\$10,000-20,000	1.0%	8.7%	1.4%	4.3%	1.9%	17.3%
\$20,000-30,000	0.0%	1.3%	4.0%	2.6%	10.9%	18.8%
\$30,000-40,000	8.2%	1.1%	11.1%	7.4%	2.3%	30.2%
\$40,000-50,000	1.2%	0.5%	0.0%	0.6%	0.5%	2.8%
\$50,000-60,000 \$60,000-75,000	0.3%	0.9%	0.2%	0.7%	0.7%	2.9%
\$75,000-100,000	0.2%	0.4%	0.1%	0.4%	0.0%	0.9%
\$100,000-125,000	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.6%	0.7%
\$125,000-150,000	0.3%	3.4%	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%	3.9%
\$150,000-200,000	0.4%	0.4%	0.3%	0.3%	0.3%	1.6%
\$200,000+	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.1%	0.4%	0.6%
Total	16.6%	19.6%	20.6%	20.9%	22.4%	100.0%
	Pe		iter House	eholds		
	- C	0	55+ Years	100 m 1		
			1 - 2015 Es			
	1-Person	2-Person	3-Person	4-Person	5 -Person	1.00
\$0-10,000	15,5%	1.5%	Household 0.1%	Household 0.1%	Household 0.1%	10tal 17.3%
\$10,000-20,000	23.4%	3.1%	1.3%	0.1%	0.1%	28.0%
\$20,000-30,000	13.6%	5.3%	0.1%	1.5%	0.4%	20.9%
\$30,000-40,000	2.0%	2.1%	0.0%	2.8%	0.1%	7.0%
\$40,000-50,000	6.5%	1.3%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	7.9%
\$50,000-60,000 \$60,000-75,000	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%	0.1%	2.1%
\$75,000-100,000	3.4%	0.8%	0.4%	0.4%	0.0%	4.5%
\$100,000-125,000	0.8%	0.5%	0.1%	0.1%	1.5%	3.0%
\$125,000-150,000	1.1%	1.0%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	2.4%
\$150,000-200,000	0.1%	0.3%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.5%
\$200,000+	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0,1%	0.4%
Total	69.3%	17.2%	5.5%	5.4%	2.5%	100.0%
	Pe		ter House	eholds		
		0	62+ Years			
_	Bas 1-Person	se Year: 201 2-Person	1 - 2015 Es 3-Person	4-Person	5 -Person	_
				Household		Total
\$0-10,000	15.1%	0.5%	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%	16.1%
\$10,000-20,000	29.7%	3.2%	1.6%	0.0%	0.2%	34.7%
\$20,000-30,000	15.2%	6.7%	0.2%	0.3%	0.3%	22.8%
\$30,000-40,000	2.2%	2.6%	0.0%	3.5%	0.2%	8.5%
\$40,000-50,000 \$50,000-60,000	5.1% 1.4%	1.6%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	6.9% 1.9%
\$60,000-75,000	1.3%	0.5%	0.0%	0.3%	0.2%	2.1%
\$75,000-100,000	1.6%	1.0%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	2.7%
\$100,000-125,000	1.0%	0.6%	0.2%	0.2%	0.0%	1.9%
\$125,000-150,000	1.0%	0.8%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	2.1%
	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%
		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%
\$200,000+			a = a/	(DO/	1 0.01	
	74.0%	17.5%	2.7%	4.8%	1.0%	100.0%
\$200,000+	74.0%		2.7%	1000	1.0%	100,0%
\$200,000+	74.0%	ercent Rer	and the	1000	1.0%	100.0%
\$200,000+	74.0% Pe	<mark>ercent Rer</mark> All A	iter House	eholds	1.0%	100,0%
\$200,000+	74.0% Pe	<mark>ercent Rer</mark> All A	i <mark>ter Hous</mark> ge Groups	eholds	1.0%	100.0%
\$200,000+ Total	74.0% Pe Bas L-Person Honsehold	ercent Rer All A se Year: 201 2-Person Household	iter House ge Groups 11 - 2015 Es 3-Person Household	eholds timates 4-Person Household	5 -Person	Total
\$200,000+ Total \$0-10,000	74.0% Pe Bas L-Person Innsehold 9.3%	ercent Rer All A se Year: 201 2-Person Household 1.0%	iter House ge Groups 11 - 2015 Es 3-Person Household 1.5%	eholds timates 4-Person Household 2.3%	5 -Person Household 1.8%	Total 15.9%
\$200,000+ Total \$0-10,000 \$10,000-20,000	74.0% Pe Bas I-Person Honsehold 9.3% 10.5%	ercent Rer All A Se Year: 201 2-Person Household 1.0% 6.3%	iter House ge Groups 11 - 2015 Es 3-Person 1 lousehold 1.5% 1.3%	eholds timates 4-Person Household 2,3% 2,5%	5 Person Household 1.8% 1.2%	Total 15.9% 21.9%
Total \$0-10,000 \$10,000-20,000 \$20,000-30,000	74.0% Pe Bas I-Person Honsehold 9.3% 10.5% 5.8%	ercent Rer All A 2-Person Household 1.0% 6.3% 3.0%	ter House ge Groups 3-Person Household 15% 13% 23%	eholds timates 4-Person Household 2.3% 2.5% 2.1%	5 - Person Household 1.8% 1.2% 6.5%	Total 15.9% 21.9% 19.7%
\$200,000+ Total \$0-10,000 \$10,000-20,000	74.0% Pe Bas I-Person Honsehold 9.3% 10.5%	ercent Rer All A Se Year: 201 2-Person Household 1.0% 6.3%	nter House ge Groups 3-Person 1 Iousehold 1 5% 1 3% 2.3% 6.4%	eholds timates 4-Person Household 2,3% 2,5%	5 Person Household 1.8% 1.2%	Total 15.9% 21.9%
\$200,000+ Total \$00-10,000 \$10,000-20,000 \$20,000-30,000 \$20,000-30,000	74.0% Pe Bas L-Person Hotsehold 9.3% 10.5% 5.8% 5.6%	ercent Rer All A 2-Person Household 1.0% 6.3% 3.0% 1.5%	ter House ge Groups 3-Person Household 15% 13% 23%	eholds d-Person Household 2.3% 2.5% 2.1% 5.4%	5 - Person Household 1.8% 1.2% 6.5% 1.4%	Total 15.9% 21.9% 19.7% 20.4%
\$200,000+ Total \$0-10,000 \$10,000-20,000 \$20,000-30,000 \$30,000-40,000 \$50,000-50,000 \$50,000-50,000	74.0% Pe Bas I-Person Ionschold 9.3% 10.5% 5.8% 5.6% 3.5% 0.9% 0.6%	ercent Rer All A se Year: 201 2-Person Household 1.0% 6.3% 3.0% 1.5% 0.8% 0.5% 1.9%	tter House ge Groups 3-Person Household 1.5% 1.3% 2.3% 6.4% 0.1% 0.1% 1.7%	eholds 4-Person Household 2.3% 2.5% 2.1% 5.4% 0.4% 0.6% 0.4%	5 - Person Household 1.8% 1.2% 6.5% 1.4% 0.3% 0.5% 1.0%	Total 15,9% 21,9% 19,7% 20,4% 5.0% 5.0% 5.7%
\$200,000+ Total \$0,000-20,000 \$10,000-20,000 \$20,000-30,000 \$30,000-40,000 \$40,000-50,000 \$50,000-60,000 \$60,000-75,000	74.0% Pe Bas L-Person Innseirold 9.3% 5.6% 5.6% 3.5% 0.9% 0.6% 1.5%	All A Se Year: 201 2-Person Household 1.0% 6.3% 3.0% 1.5% 0.8% 0.5% 1.9% 0.5%	ter House ge Groups 3-Person 1 Jousehold 1.5% 1.3% 2.3% 6.4% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.7% 0.2%	eholds 4-Person Household 2.3% 2.5% 2.5% 2.1% 5.4% 0.4% 0.4% 0.4% 0.4% 0.2%	5 - Person Household 1.8% 1.2% 6.5% 1.4% 0.3% 0.5% 1.0% 0.0%	Total 15.9% 21.9% 19.7% 20.4% 5.0% 2.6% 5.7% 2.5%
\$200,000+ Total \$0-10,000 \$10,000-20,000 \$30,000-20,000 \$30,000-30,000 \$30,000-40,000 \$50,000-60,000 \$50,000-60,000 \$50,000-60,000 \$50,000-100,000	74.0% Pe Bas I-Person Imceled 9.3% 5.6% 5.6% 5.6% 0.9% 0.6% 1.5% 0.3%	ercent Rer All A se Year: 201 2-Person Household 1.0% 6.3% 3.0% 6.3% 0.5% 0.5% 0.5% 0.2%	ter House ge Groups 3-Person Household 15% 13% 23% 64% 0.1% 0.1% 1.7% 0.2% 0.2% 0.1%	eholds 4-Person Household 2.3% 2.1% 5.4% 0.4% 0.4% 0.6% 0.4% 0.2% 0.1%	5 - Person Household 1.8% 6.5% 1.4% 0.3% 0.5% 1.0% 0.0% 1.0%	Total 15.9% 21.9% 20.4% 5.0% 2.6% 5.7% 2.5% 1.7%
\$200,000+ Total \$0,000-20,000 \$10,000-20,000 \$20,000-40,000 \$50,000-40,000 \$50,000-60,000 \$55,000-60,000 \$55,000-100,000	74.0% Pe Bas 1-Person transchold 9.3% 5.6% 5.6% 5.6% 5.6% 5.6% 5.6% 5.6% 5.6	ercent Rer All A se Year: 201 2-Person Household 1.0% 6.3% 1.5% 0.5% 0.5% 0.5% 0.5% 0.5% 0.5% 0.5% 0	tter House ge Groups 3-Person 13% 13% 23% 64% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.2%	eholds 4-Person Household 2.3% 2.3% 2.4% 5.4% 0.4% 0.6% 0.4% 0.2% 0.4% 0.1% 0.1%	5 Person Household 1.8% 1.2% 6.5% 1.4% 0.3% 0.5% 1.0% 0.0% 1.0% 0.0%	Total 15.9% 21.9% 20.4% 5.0% 2.6% 5.7% 2.5% 1.7% 3.3%
\$200,000+ Total \$0-10,000 \$10,000-20,000 \$20,000-30,000 \$30,000-40,000 \$40,000-50,000 \$50,000-60,000 \$50,000-60,000 \$50,000-75,000	74.0% Pe Bas I-Person Imceled 9.3% 5.6% 5.6% 5.6% 0.9% 0.6% 1.5% 0.3%	ercent Rer All A se Year: 201 2-Person Household 1.0% 6.3% 3.0% 6.3% 0.5% 0.5% 0.5% 0.2%	ter House ge Groups 3-Person Household 15% 13% 23% 64% 0.1% 0.1% 1.7% 0.2% 0.2% 0.1%	eholds 4-Person Household 2.3% 2.1% 5.4% 0.4% 0.4% 0.6% 0.4% 0.2% 0.1%	5 - Person Household 1.8% 6.5% 1.4% 0.3% 0.5% 1.0% 0.0% 1.0%	Total 15.9% 21.9% 20.4% 5.0% 2.6% 5.7% 2.5% 1.7%



2020 All rights reserv		Data	NOL	toway Co		ared by Char
even wii tiðtira (ösei)	ieu	Owner	Househol	lds	FQVE	and by char
		1	to 54 Year			
	_	tse Year: 201			-	_
	1-Person Iousehold	2-Person Household	3-Person Household	4-Person Household	5Person Household	Total
\$0-10,000	5	51	59	17	0	132
\$10,000-20,000	23	10	0 4	16	0	49
\$20,000-30,000 \$30,000-40,000	42 66	73 24	4	13	1 15	133 127
\$40,000-50,000	36	36	35	0	0	107
\$50,000-60,000	67	43	69	28	18	225
\$60,000-75,000 \$75,000-100,000	6 82	63 92	74	72 28	71	286 255
100,000-125,000	5	51	14	65	74	209
\$125,000-150,000	0	7	85	11	11	114
\$150,000-200,000 \$200,000+	5	21 1	7	25 0	4	62 <u>7</u>
Total	337	472	403	276		1,706
Totai	337	4/2	403	2/0	218	1,700
		Owner	Househol	ds		
		Aged	55+ Years			
	Br	se Year: 201		stimates		
	1-Person	2-Person	3-Person	1-Person	5)-Person	242
\$0-10,000	touschold 99	Household 58	Household 12	Household 6	Household 4	Lotal 179
\$10,000-20,000	125	89	5	3	25	247
\$20,000-30,000	85	99	27	4	0	215
\$30,000-40,000 \$40,000-50,000	112 41	136 91	19 31	38	8 4	313 171
\$50,000-60,000	29	150	15	7	0	201
\$60,000-75,000	24	157	17	33	1	232
\$75,000-100,000	37	109	22	4	5	177
3100,000-125,000 3125,000-150,000	15 15	81 42	43	42	0	181 63
\$150,000-200,000	3	14	3	0	0	20
\$200,000+	3	<u>6</u>	0	0	<u>0</u>	2
Total	588	1,032	198	142	48	2,008
		Owner	Househol	ds		
			62+ Years			
	Bi	ise Year: 201	1 - 2015 Es	stimates		
	1-Person	2-Person Household	3-Person		5Person	
\$0-10,000	91	-43	12	0	4	Total 150
\$10,000-20,000	62	77	5	2	6	152
\$20,000-30,000 \$30,000-40,000	64 85	77	26 0	0 28	0	167 220
\$40,000-50,000	39	87	3	1	0	130
\$50,000-60,000	17	89	6	6	0	118
\$60,000-75,000 \$75,000-100,000	12 28	108	8 14	27	1 4	156
\$100,000-125,000	15	08 46	4	31	4	96
\$125,000-150,000	9	22	2	1	1	35
\$150,000-200,000 \$200,000+	2	3	0	0	0	5
Total	1 425	1 726	<u>0</u> 80	<u>0</u> 98	0 18	2 1,347
Total	743			20	10	1 1000
			Househol			
			ge Groups			
-	Br 1-Person	ise Year: 201 2 Person	1 - 2015 Es 3-Person	4-Person	5 Person	
)		Household				Total
\$0-10,000	104	109	71	23	4	311
\$10,000-20,000	148	99 172	5	19	25	296
\$20,000-30,000 \$30,000-40,000	127 178	1/2 160	31 40	17 39	1 23	348 440
\$40,000-50,000	77	127	66	4	4	278
\$50,000-60,000	96	193	84	35	18	426
\$60,000-75,000 \$75,000-100,000	30 119	220 201	91 56	105 32	72 24	518 432
\$100,000-125,000	20	132	57	107	74	390
\$125,000-150,000	15	49	89	12	12	177
\$150,000-200,000 \$200,000+	8	35 Z	10 1	25 0	4 5	82 16
Total	925	1,504	601	418	266	3,714



1ISTA 2.2 Su 2020 All rights reser		Data	Not	oway Co		ed by Clark
2020 Mil rights rosar		ercent Ow	ner House	eholds	Proven	ed by Crant
		Age 15	to 54 Year	5		
	Ba	se Year: 201	1 - 2015 Es	timates		
	1-Person	2-Person	3-Person	4-Person	5 Person	-
					Household	Total
\$0-10,000 \$10,000-20,000	0.3%	3.0% 0.6%	3.5%	1.0%	0.0% 0.0%	7.7%
\$20,000-30,000	2.5%	4.3%	0.2%	0.8%	0.1%	7.8%
\$30,000-40,000	3.9%	1.4%	1.2%	0.1%	0.9%	7.4%
\$40,000-50,000	2.1%	2.1%	2.1%	0.0%	0.0%	6.3%
\$50,000-60,000	3.9%	2.5%	4.0%	1.6%	1.1%	13.2%
\$60,000-75,000 \$75,000-100,000	0.4% 4.8%	3.7% 5.4%	4.3%	4.2%	4.2% 1.1%	16.8% 14.9%
\$100,000-125,000	0.3%	3.0%	0.8%	3.8%	4.3%	12.3%
\$125,000-150,000	0.0%	0.4%	5.0%	0.6%	0.6%	6.7%
\$150,000-200,000	0.3%	1.2%	0.4%	1.5%	0.2%	3.6%
\$200,000+	0.0%	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%	0.3%	0.4%
Total	19.8%	27.7%	23.6%	16.2%	12.8%	100.0%
	Pe	ercent Ow	ner House	eholds		
			55+Years			
	I-Person	se Year: 203 2-Person	11 - 2015 Es 3-Person		5.0	_
			3-Person Household	I-Person Household	5)-Person Household	Lotal
\$0-10,000	4.9%	2.9%	0.6%	0.3%	0.2%	8.9%
\$10,000-20,000	6.2%	4.4%	0.0%	0.3%	1.2%	12.3%
\$20,000-30,000	4.2%	4.9%	1.3%	0.2%	0.0%	10.7%
\$30,000-40,000	5.6%	6.8%	0.9%	1.9%	0.4%	15.6%
\$40,000-50,000	2.0%	4.5%	1.5%	0.2%	0.2%	8.5%
\$50,000-60,000	1.4% 1.2%	7.5% 7.8%	0.7%	0.3%	0.0%	10.0%
\$60,000-75,000 \$75,000-100,000	1.2%	5.4%	1.1%	0.2%	0.2%	8.8%
\$100,000-125,000	0.7%	4.0%	2.1%	2.1%	0.0%	9.0%
\$125,000-150,000	0.7%	2.1%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	3.1%
\$150,000-200,000	0.1%	0.7%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	1.0%
\$200,000+	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%
Total	29.3%	51.4%	9.9%	7,1%	2.4%	100.0%
	Pe	ercent Ow	ner House	holds		
			62+Years			
_	Ba 1-Person	se Year: 201 2-Person	11 - 2015 Es 3-Person	timates 4-Person	5+-Person	_
			Liousehold			Total
\$0-10,000	6.8%	3.2%	0.9%	0.0%	0.3%	11.1%
\$10,000-20,000	4.6%	5.7%	0.4%	0.1%	0.4%	11.3%
\$20,000-30,000	4.8%	5.7%	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%	12.4%
\$30,000-40,000 \$40,000-50,000	6.3% 2.9%	7.8% 6.5%	0.0%	2.1% 0.1%	0.1% 0.0%	16.3% 9.7%
\$50,000-60,000	1.3%	6.6%	0.4%	0.4%	0.0%	8.8%
\$60,000-75,000	0.9%	8.0%	0.6%	2.0%	0.1%	11.6%
\$75,000-100,000	2.1%	5.0%	1.0%	0.1%	0.3%	8.6%
\$100,000-125,000 \$125,000,150,000	1.1%	3.4%	0.3%	2.3%	0.0%	7.1%
\$125,000-150,000 \$150,000-200,000	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.4%
\$200,000+	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%
Total	31.6%	53.9%	5.9%	7.3%	1.3%	100.0%
	p,	ercent Ou	ner House	pholds		_
			ge Groups			
	10.00	se Year: 201	1 - 2015 Es			_
	1-Person Household	2-Person Household	3-Person Household	4-Person Household	5 -Person Household	Total
\$0-10,000	2.8%	2.9%	1.9%	0.6%	0.1%	8.4%
\$10,000-20,000	4.0%	2.7%	0.1%	0.5%	0.7%	8.0%
\$20,000-30,000	3.4%	4.6%	0.8%	0.5%	0.0%	9.4%
\$30,000-40,000	4.8%	4.3%	1.1%	1.1%	0.6%	11.8%
\$40,000-50,000 \$50,000-60,000	2.1% 2.6%	3.4%	1.8%	0.1%	0.1%	7.5%
\$60,000-75,000	0.8%	5.9%	2.5%	2.8%	1.9%	13.9%
\$75,000-100,000	3.2%	5.4%	1.5%	0.9%	0.6%	11.6%
\$100,000-125,000	0.5%	3.6%	1.5%	2.9%	2.0%	10.5%
125,000-150,000	0.4%	1.3%	2.4%	0.3%	0.3%	4.8%
150,000-200,000	0.2%	0.9%	0.3% 0.0%	0.7% 0.0%	0.1%	2.2% 0.4%
\$200.000-						
\$200,000+ Total	24.9%	40.5%	16.2%	11.3%	7.2%	100.0%

Total 24.9%

40.5%

16.2%

11.3%

7.2%

100.0%



HISTA 2.2 Su 2020 All rights reser		Data	Not	toway Co		eff by Cran
2020 Mil figlius (esei	You	Renter	Househol	ds	POWD	eu cy cian
		Age 15	to 54 Year	5		
			20 Estimate	25		
	1-Person	2-Person	3-Person	4-Person	5-Person	
	Household 48		Household 41		Household	Total 174
\$0-10,000 \$10,000-20,000	+0	5 129	18	55 57	25 27	232
\$20,000-30,000	0	13	44	29	73	159
\$30,000-40,000	38	0	92	33	33	196
\$40,000-50,000	13	4	1	26 8	12	56
\$50,000-60,000 \$60,000-75,000	2	14	3	1	5	27 52
\$75,000-100,000	1	0	0	0	0	1
\$100,000-125,000	0	1	0	0	8	9
\$125,000-150,000 \$150,000-200,000	0	12 I	0 2	1 2	4	17 8
\$200,000+	1	0	1	6	3	11
Total	104	188	208	218	224	942
Total	104					
		Renter	Househol	ds		
		Aged	55+ Years			
		and an additional sector	20 Estimate		_	
	1-Person Household	2-Person Household	3-Person Household	4-Person Household	5 Person Household	Total
\$0-10,000	109	32	1	1	1	144
\$10,000-20,000	222	14	27	2	3	268
\$20,000-30,000	127	34	0	11	2	174
\$30,000-40,000 \$40,000-50,000	27 79	3 16	0	12	4 3	46
\$50,000-60,000	4	0	0	0	2	6
\$60,000-75,000	10	8	25	0	0	43
\$75,000-100,000	22	2	5	0	1	30
\$100,000-125,000 \$125,000-150,000	13 16	2 4	0.3	0	8 0	23 23
\$150,000-200,000	14	2	1	0	0	17
\$200,000+	8	3	Ĺ	1	2	15
Total	651	120	64	27	26	888
			Househol	ds		
			62+ Years 20 Estimate	25		
	1-Person	2-Person	3-Person	4-Person	5 -Person	_
	Household			Household		Total
\$0-10,000	56	3	1	1	0	61
\$10,000-20,000 \$20,000-30,000	222 120	10 34	27 0	1 2	3	263 158
\$30,000-40,000	21	3	0	12	3	39
\$40,000-50,000	58	13	1	0	2	74
\$50,000-60,000	2	0	0	0	2	4
\$60,000-75,000 \$75,000-100,000	10 11	0 2	1 2	0	0	11
\$100,000-125,000	13	2	0	0	1	16
\$125,000-150,000	11	2	3	0	0	16
\$150,000-200,000	13	0	1	0	0	14
\$200,000+	5	<u>0</u>	1	<u>0</u>	1	Z
Total	542	69	37	16	15	679
		Renter	Househol	ds		
			ge Groups			
			20 Estimate		* *	_
	1-Person Household	2-Person Household	3-Person Household	4-Person Household	5 -Person Household	Lotal
\$0-10,000	157	37	42	56	26	318
\$10,000-20,000	223	143	45	59	30	500
\$20,000-30,000	127	47	44	40	75	333
\$30,000-40,000 \$40,000-50,000	65 92	3 20	92 2	45 26	37 15	242 155
\$50,000-60,000	6	20	3	8	7	33
\$60,000-75,000	10	22	31	1	31	95
\$75,000-100,000	23	2	5	0	1	31
\$100,000-125,000 \$125,000-150,000	13 16	3 16	03	0	16 4	32 40
	14	3	3	2	3	25
\$150,000-200,000						
\$150,000-200,000 \$200,000+	2	3	2	2	5	26



1ISTA 2.2 Su 2020 All rights reser						
2020 All rights reser	24.5		0.05	oway Co		of by Charle
	Pe	ercent Rer				
		0	to 54 Year 20 Estimate			
	1-Person	2-Person	3-Person	s 4-Person	5-Person	-
		Household				Total
\$0-10,000	5.1%	0.5%	4.4%	5,8%	2,7%	18.5%
\$10,000-20,000 \$20,000-30,000	0.1%	13,7% 1.4%	1.9%	6.1% 3.1%	2,9%	24.6% 16.9%
\$30,000-40,000	4.0%	0.0%	9.8%	3.5%	3.5%	20.8%
\$40,000-50,000	1.4%	0.4%	0.1%	2.8%	1.3%	5.9%
\$50,000-60,000	0.2%	1.0%	0.3%	0.8%	0.5%	2.9%
\$60,000-75,000 \$75,000-100,000	0.0%	1.5%	0.6%	0.1% 0.0%	3.3% 0.0%	5.5% 0.1%
\$100,000-125,000	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.8%	1.0%
125,000-150,000	0.0%	1.3%	0.0%	0.1%	0.4%	1.8%
\$150,000-200,000	0.0%	0.1%	0.2%	0.2%	0.3%	0.8%
\$200,000+	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%	0.6%	0.3%	1.2%
Total	11.0%	20.0%	22.1%	23.1%	23.8%	100.0%
	Pe	ercent Rer	ter House	eholds	_	
			55+ Years			
_	1-Person	Year 20. 2-Person	20 Estimate 3-Person	s 4-Person	5 0	_
		Household			5 -Person Household	Fotal
\$0-10,000	12.3%	3.6%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	16.2%
\$10,000-20,000	25.0%	1.6%	3.0%	0.2%	0.3%	30.2%
\$20,000-30,000	14.3%	3.8%	0.0%	1,2%	0.2%	19.6%
\$30,000-40,000 \$40,000-50,000	3.0% 8.9%	0.3%	0.0% 0.1%	1.4%	0.5%	5.2% 11.1%
\$50,000-60,000	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	0.7%
\$60,000-75,000	1.1%	0.9%	2.8%	0.0%	0.0%	4.8%
\$75,000-100,000	2.5%	0.2%	0.6%	0.0%	0.1%	3.4%
\$100,000-125,000 \$125,000-150,000	1.5%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.9%	2.6%
150,000-200,000	1.6%	0.2%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	1.9%
\$200,000+	0.9%	0.3%	0.1%	0.1%	0.2%	1.7%
Total	73.3%	13.5%	7.2%	3.0%	2.9%	100.0%
	p,	ercent Rer	tor House	holds		
	T.		62+ Years	enotus		
			20 Estimate	s		
-	1-Person	2-Person	3-Person	4-Person	5 -Person	
					Household	Total
\$0-10,000	8.2% 32.7%	0.4%	0.1% 4.0%	0.1%	0.0% 0.4%	9.0% 38.7%
\$10,000-20,000 \$20,000-30,000	17.7%	5.0%	0.0%	0.3%	0.4%	23.3%
\$30,000-40,000	3.1%	0.4%	0.0%	1.8%	0.4%	5.7%
\$40,000-50,000	8.5%	1.9%	0.1%	0.0%	0.3%	10.9%
\$50,000-60,000	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%	0.6%
\$60,000-75,000 \$75,000-100,000	1.5%	0.3%	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%	2.4%
\$100,000-125,000	1.9%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	2.4%
\$125,000-150,000	1.6%	0.3%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	2.4%
\$150,000-200,000	1.9%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	2.1%
\$200,000+	0.7%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.1%	1.0%
Total	79.8%	10.2%	5.4%	2.4%	2.2%	100.0%
	Pe	ercent Rer	ter House	pholds		
			ge Groups	5		
	1-Person	Year 20. 2-Person	20 Estimate 3-Person	s 4-Person	5 Person	
	lousehold	Household	Household	Household	Household	Lotal
\$0-10,000	8.6%	2.0%	2.3%	3.1%	1.4%	17.4%
\$10,000-20,000 \$20,000-30,000	12.2%	7.8%	2.5% 2.4%	3.2%	1.6% 4.1%	27.3% 18.2%
\$30,000-40,000	3.6%	0.2%	5.0%	2.2%	2.0%	13.2%
\$40,000-50,000	5.0%	1.1%	0.1%	1.4%	0.8%	8.5%
\$50,000-60,000	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%	0.4%	0.4%	1.8%
\$60,000-75,000	0.5%	1.2%	1.7%	0.1%	1.7%	5.2%
\$75,000-100,000	1.3%	0.1%	0.3% 0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	1.7%
125,000-150,000	0.9%	0.9%	0.2%	0.1%	0.2%	2.2%
150,000-200,000	0.8%	0.2%	0.2%	0.1%	0.2%	1.4%
\$200,000+	0.5%	0.2%	0.1%	0.4%	0.3%	1.4%

Total 41.3%

16.8%

14.9%

13.4%

13.7%

100.0%



		Owner	Househol	de		
			to 54 Years			
_		Year 202	20 Estimates	5		
	1-Person Joursehold	2-Person Household	3-Person Household	4-Person Household	5:-Person Household	Total
\$0-10,000	3	49	57	9	0	118
\$10,000-20,000	16	2	0	0	0	18
\$20,000-30,000	31	53	2	16	0	102
\$30,000-40,000	50	27	11	0	22	110
\$40,000-50,000	77	74	37	0	0	188
\$50,000-60,000	42	16	62	14	15	149
\$60,000-75,000	4	22	56	41	41	164
\$75,000-100,000 \$100,000-125,000	58	72 32	29 6	37	21 82	217 238
\$125,000-150,000	0	10	64	16	16	106
\$150,000-200,000	3	21	3	11	2	40
\$200,000+	í	1	0	0	21	23
					_	
Total	288	379	327	259	220	1,473
		Owner	Househol	ds		
		Aged	55+ Years			
			20 Estimates	;		
	1-Person	2-Person	3-Person	4-Person	5 -Person	a de
		Household	Household			lotal
\$0-10,000	77	56	7	20	1	161
\$10,000-20,000	115	84	4	0	16	219
\$20,000-30,000	87 90	116 87	43 24	3 26	0 8	249 235
\$30,000-40,000 \$40,000-50,000	85	177	77	6	6	351
\$50,000-60,000	21	148	14	6	2	191
\$60,000-75,000	22	144	16	32	1	215
\$75,000-100,000	40	135	25	3	i	204
\$100,000-125,000	11	54	17	36	0	118
\$125,000-150,000	23	37	10	5	0	75
\$150,000-200,000	14	19	3	6	0	42
\$200,000+	11	27	6	0	Q	44
Total	596	1,084	246	143	35	2,104
		Orestand	Househol	4.		
			62+ Years	us		
			02+ rears 20 Estimates			
-	1-Person	2-Person	3-Person	4-Person	5+-Person	-
1	lousehold	Household	Household	Llousehold	Household	Total
\$0-10,000	62	33	7	8	- 1	- 111
\$10,000-20,000	74	75	4	0	8	161
\$20,000-30.000	74	101	43	0	0	218
\$30,000-40,000	55	47	0	14	2	118
\$40,000-50,000	84 15	175	6	0	0	265
\$50,000-60.000 \$60,000-75,000	15	116 105	9	24	1	147 152
\$75,000-100,000	31	93	16	1	1	142
\$100,000-125,000	11	39	2	29	0	81
\$125,000-150,000	13	16	5	0	0	34
\$150,000-200,000	12	13	1	3	0	29
\$200,000+	8	18	2	0	<u>0</u>	28
Total	451	831	105	85	14	1,486

	1-Person	2-Person	3-Person	4-Person	51-Person	
	Household	Household	Lousehold	1 lousehold	I lousehold	Tota
\$0-10,000	80	105	64	29	1	279
\$10,000-20,000	131	86	4	0	16	237
\$20,000-30,000	118	169	45	19	0	351
\$30,000-40,000	140	114	35	26	30	345
\$40,000-50,000	162	251	114	6	6	539
\$50,000-60,000	63	164	76	20	17	340
\$60,000-75,000	26	166	72	73	42	379
\$75,000-100,000	98	207	54	40	22	421
\$100,000-125,000	14	86	23	151	82	356
\$125,000-150,000	23	47	74	21	16	181
\$150,000-200,000	17	-40	6	17	2	82
\$200,000+	12	28	<u>6</u>	<u>0</u>	21	67
Total	884	1,463	573	402	255	3,57



IISTA 2.2 Su		Data	Not	oway Co		1-01-
2020 All rights reser		rcent Ow	ner Hous	pholds	Power	ed by Clarit
			to 54 Year			
		Year 20	20 Estimate	s		
	1-Person	2-Person	3-Person	4-Person	5-Person	1.000
					Household	Total
\$0-10,000 \$10,000-20,000	0.2%	3.3%	3.9% 0.0%	0.6% 0.0%	0.0%	8.0% 1.2%
\$20,000-30,000	2.1%	3.6%	0.1%	1.1%	0.0%	6.9%
\$30,000-40,000	3.4%	1.8%	0.7%	0.0%	1.5%	7.5%
\$40,000-50,000	5.2%	5.0%	2.5%	0.0%	0.0%	12.8%
\$50,000-60,000	2.9%	1.1%	4.2%	1.0%	1.0%	10.1%
\$60,000-75,000 \$75,000-100,000	0.3%	1.5%	3.8%	2.8% 2.5%	2.8% 1.4%	11.1% 14.7%
\$100,000-125,000	0.2%	2.2%	0.4%	7.8%	5.6%	16.2%
\$125,000-150,000	0.0%	0.7%	4.3%	1.1%	1.1%	7.2%
\$150,000-200,000	0.2%	1.4%	0.2%	0.7%	0.1%	2.7%
\$200,000÷	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	1.4%	1.6%
Total	19.6%	25.7%	22.2%	17.6%	14.9%	100.0%
	Pe	rcent Ow	ner Hous	bolds		-
			55+ Years			
_		Year 20	20 Estimate			
	1-Person Household	2-Person Household	3-Person Household	4-Person Household	51-Person Household	Total
\$0-10,000	3.7%	2.7%	0.3%	1.0%	0.0%	7.7%
\$10,000-20,000	5.5%	4.0%	0.2%	0.0%	0.8%	10.4%
\$20,000-30,000	4.1%	5.5%	2.0%	0.1%	0.0%	11.8%
\$30,000-40,000	4.3%	4.1%	1.1%	1.2%	0.4%	11.2%
\$40,000-50,000 \$50,000-60,000	4.0%	8.4%	3.7%	0.3%	0.3%	16.7%
\$60,000-75,000	1.0%	6.8%	0.8%	1.5%	0.0%	10.2%
\$75,000-100,000	1.9%	6.4%	1.2%	0.1%	0.0%	9.7%
\$100,000-125,000	0.5%	2.6%	0.8%	1.7%	0.0%	5.6%
\$125,000-150,000	1.1%	1.8%	0.5%	0.2%	0.0%	3.6%
\$150,000-200,000	0.7%	0.9%	0.1%	0.3%	0.0%	2.0%
\$200,000+	0.5%	1.3%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	2.1%
Total	28.3%	51.5%	11.7%	6.8%	1.7%	100.0%
	Pe	rcent Ow	ner House	eholds		
		Aged	62+ Years			
			20 Estimate			_
	1-Person Household	2-Person Household	3-Person Llousehold	4-Person Household	5+-Person	Total
\$0-10.000	4.2%	2.2%	0.5%	0.5%	0.1%	7.5%
\$10,000-20,000	5.0%	5.0%	0.3%	0.0%	0.5%	10.8%
\$20,000-30,000	5.0%	6.8%	2.9%	0.0%	0.0%	14.7%
\$30,000-40,000	3.7%	3.2%	0.0%	0.9%	0.1%	7.9%
\$40,000-50,000 \$50,000-60,000	5.7% 1.0%	11.8% 7.8%	0.4% 0.6%	0.0% 0.4%	0.0%	17.8% 9.9%
\$60,000-75,000	0.8%	7.1%	0.0%	1.6%	0.1%	10.2%
\$75,000-100,000	2.1%	6.3%	1.1%	0.1%	0.1%	9.6%
\$100,000-125,000	0.7%	2.6%	0.1%	2.0%	0.0%	5.5%
\$125,000-150,000	0.9%	1.1%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	2.3%
\$150,000-200,000	0.8%	0.9%	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%	2.0%
\$200,000+	0.5%	1.2%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	1.9%
Total	30.3%	55.9%	7.1%	5.7%	0.9%	100.0%
	Pe	rcent Ow	ner Hous	eholds		
			ge Groups			
-	1-Person	Year 20 2-Person	20 Estimate 3-Person	s 4-Person	5-Person	
	Household	Household	Lousehold	1 lousehold	1 lousehold	Total
\$0-10,000	2.2%	2.9%	1.8%	0.8%	0.0%	7.8%
\$10,000-20,000	3.7% 3.3%	2.4%	0.1%	0.0%	0.4%	6.6% 9.8%
\$20,000-30,000 \$30,000-40,000	3.3%	4.7%	1.3%	0.5%	0.0%	9.8%
	19.2 10					
\$40,000-50,000	4.5%	7.0%	3.2%	0.2%	0.2%	15.1%
	4.5% 1.8%	7.0% 4.6%	3.2% 2.1%	0.2% 0.6%	0.2%	15.1% 9.5%

Total	24.7%	40.9%	16.0%	11.2%	7.1%	100.0%
\$200,000+	0.3%	0.8%	0.2%	<u>0.0%</u>	0.6%	1.9%
\$150,000-200,000	0.5%	1.1%	0.2%	0.5%	0.1%	2.3%
\$125,000-150,000	0.6%	1.3%	2.1%	0.6%	0.4%	5.1%
\$100,000-125,000	0.4%	2.4%	0.6%	4.2%	2.3%	10.0%
\$75,000-100,000	2.7%	5.8%	1.5%	1.1%	0.6%	11.8%
\$60,000-75,000	0.7%	4.6%	2.0%	2.0%	1.2%	10.6%
\$50,000-60,000	1.8%	4.6%	2.1%	0.6%	0.5%	9.5%
\$40,000-50,000	4.5%	7.0%	3.2%	0.2%	0.2%	15.1%
\$30,000-40,000	3.9%	3.2%	1.0%	0.7%	0.8%	9.6%
\$20,000-30,000	3.3%	4.7%	1.3%	0.5%	0.0%	9.8%
\$10,000-20,000	3.7%	2.4%	0.1%	0.0%	0.4%	6.6%

L



		Renter	Househol	ds		
			to 54 Year			
	1-Person	Year 202 2-Person	5 Projection 3-Person	15 4 Person	5Person	_
					Household	Total
\$0-10,000 \$10,000-20,000	51 4	5 109	33 19	60 37	24 24	173 193
\$20,000-30,000	1	12	44	29	75	161
\$30,000-40,000	38	1	82	27	36	184
\$40,000-50,000	13	5	0	32	8	58
\$50,000-60,000	3	10	2	8	10	33
\$60,000-75,000	1 2	17	9 2	2	15	44
\$75,000-100,000 \$100,000-125,000	ő	1	2	0	1	6 12
\$125,000-150,000	1	15	2	1	3	22
\$150,000-200,000	1	1	0	2	4	8
\$200,000+	1	<u>0</u>	2	2	2	8
Total	116	176	197	201	212	902
		Renter	Househol	ds		
			55+ Years	24		
_			5 Projectio		5.0	
	1-Person Ioucobold	2-Person	3-Person	I-Person	5Person	-
\$0-10,000	lousehold 109	Household 22	Plousehold	Household	Household 2	1 otal 137
\$10,000-20,000	219	12	31	4	3	269
\$20,000-30,000	138	33	1	9	6	187
\$30,000-40,000	24	3	1	20	7	55
\$40,000-50,000	72	17	4	2	3	98
\$50,000-60,000	10	1	1	2	3	17
\$60,000-75,000	10 21	9	22	1	1 2	43
\$75,000-100,000 \$100,000-125,000	8	1	6 2	2	8	36 21
\$125,000-150,000	19	5	3	ĩ	2	30
\$150,000-200,000	18	3	3	2	3	29
\$200,000+	12	0	3	1	1	17
Total	660	110	79	49	41	939
		-				
			Househol	as		
			62+ Years			
			5 Projection	-		
	1-Person	2-Person	3-Person		5 -Person	
F	lousehold	Household	Household	Household	Household	Total
\$0-10,000	57	3	2	1	-1	64
\$10,000-20,000	219	9	31	3	2	264
\$20,000-30,000	129 17	33	1	0	5 7	168 47
\$30,000-40,000 \$40,000-50,000	56	15	1 2	2	2	77
\$50,000-60,000	5	1	1	2	2	11
\$60,000-75,000	10	2	0	1	ī	14
\$75,000-100,000	9	4	2	3	2	20
\$100,000-125,000	8	4	2	1	1	13
0105 000 ····	13 17	4	3	1	2	23
	17	3 0	2	1	1.1	26 13
\$150,000-200,000				<u>0</u> 34	<u>0</u> 28	740
\$150,000-200,000 \$200,000+		78	50			
\$150,000-200,000	550	78	110			
\$150,000-200,000 \$200,000+		Renter	Househol			
		Renter All A	H ousehol ge Groups	ds		
\$150,000-200,000 \$200,000+ Total	550	Renter All A Year 202	Househol ge Groups 5 Projection	ds ns	5 December 1	
\$150,000-200,000 \$200,000+ Total	550 1-Person	Renter All A Year 202 2-Person	Househol ge Groups 5 Projection 3-Person	ds 15 4-Person	5=-Person Household	Total
\$150,000-200,000 \$200,000+ Total	550 1-Person Iousehold	Renter All A Year 202 2-Person Household	Househol ge Groups 5 Projection 3-Person Household	ds 15 1-Person Household	Household	Total 310
\$150,000-200,000 \$200,000+ Total	550 1-Person	Renter All A Year 202 2-Person	Househol ge Groups 5 Projection 3-Person	ds 15 4-Person		10tal 310 462
\$150,000-200,000 \$200,000+ Total <u>F</u> \$0-10,000	550 1-Person Iousehold 160	Renter All A Year 202 2-Person Household 27	Househol ge Groups 5 Projection 3-Derson Household 35 50 45	ds 15 4-Person Household 62	Household 26	310
\$150,000-200,000 \$200,000+ Total \$0-10,000 \$10,000-20,000 \$30,000-40,000	550 1-Person Imschold 160 223 139 62	Renter All A Year 202 2-Person Household 27 121 45 4	Househol ge Groups 5 Projection 3-Person Household 35 50 45 83	ds 4-Person Household 62 41 38 47	Household 26 27	310 462 348 239
\$150,000-200,000 \$200,000+ Total \$0-10,000 \$10,000-20,000 \$20,000-30,000 \$30,000-40,000 \$30,000-40,000	550 1-Person Ionsehold 160 223 139 62 85	Renter All A Year 202 2-Person Household 27 121 45 4 22	Househol ge Groups 5 Projection 3-L'erson Household 35 50 45 83 4	ds 4-Ferson Household 62 41 38 47 34	Household 26 27 81 43 11	310 462 348 239 156
\$150,000-200,000 \$200,000+ Total \$0-10,000 \$10,000-20,000 \$30,000-20,000 \$30,000-40,000 \$30,000-40,000	1-Person Imsehold 160 223 139 62 85 13	Renter All A Year 202 2-Person Household 27 121 45 4 22 11	Househol ge Groups 3-Person Household 35 50 45 83 4 3	ds 4-Person Household 62 41 38 47 34 10	Household 26 27 81 43 11 13	310 462 348 239 156 50
\$150,000-200,000 \$200,000+ Total \$0-10,000 \$10,000-20,000 \$20,000-30,000 \$30,000-40,000 \$30,000-40,000 \$50,000-50,000	1-Person imsehold 160 223 139 62 85 13 11	Renter All A Year 202 2-Person Household 27 121 45 4 22 11 26	Househol ge Groups 5 Projection 3-Person Household 35 50 45 83 45 83 4 3 31	ds 4-Person Household 62 41 38 47 34 10 3	Household 26 27 81 43 11 13 16	310 462 348 239 156 50 87
1150,000-200,000 \$200,000+ Total \$0-10,000 \$0,000-20,000 \$20,000-30,000 \$20,000-30,000 \$20,000-30,000 \$30,000-60,000 \$60,000-75,000	1-Person imschold 160 223 139 62 85 13 11 23	Renter All A Year 202 2-Person Household 27 121 45 4 22 11 26 4	Househol ge Groups 5 Projection 3-Person Household 35 50 45 83 4 4 3 3 3 3 8	ds 4-Person Household 62 41 38 47 34 10 3 4	Household 26 27 81 43 11 13 16 3	310 462 348 239 156 50 87 42
\$150,000-200,000 \$200,000+ Total \$0-10,000 \$10,000-20,000 \$20,000-30,000 \$30,000-40,000 \$30,000-40,000 \$30,000-40,000 \$50,000-60,000 \$50,000-60,000 \$50,000-100,000 \$150,000-100,000	1-Person torsehold 160 223 139 62 85 13 11 23 8	Renter All A Year 202 2-Person Household 27 121 45 4 22 11 26 4 22 11 26 4 2	Househol ge Groups 5 Projectio 3-Person Household 35 50 45 83 4 3 4 3 31 8 4 4 3 4 3 4 3 4 3 4 3 4 3 4 3 4 4 3 3 4	ds 4-Person Household 62 41 38 47 34 10 3 4 2	Household 26 27 81 43 11 13 16 3 17	310 462 348 239 156 50 87 42 33
\$150,000-200,000 \$200,000+ Total \$0-10,000 \$10,000-20,000 \$20,000-30,000 \$30,000-40,000 \$30,000-60,000 \$50,000-75,000 \$55,000-100,000 \$100,000-125,000	1-Person Insechold 160 223 139 62 85 13 11 23 8 20	Renter All A Year 202 2-Person Household 27 121 45 4 22 11 26 4 22 20	Househol ge Groups 5 Projection 3-Person Household 35 50 45 83 4 3 31 8 4 3 31 8 4 5	ds 4-Person Housebold 62 41 38 47 34 10 3 4 2 2	Household 26 27 81 43 11 13 16 3 17 5	310 462 348 239 156 50 87 42 33 52
1150,000-200,000 \$200,000+ Total \$0-10,000 \$0,000-20,000 \$20,000-30,000 \$20,000-30,000 \$20,000-30,000 \$30,000-60,000 \$60,000-75,000	1-Person torsehold 160 223 139 62 85 13 11 23 8	Renter All A Year 202 2-Person Household 27 121 45 4 22 11 26 4 22 11 26 4 2	Househol ge Groups 5 Projectio 3-Person Household 35 50 45 83 4 3 4 3 31 8 4 4 3 4 3 4 3 4 3 4 3 4 3 4 3 4 4 3 3 4	ds 4-Person Household 62 41 38 47 34 10 3 4 2	Household 26 27 81 43 11 13 16 3 17	310 462 348 239 156 50 87 42 33



	Pe	rcent Rer	ter Hous	eholds		
			to 54 Year			
		-	5 Projection			
-	1-Person	2-Person	3-Person	4 Person	5-Person	-
					Household	Total
\$0-10.000	5.7%	0.6%	3.7%	6.7%	2.7%	19.2%
\$10,000-20,000	0.4%	12.1%	2.1%	4.1%	2.7%	21.4%
\$20,000-30,000	0.1%	1.3%	4.9%	3.2%	8.3%	17.8%
\$30,000-40,000	4.2%	0.1%	9.1%	3.0%	4.0%	20.4%
\$40,000-50,000	1.4%	0.6%	0.0%	3.5%	0.9%	6.4%
\$50,000-60,000 \$60,000-75,000	0.3%	1.9%	1.0%	0.2%	1.1%	3.7% 4.9%
\$75,000-100,000	0.2%	0.0%	0.2%	0.1%	0.1%	0.7%
\$100,000-125,000	0.0%	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%	1.0%	1.3%
\$125,000-150,000	0.1%	1.7%	0.2%	0.1%	0.3%	2.4%
\$150,000-200,000	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%	0.2%	0.4%	0.9%
\$200,000+	0.1%	0.0%	0.2%	0.2%	0.3%	0.9%
Total	12.9%	19.5%	21.8%	22.3%	23.5%	100.0%
	Pe	rcent Rer	ter Hous	eholds		-
		Aged	55+ Years			
-	_		5 Projection			
	1-Person	2-Person	3-Person			
					Household	Lotal
\$0-10,000	11.6%	2,3%	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%	14.6%
\$10,000-20,000	23.3%	1.3%	3.3%	0.4%	0.3%	28.6%
\$20,000-30,000 \$30,000-40,000	14.7% 2.6%	3.5%	0.1%	1.0% 2.1%	0.6%	19.9%
\$40,000-50,000	7.7%	1.8%	0.4%	0.2%	0.3%	10.4%
\$50,000-60,000	1.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.2%	0.3%	1.8%
\$60,000-75,000	1,1%	1.0%	2.3%	0.1%	0.1%	4.6%
\$75,000-100,000	2.2%	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%	0.2%	3.8%
\$100,000-125,000	0.9%	0.1%	0.2%	0.2%	0.9%	2.2%
\$125,000-150,000	2.0%	0.5%	0.3%	0.1%	0.2%	3.2%
\$150,000-200,000	1.9%	0.3%	0.3%	0.2%	0.3%	3.1%
\$200,000+ Total	1.3% 70.3%	0.0%	0.3% 8.4%	0.1% 5.2%	0.1% 4.4%	1.8%
Total	70.370	11.7 90	0.470	3.2 70	4.4 70	100.070
	Pe		nter Hous	eholds		
			62+ Years			
			5 Projection		1000	_
	1-Person	2-Person	3-Person	4-Person	5 -Person	
					Household	Total
\$0-10,000	7.7%	0.4%	0.3%	0.1%	0.1%	8.6%
\$10,000-20,000 \$20,000-30,000	29.6% 17.4%	1.2% 4.5%	4.2%	0.4% 0.0%	0.3% 0.7%	35.7% 22.7%
\$30,000-40,000	2.3%	0.4%	0.1%	2.6%	0.9%	6.4%
\$40,000-50,000	7.6%	2.0%	0.3%	0.3%	0.3%	10.4%
\$50,000-60,000	0.7%	0.1%	0.1%	0.3%	0.3%	1.5%
\$60,000-75,000	1.4%	0.3%	0.0%	0.1%	0.1%	1.9%
\$75,000-100,000	1.2%	0.5%	0.3%	0.4%	0.3%	2.7%
\$100,000-125,000	1.1% 1.8%	0.1%	0.3%	0.1%	0.1%	1.8%
\$125,000-150,000 \$150,000-200,000	2.3%	0.5%	0.4%	0.1% 0.1%	0.3%	3.1% 3.5%
\$200,000+	1.4%	0.4%	0.4%	0.1%	0.4%	1.8%
Total	74.3%	10.5%	6.8%	4.6%	3.8%	100.0%
-	Pe	rcent Ror	nter Hous	eholds		
	re		ge Groups	ciloius		
			5 Projection	15		
	1-Person	2-Person	3-Person	4-Person	5-Person	1000
\$0-10,000	Household 8.7%	Household 1.5%	Household 1.9%	Household 3.4%	Household 1.4%	10tal 16.8%

	1 - CLOUT	2 I GISON	5-1 choon	± 1 CL2011		
	Household	Household	Household	Household	Household	Total
\$0-10,000	8.7%	1.5%	1.9%	3.4%	1.4%	16.8%
\$10,000-20,000	12.1%	6.6%	2.7%	2.2%	1.5%	25.1%
\$20,000-30,000	7.6%	2.4%	2.4%	2.1%	4.4%	18.9%
\$30,000-40,000	3.4%	0.2%	4.5%	2.6%	2.3%	13.0%
\$40,000-50,000	4.6%	1.2%	0.2%	1.8%	0.6%	8.5%
\$50,000-60,000	0.7%	0.6%	0.2%	0.5%	0.7%	2.7%
\$60,000-75,000	0.6%	1.4%	1.7%	0.2%	0.9%	4.7%
\$75,000-100,000	1.2%	0.2%	0.4%	0.2%	0.2%	2.3%
\$100,000-125,000	0.4%	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%	0.9%	1.8%
\$125,000-150,000	1.1%	1.1%	0.3%	0.1%	0.3%	2.8%
\$150,000-200,000	1.0%	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%	0.4%	2.0%
\$200,000+	0.7%	0.0%	0.3%	0.2%	0.2%	1.4%
Total	42.2%	15.5%	15.0%	13.6%	13.7%	100,0%



HISTA 2.2 Summary Data Nottoway County © 2020 All rights reserved Powered by Cluttee Owner Households Age 15 to 54 Years Year 2025 Projections 1-Person 3-Person 4-Person 5--Person Household Household Household Household Total

50-10,000	3	23	33	11	1	105
\$10,000-20,000	12	5	0	1	0	18
\$20,000-30,000	26	38	3	12	0	79
\$30,000-40,000	43	29	13	2	22	109
\$40,000-50,000	66	61	.20	2	0	149
\$50,000-60,000	50	20	56	20	13	159
\$60,000-75,000	4	20	53	39	42	158
\$75,000-100,000	58	80	.34	23	19	214
\$100,000-125,000	5	35	13	93	78	224
\$125,000-150,000	0	11	70	19	14	114
\$150,000-200,000	5	20	2	27	3	57
\$200,000+	1	1	4	3	<u>24</u>	33
Total	273	355	321	252	216	1,417

Owner Households Aged 554 Years Year 2025 Projections I-Person 2-Person 3-Person 3-Person 5-Household Household Hou

Total	610	1 134	256	1.13	37	2 180
\$200,000+	12	34	5	4	2	57
\$150,000-200,000	18	31	6	3	0	58
\$125,000-150,000	25	45	11	5	1	87
\$100,000-125,000	11	56	16	40	3	126
\$75,000-100,000	48	142	31	6	0	227
\$60,000-75,000	21	162	16	25	1	225
\$50,000-60,000	22	167	22	8	2	221
\$40,000-50,000	82	181	68	5	5	341
\$30,000-40,000	94	83	22	22	7	228
\$20,000-30,000	87	110	45	3	1	246
\$10,000-20,000	110	77	4	3	13	207
00-10,000	00	40	40	12	2	1.07

Owner Households

Aged 62+ Years Year 2025 Projections

		1 eur 202	5 Projection	as		
2000	1-Person Household	2-Person Household	3-Person Llousehold	4-Person Household	ā+-Person Household	Total
\$0-10,000	61	28	10	8	2	109
\$10,000-20,000	74	70	4	2	8	158
\$20,000-30,000	75	100	45	3	1	224
\$30,000-40,000	58	46	0	12	1	117
\$40,000-50,000	81	178	5	2	0	266
\$50,000-60,000	15	139	15	7	2	178
\$60,000-75,000	15	119	10	21	1	166
\$75,000-100,000	37	101	21	5	0	164
\$100,000-125,000	11	41	3	35	2	92
\$125,000-150,000	13	21	6	1	0	41
\$150,000-200,000	14	21	3	2	0	40
\$200,000+	10	24	2	1	<u>0</u>	37
Total	464	888	124	99	17	1,592

Owner Households All Age Groups Year 2025 Projections 2-1'ers 3-Person \$0-10,000 \$10,000-20,000 \$30,000-40,000 \$40,000-50,000 \$60,000-75,000 \$75,000-100,000 \$100,000-125,000 \$100,000-125,000 \$125,000-150,000 \$125,000-150,000 83 122 113 137 148 72 25 106 16 25 23 13 81 82 148 112 242 187 182 222 91 56 51 <u>35</u> 260 225 325 337 490 380 383 441 350 201 115 63 4 48 35 88 78 69 65 29 81 8 2 30 13 15 24 7 28 64 29 133 24 30 Z 29 5 15 43 19 81 15 3 <u>26</u> <u>90</u> Total 883 1,489 577 395 253 3,597



2020 All rights reser	mmary	Data	NOL	oway Co		red by Glarits
and the spine spine	1200	rcent Ow	ner Hous	eholds	10	
		Age 15	to 54 Year	s		
		Year 202	5 Projection	ns		
	1-Person	2-Person	3-Person	4-Person	5+-Person	
		Household 2.5%		Household		Total
\$0-10,000 \$10,000-20,000	0.2%	2.5%	3.7%	0.8%	0.1% 0.0%	7.3% 1.3%
\$20,000-30,000	1.8%	2.7%	0.2%	0.1%	0.0%	5.6%
\$30,000-40,000	3.0%	2.0%	0.9%	0.1%	1.6%	7.7%
\$40,000-50,000	4.7%	4.3%	1.4%	0.1%	0.0%	10.5%
\$50,000-60,000	3.5%	1.4%	4.0%	1.4%	0.9%	11.2%
\$60,000-75,000	0.3%	1.4%	3.7%	2.8%	3.0%	11.2%
\$75,000-100,000	4.1%	5.6%	2.4%	1.6%	1.3%	15.1%
\$100,000-125,000 \$125,000-150,000	0.4% 0.0%	2.5%	0.9% 4.9%	6.6% 1.3%	5.5% 1.0%	15.8%
\$150,000-200,000	0.4%	1.4%	0.1%	1.5%	0.2%	4.0%
\$200,000+	0.1%	0.1%	0.3%	0.2%	1.7%	2.3%
Total	19.3%	25.1%	22.7%	17.8%	15.2%	100.0%
тоги	19.3%	25.1%	44.770	17.070	15.470	100.0%
	Pe	rcent Ow	ner Hous	eholds		
	re		55+ Years			
			55+ rears	15		
-	1-Person	2-Person	3-Person	4-Person	5+-Person	
11				Household		Intal
\$0-10,000	3.7%	2.1%	0.5%	0.9%	0.1%	7.2%
\$10,000-20,000	5.0%	3.5%	0.2%	0.1%	0.6%	9.5%
\$20,000-30,000	4.0%	5.0%	2.1%	0.1%	0.0%	11.3%
\$30,000-40,000	4.3%	3.8%	1.0%	1.0%	0.3%	10.5%
\$40,000-50,000 \$50,000-60,000	3.8% 1.0%	8.3%	3.1%	0.2%	0.2%	15.6%
\$60,000-75,000	1.0%	7.4%	0.7%	1.1%	0.0%	10.1%
\$75,000-100,000	2.2%	6.5%	1.4%	0.3%	0.0%	10.4%
\$100,000-125,000	0.5%	2.6%	0.7%	1.8%	0.1%	5.8%
\$125,000-150,000	1.1%	2.1%	0.5%	0.2%	0.0%	4.0%
\$150,000-200,000	0.8%	1.4%	0.3%	0.1%	0.0%	2.7%
\$200,000+	0.6%	1.6%	0.2%	0.2%	0.1%	2.6%
Total	28.0%	52.0%	11.7%	6.6%	1.7%	100.0%
	Pe	rcent Ow	ner Hous	eholds		
		0	62+ Years			
	4.00		25 Projection			_
	1-Person	2-Person	3-Person	4-Person Household	5+-Person	Total
\$0-10,000	3.8%	1.8%	0.6%	0.5%	0.1%	6.8%
\$10,000-20,000	4.6%	4.4%	0.3%	0.1%	0.5%	9.9%
\$20,000-30,000	4.7%	6.3%	2.8%	0.2%	0.1%	14.1%
\$30,000-40,000	3.6%	2.9%	0.0%	0.8%	0.1%	7.3%
\$40,000-50,000	5.1%	11.2%	0.3%	0.1%	0.0%	16.7%
\$50,000-60,000	0.9%	8.7%	0.9%	0.4%	0.1%	11.2%
\$60,000-75,000	0.9%	7.5%	0.6%	1.3%	0.1% 0.0%	10.4%
\$75,000-100,000 \$100,000-125,000	0.7%	2.6%	0.2%	0.3%	0.0%	10.3% 5.8%
\$125,000-150,000	0.8%	1.3%	0.4%	0.1%	0.0%	2.6%
\$150,000-200,000	0.9%	1.3%	0.2%	0.1%	0.0%	2.5%
\$200,000+	0.6%	1:5%	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%	2.3%
Total	29.1%	55.8%	7.8%	6.2%	1.1%	100.0%
			10-10	2010		
	Pe	rcent Ow	ner Hous	eholds		
		All A	ge Groups			
			5 Projection	ns		
	1-Person	2-Person	3-Person	4-Person	5+-Person	-
				Household		Total
\$0-10,000	2.3%	2.3%	1.8%	0.8%	0.1%	7.2%
\$10,000-20,000	3.4%	2.3%	0.1%	0.1%	0.4%	6.3%
\$20,000-30,000 \$30,000-40,000	3.1% 3.8%	4.1%	1.3%	0.4%	0.0%	9.0% 9.4%
\$40,000-50,000	3.8% 4.1%	5.1%	2.4%	0.7%	0.8%	9.4%
\$50,000-50,000	4.1%	5.2%	2.4%	0.2%	0.4%	10.6%
\$60,000-75,000	0.7%	5.1%	1.9%	1.8%	1.2%	10.6%
\$75,000-100,000	2.9%	6.2%	1.8%	0.8%	0.5%	12.3%
\$100,000-125,000	0.4%	2.5%	0.8%	3.7%	2.3%	9.7%
\$125,000-150,000	0.7%	1.6%	2.3%	0.7%	0.4%	5.6%
\$150,000-200,000	0.6%	1.4%	0.2%	0.8%	0.1%	3.2%
	0.4%	1.0%	0.3%	0,2%	0.7%	2.5%
\$200,000+	0.470	1.0 /0	0.070	0	0.1.0	4.2 70

Total 24.5% 41.4% 16.0% 11.0% 7.0% 100.0%



© 2020 All rights reserved


Claritas

				No	ottoway (County		<u>.</u>				
	Census 2	010		Current	Current Year Estimates - 2020				Five-Year Projections - 2025			
Age	Male	Female	Total	Age	Male	Female	Total	Age	Male	Female	Total	
0 to 4 Years	2.8%	2.8%	5.6%	0 to 4 Years	2.6%	2.6%	5.2%	0 to 4 Years	2.7%	2.6%	5.2%	
5 to 9 Years	2.9%	2.8%	5.7%	5 to 9 Years	2.5%	2.7%	5.1%	5 to 9 Years	2.5%	2.6%	5.1%	
10 to 14 Years	2.8%	2.9%	5.7%	10 to 14 Years	2.7%	2.6%	5.3%	10 to 14 Years	2.4%	2.6%	5.1%	
15 to 17 Years	1.9%	1.6%	3.5%	15 to 17 Years	1.7%	1.6%	3.3%	15 to 17 Years	1.7%	1.6%	3.3%	
18 to 20 Years	1.9%	1.6%	3.5%	18 to 20 Years	1.9%	1.4%	3.3%	18 to 20 Years	1.9%	1.4%	3.3%	
21 to 24 Years	3.1%	1.9%	5.0%	21 to 24 Years	3.3%	1.9%	5.2%	21 to 24 Years	3.4%	2.0%	5.4%	
25 to 34 Years	8.0%	5.1%	13.1%	25 to 34 Years	9.9%	4.9%	14.8%	25 to 34 Years	9.9%	4.7%	14.6%	
35 to 44 Years	7.5%	5.2%	12.7%	35 to 44 Years	7.3%	4.6%	11.9%	35 to 44 Years	7.4%	4.7%	12.1%	
45 to 54 Years	8.4%	7.2%	15.5%	45 to 54 Years	7.8%	5.0%	12.8%	45 to 54 Years	7.1%	4.4%	11.4%	
55 to 64 Years	6.3%	6.1%	12.4%	55 to 64 Years	7.0%	6.5%	13.5%	55 to 64 Years	6.9%	6.0%	12.9%	
65 to 74 Years	4.3%	4.9%	9.1%	65 to 74 Years	5.3%	5.8%	11.2%	65 to 74 Years	6.1%	6.7%	12.8%	
75 to 84 Years	2.2%	3.5%	5.6%	75 to 84 Years	2.5%	3.5%	6.0%	75 to 84 Years	2.5%	3.5%	6.1%	
85 Years and Up	0.7%	1.6%	2.3%	85 Years and Up	0.9%	1.6%	2.5%	85 Years and Up	1.0%	1.7%	2.6%	
Total	52.8%	47.2%	100.0%	Total	55.3%	44.7%	100.0%	Total	55.4%	44.6%	100.0%	
62+ Years	n/a	n/a	20.4%	62+ Years	n/a	n/a	23.5%	62+ Years	n/a	n/a	25.5%	

Source: Claritas; Ribbon Demographics



© 2020 All rights reserved



Ribbon Demographics, LLC www.ribbondata.com Tel: 916-880-1644



POPULATION DATA @ 2020 All rights reserved

Claritas

				Nottoway							
Estim	ated Char	nge - 2010	to 2020		Projected Change - 2020 to 2025						
Age	Male I	Female	Total Change	Percent Change	Age	Male	Female	Total Change	Percent Change		
0 to 4 Years	-55	-35	-90	-10.1%	0 to 4 Years	19	-9	10	1.2%		
5 to 9 Years	-72	-36	-108	-12.0%	5 to 9 Years	10	-11	-1	-0.1%		
10 to 14 Years	-38	-60	-98	-10.8%	10 to 14 Years	-36	10	-26	-3.2%		
15 to 17 Years	-34	-17	-51	-9.1%	15 to 17 Years	-3	7	4	0.8%		
18 to 20 Years	-15	-36	-51	-9.1%	18 to 20 Years	-5	9	4	0.8%		
21 to 24 Years	9	-7	2	0.3%	21 to 24 Years	16	13	29	3.6%		
25 to 34 Years	255	-51	204	9.8%	25 to 34 Years	-1	-27	-28	-1.2%		
35 to 44 Years	-52	-123	-175	-8.7%	35 to 44 Years	10	28	38	2.1%		
45 to 54 Years	-120	-369	-489	-19.8%	45 to 54 Years	-115	-89	-204	-10.3%		
55 to 64 Years	80	39	119	6.0%	55 to 64 Years	-14	-72	-86	-4.1%		
65 to 74 Years	149	130	279	19.3%	65 to 74 Years	125	139	264	15.3%		
75 to 84 Years	38	-5	33	3.7%	75 to 84 Years	13	3	16	1.7%		
85 Years and Up Total	<u>31</u> 176	<u>-14</u> -584	<u>17</u> -408	<u>4.6%</u> -2.6%	85 Years and Up Total	$\frac{10}{29}$	$\frac{13}{14}$	2 <u>3</u> 43	<u>6.0%</u> 0.3%		
62+ Years	n/a	n/a	396	12.2%	62+ Years	n/a	n/a	322	8.9%		

Source: Claritas; Ribbon Demographics



POPULATION DATA @ 2020 All rights reserved Claritas Population Change by Age **Nottoway County** 20.0% 15.0% 10.0% Percent Change 5.0% 0.0% -5.0% -10.0% -15.0% -20.0% 51097885 1010 1A Years 1510 TYEAS 181020 Years 21102A Veals O to A Years ■2010 to 2020 Change ■2020 to 2025 Change Source: Claritas; Ribbon Demographics Ribbon Demographics, LLC

www.ribbondata.com Tel: 916-880-1644




POPULATION DATA @ 2020 All rights reserved


Claritas

				No	ttoway (County		1			
(Census 2	010		Current Y	mates - 202	Five-Yea	r Projec.	tions - 202.	5		
Age	Male	Female	Total	Age	Male	Female	Total	Age	Male	Female	Total
0 to 4 Years	451	441	892	0 to 4 Years	396	406	802	0 to 4 Years	415	397	812
5 to 9 Years	453	450	903	5 to 9 Years	381	414	795	5 to 9 Years	391	403	794
10 to 14 Years	451	458	909	10 to 14 Years	413	398	811	10 to 14 Years	377	408	785
15 to 17 Years	299	260	559	15 to 17 Years	265	243	508	15 to 17 Years	262	250	512
18 to 20 Years	308	251	559	18 to 20 Years	293	215	508	18 to 20 Years	288	224	512
21 to 24 Years	498	302	800	21 to 24 Years	507	295	802	21 to 24 Years	523	308	831
25 to 34 Years	1,275	804	2,079	25 to 34 Years	1,530	753	2,283	25 to 34 Years	1,529	726	2,25
35 to 44 Years	1,184	829	2,013	35 to 44 Years	1,132	706	1,838	35 to 44 Years	1,142	734	1,87
45 to 54 Years	1,328	1,137	2,465	45 to 54 Years	1,208	768	1,976	45 to 54 Years	1,093	679	1,77
55 to 64 Years	1,001	970	1,971	55 to 64 Years	1,081	1,009	2,090	55 to 64 Years	1,067	937	2,00
65 to 74 Years	674	771	1,445	65 to 74 Years	823	901	1,724	65 to 74 Years	948	1,040	1,988
75 to 84 Years	342	550	892	75 to 84 Years	380	545	925	75 to 84 Years	393	548	941
85 Years and Up	107	259	366	85 Years and Up	138	245	383	85 Years and Up	148	258	406
Total	8,371	7,482	15,853	Total	8,547	6,898	15,445	Total	8,576	6,912	15,48
62+ Years	n/a	n/a	3,234	62+ Years	n/a	n/a	3,630	62+ Years	n/a	n/a	3,95
	M	ledian Age:	41.1	Median Age: 41.6					M	ledian Age:	41.6





ADDENDUM F – FLOOD DATA



500 1,500 250 1,000 2,000 0